

## Monthly Air Pollution Control Program Summary Report

### Concerns Received or Investigated Between December 16, 2023 and February 15, 2024

|  | Total |
|--|-------|
| <b>Total</b>                           | 209   |
| <b>Asbestos</b>                        | 18    |
| <b>Fugitive Particulate Matter</b>     | 21    |
| <b>Hazardous Air Pollutant Release</b> | 3     |
| <b>Odor</b>                            | 73    |
| <b>Open Burning</b>                    | 76    |
| <b>Other</b>                           | 17    |
| <b>Particulates</b>                    | 1     |

Number of Notices of Violation (NOV) Issued: 2  
 Number of Referral Notices of Violation (RNOV) Issued: 3  
 Number of Letters of Warning (LOW) Issued: 9

|   | Total |
|---|-------|
| <b>Total</b>                                  | 185   |
| <b>Concerns Received Before Report Period</b> | 13    |
| <b>Concerns Received During Report Period</b> | 172   |

Note: Concern Type refers to the air category the concern falls under. There may be more than one type associated with an individual concern, therefore the totals listed here may not reflect the total number of concerns received during the reporting period.

Note: Concern Info refers to the information provided to the department by the concerned party. The information seeks to accurately reflect the nature of the allegations made. Those statements of allegations do not necessarily reflect the legal standard upon which inspections would be made.

## Monthly Air Pollution Control Program Detail Report

### Concerns Received or Investigated Between December 16, 2023 and February 15, 2024

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 55870                    | 12/7/2023     | 12/19/2023   |                                 | Odor         | /Barry      | SWRO   | JW                 | Other        |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller is just off 76 hwy, west of cassville mo (36.668745, -93.885768), and is concerned about an odor they are smelling. They believe it is from sludge being spread at a Faun Funeral Home. The last time they smelt this, her husband had an allergic reaction to the odor and was unable to leave the house until it dissipated. Manure, rotten smell. States the smell is coming from the south? States it gets spread late at night, she can hear them spreading it. Mentioned they had heard rumors of who owned the property, but could not be sure and I could not understand the name of who they said. |                                 |              |             |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7571<br>I called Phyllis Atkinson on 12/14/23 and she was not able to answer my call at the time. There was not a voicemail option either. I called Atkinson again on 12/19/23 to inform her that the department does not have regulatory authority to enforce odors as related to agriculturally exempt land application of fertilizer. I explained the department's approach to these kinds of concerns and that we can still investigate over-application of fertilizer if there is leakage into bodies of water. I thanked Atkinson for her time and ended the phone conversation.                 |                                 |              |             |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                  | City/County          | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action            |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 55893                    | 12/12/2023    | 12/21/2023   | Protein Solutions               | Odor                          | Joplin /Newton       | SWRO   | JW                 | Email NOV               |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Email states that odors along 32nd St have been very bad in the last week and are at their worst today at 7:32 a.m. winds 2mph SSE.  |                                 |                               |                      |        |                    |                         |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>SharePoint #7605</p> <p>After noting the wind was out of the south-southwest, I approached Protein Solutions LLC from the westward direction on Range Line Rd at approximately 11:06 a.m. I did not observe any land application trucks on or off the Protein Solutions LLC property. I did not detect any odors deriving from any facilities upwind of Protein Solutions LLC.</p> <p>During the investigation, I verified two detections at least 15 minutes apart and within one hour of odors exceeding the 7:1 dilution threshold, confirming a violation of the regulations outlined in 10 CSR 10.6-165.</p> <p>At 11:40 a.m., I stopped by the Protein Solutions LLC facility to inform the responsible official of my findings. I met with Tim Lloyd to explain the findings of my investigation.</p> <p>I observed excessive odors deriving from the Protein Solutions outside a fifteen minute interval within an hour. We are issuing a NOV per the odor-related October 2023 LOWs for this facility.</p>   |                                 |                               |                      |        |                    |                         |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                  | City/County          | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action            |
| 55902                    | 12/13/2023    | 12/27/2023   | John Shaw                       | Open Burning<br>Single Family | Alba /Jasper         | SWRO   | BD                 | Communication In Person |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Anonymous caller states that a property in Alba is owned by David and Nancy Ong (who live in Webb City) their son, lives on the property in Alba. He lives in an RV and lets gray water and waste dump onto the ground. He also does open burning on the property as well as metal scrapping. He has been contacted by the city regarding their ordinances to no avail. They have decided to see if DNR can help with the issue.   |                                 |                               |                      |        |                    |                         |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>SharePoint #7631-On 12/27 I arrived to the property that was suspected to have open burning. This property ended up being the neighbor of the responsible party, located at 103 S Fox St. The neighbors then informed me that the property was likely not safe to approach alone, considering the nature of my visit. I thanked the neighbor for the information and decided that it would be the safest to send a letter to the potential responsible party in order to make contact prior to showing up to the adress. A letter to the responsible party will be sent to the property owners, who are David and Nancy Ong out of Webb City, who are reportedly the parents of the responsible party.</p> <p>A site visit was conducted on 1/18 with permission from property owner David Ong. Upon arrival, I made contact with the tenant, John Shaw, and explained the reason for my visit. Shaw granted me access to the property, where I observed a small outdoor chimney with some wooden items located in the fire. Amongst the rest of the property were several items that had appeared to be discarded and piled on top of one another. I then explained to Shaw that wooden items that had been treated could not be burned, but since it was burned in a chimney it was not technically open burning, but improper waste disposal. I gave Shaw the open burning fact sheets (PUB2047), and then left the property as the tenant had prior commitments. No violations are currently being filed with the department. A follow up visit will be recommended to the solid waste unit to address the potential solid waste violations observed.</p> |                                 |                               |                      |        |                    |                         |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                  | City/County          | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action            |
| 55911                    | 12/14/2023    | 12/21/2023   | Richard Fender                  | Open Burning                  | St. Joseph /Buchanan | KCRO   | DS                 | Other                   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | CP called about a neighbor that is doing open burning. He said they bring in truck loads or loads on pallets of different items to recycle and burn things like tires, insulation off wire, TVs, etc. He said they have a pit area in the back yard where they burn the wires and then two 55 gal drums by their house that they use for burning. They come and go and burn at different times so it's hard to catch them. The fire department has been out and had to tell them to put out their fires but they wait until it's dry and continue burning. The residents are renting and the landlord and asked them to stop burning as well. He is not sure what their names are. He said he'd be willing to meet to be able to see into the backyard of the house and he's also taken videos.  |                                 |                               |                      |        |                    |                         |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | At the time of investigation, no violations were observed. Information was provided to the tenant regarding Missouri's open burning rules.   |                                 |                               |                      |        |                    |                         |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator  | Concern Type             | City/County               | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action  |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------|--------------------|---------------|
| 55914                    | 12/14/2023    | 12/18/2023   | ZMDR, dba Republic Foods/<br>Jarrod Hernandez<br>jhernandez@republic-foods.com | Odor                     | Lone Jack<br><br>/Johnson | KCRO   | MC                 | Other         |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Severe septic, sewage, rotten smell emanating the driving area of 50 Highway - east and westbound- surrounding Republic Foods- approximately 1 mile in each direction.   |  |                          |                           |        |                    |               |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | The facility was in compliance with the odor regulation at the time of the investigation. Odors were noticeable, but not strong.   |  |                          |                           |        |                    |               |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator  | Concern Type             | City/County               | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action  |
| 55915                    | 12/15/2023    | 12/21/2023   | Kemerling / Smith  | Asbestos<br>Open Burning | Fairfax<br>/Atchison      | KCRO   | DS                 | Letter<br>LOW |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Call from Kenny with EER last night on COD line-call received at 1:30 a.m. 12/14/23 to EER: CP has a neighbor at 410 N. 3rd St., Fairfax, MO that demolished his house which had asbestos siding and moved the construction debris outside of city limits to 26390 P Ave (near intersection with Sly Ave) to burn the debris last night including the asbestos siding. The smoke was so bad he took his child to the emergency room last night due to trouble breathing. He also called the local sheriff and the fire dept. which did not appear to respond as when he was coming back from the ER at 1 a.m. The morning of 12/15/23 the fire was still going and it appears that no one came to respond as he had requested. He does not know the neighbor's name. |  |                          |                           |        |                    |               |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | Jay Smith will receive a Letter of Warning for open burning and improper disposal of construction and demolition waste. See report for full details of investigation.  |  |                          |                           |        |                    |               |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator  | Concern Type             | City/County               | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action  |
| 55915                    | 12/15/2023    | 12/21/2023   | Kemerling / Smith  | Asbestos<br>Open Burning | Fairfax<br>/Atchison      | KCRO   | DS                 | Letter<br>RTC |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Call from Kenny with EER last night on COD line-call received at 1:30 a.m. 12/14/23 to EER: CP has a neighbor at 410 N. 3rd St., Fairfax, MO that demolished his house which had asbestos siding and moved the construction debris outside of city limits to 26390 P Ave (near intersection with Sly Ave) to burn the debris last night including the asbestos siding. The smoke was so bad he took his child to the emergency room last night due to trouble breathing. He also called the local sheriff and the fire dept. which did not appear to respond as when he was coming back from the ER at 1 a.m. The morning of 12/15/23 the fire was still going and it appears that no one came to respond as he had requested. He does not know the neighbor's name. |  |                          |                           |        |                    |               |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | Jay Smith will receive a Letter of Warning for open burning and improper disposal of construction and demolition waste. See report for full details of investigation.  |  |                          |                           |        |                    |               |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator  | Concern Type             | City/County               | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action  |
| 55934                    | 12/19/2023    | 12/20/2023   | City of St. Joseph Landfill  | Odor<br>Sanitary         | St. Joseph<br>/Buchanan   | KCRO   | MC                 | Other         |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | From Web Form: The Landfill in Buchanan County has grown so large that it looks like a small mountain now. There is also a fair amount of odor from time to time. When the wind blows from the west you can smell it.  |  |                          |                           |        |                    |               |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | Currently, the landfill is in compliance with the height requirement, with the temporary storage to be incorporated into an active cell. Since the concerned citizen did not state that there is currently a strong odor emitting from the landfill, the department will respond as soon as possible when a concern comes in about strong odors from the landfill.   |  |                          |                           |        |                    |               |

| Concern No.       | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |                          |
|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| 55935             | 12/20/2023    | 12/20/2023   | Unknown                         | Odor         | /Jackson    |        | KCRO               | MC           | Referred to Other Agency |
| Concern Info:     |               | Submitted at 7:16 am Wednesday, December 20, 2023 via webform: Date of incident or first noticed: 11/21/23 -   |                                 |              |             |        |                    |              |                          |
|                   |               | We do daily morning walks around 6:30 am around 30th & Holmes around Union Hill Cemetery and there is on most mornings a very noxious smell, not sure where it is coming from, smells like someone is burning something that should not be burned, a bit like smoke but with electrical and maybe tires burning smell. It was first noticed when the colder temps started. |                                 |              |             |        |                    |              |                          |
| Concern Comments: |               | This concern is located within the city limits of Kansas City, MO; therefore, the concern was referred to the delegated local agency, which is the City of Kansas City Health Department's Air Quality Program.  |                                 |              |             |        |                    |              |                          |

| Concern No.       | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action           |
|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|------------------------|
| 55938             | 12/19/2023    | 12/19/2023   | Triple P Recycling              | Open Burning |             | SWRO   | JW                 | Phone Conversation LOW |
|                   |               |  |                                 |              | /Lawrence   |        |                    |                        |
| Concern Info:     |               | Caller states Triple P recycling center is burning metal junk behind their building as of 8am on 12/19/2023. Burn pile is about 20 cubic yards and is generating lots of black smoke.  |                                 |              |             |        |                    |                        |
| Concern Comments: |               | See Sharepoint #7699<br>When I arrived from Hwy 60, I noticed a gray smoke plume wafting out of the property from behind the recycling center building. I entered the facility and introduced myself along with my credentials to Timothy Pamperion, the owner of Triple P Recycling LLC. I informed Pamperion of the reason for my visit. Pamperion readily offered me permission to check out the burn pile for evidence of illegal open burning. As I approached the burn pile with Pamperion, I immediately noticed that trade waste was being burned, including but not limited to many metallic components. Pamperion stated that that burn had only transpired for a couple of hours, but the concern came in earlier that morning. Pamperion stated that he typically only burns plastic bags that customers use to transport their recycled aluminum cans and other materials. I explained to Pamperion that any burning of any materials, whether metallic or plastic or electrical, is considered trade waste if it involves his business, and that open burning of trade waste is illegal per Missouri Open Burning Requirements. I clarified with Pamperion that he can permissibly burn vegetative waste. Pamperion stated that he and his employees from Triple P Recycling LLC routinely bring household trash from their own houses to burn inside the burn ring at the facility. Pamperion asked whether that was a legal practice. I informed Pamperion that household trash can only be burned at the point of origin, the home itself. It cannot be transported to another site and then burned. I asked that Pamperion prepare to provide the department evidence of proper disposal of the remaining trade waste in the burn pit. I also mentioned that Pamperion should prevent smoke from any future burns, namely burns consisting only of vegetative waste, from wafting off property onto Hwy 60. After I handed Pamperion a copy of the fact sheet on Missouri Open Burning Requirements, he readily signed the Air Pollution Violation Acknowledgment after I explained its purpose. By the time I left, the active burn had been mostly extinguished. |                                 |              |             |        |                    |                        |

| Concern No.       | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action              |
|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| 55938             | 12/19/2023    | 12/19/2023   | Triple P Recycling              | Open Burning |             | SWRO   | JW                 | Phone Conversation<br>RTC |
|                   |               |  |                                 |              | /Lawrence   |        |                    |                           |
| Concern Info:     |               | Caller states Triple P recycling center is burning metal junk behind their building as of 8am on 12/19/2023. Burn pile is about 20 cubic yards and is generating lots of black smoke.  |                                 |              |             |        |                    |                           |
| Concern Comments: |               | See Sharepoint #7699<br>When I arrived from Hwy 60, I noticed a gray smoke plume wafting out of the property from behind the recycling center building. I entered the facility and introduced myself along with my credentials to Timothy Pamperion, the owner of Triple P Recycling LLC. I informed Pamperion of the reason for my visit. Pamperion readily offered me permission to check out the burn pile for evidence of illegal open burning. As I approached the burn pile with Pamperion, I immediately noticed that trade waste was being burned, including but not limited to many metallic components. Pamperion stated that that burn had only transpired for a couple of hours, but the concern came in earlier that morning. Pamperion stated that he typically only burns plastic bags that customers use to transport their recycled aluminum cans and other materials. I explained to Pamperion that any burning of any materials, whether metallic or plastic or electrical, is considered trade waste if it involves his business, and that open burning of trade waste is illegal per Missouri Open Burning Requirements. I clarified with Pamperion that he can permissibly burn vegetative waste. Pamperion stated that he and his employees from Triple P Recycling LLC routinely bring household trash from their own houses to burn inside the burn ring at the facility. Pamperion asked whether that was a legal practice. I informed Pamperion that household trash can only be burned at the point of origin, the home itself. It cannot be transported to another site and then burned. I asked that Pamperion prepare to provide the department evidence of proper disposal of the remaining trade waste in the burn pit. I also mentioned that Pamperion should prevent smoke from any future burns, namely burns consisting only of vegetative waste, from wafting off property onto Hwy 60. After I handed Pamperion a copy of the fact sheet on Missouri Open Burning Requirements, he readily signed the Air Pollution Violation Acknowledgment after I explained its purpose. By the time I left, the active burn had been mostly extinguished. |                                 |              |             |        |                    |                           |

| Concern No.       | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| 55939             | 12/14/2023    | 12/26/2023   |                                 | Open Burning |             | SWRO   | CR                 | Communication<br>In Person |
|                   |               |  |                                 |              | /Christian  |        |                    |                            |
| Concern Info:     |               | Lavonne Hughs called again (see original concern 3908 and recent concern 7404) and said that there is still illegal burning at the trailer park. Trailer park is near Billings. Hughs wants us to visit her in person so she can point out the burn piles.   |                                 |              |             |        |                    |                            |
| Concern Comments: |               | SharePoint #7642<br>I arrived at the property at 3:09 pm. I came in contact with Jeremy Frangella and informed him of the concern we received about burning. He agreed to show me the burn pile that they use to burn household trash and untreated wood. I observed a small unburned pillow on the pile and asked that he remove it and informed him that furniture is illegal to burn. I provided him other information on what he could continue to burn and what should not be included in his burn pile and he was receptive and understanding. I thanked him for his time and left the property at 3:16. |                                 |              |             |        |                    |                            |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action            |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 55951                    | 12/19/2023    | 12/27/2023   | Wayne Choate                    | Odor         | Springfield /Greene | SWRO   | JW                 | Communication In Person |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | neighbor burning 5 quarts of oil a day in garage. Smoke gets in your home, hair, skin and it is hard to breathe that petroleum odor.   |                                 |              |                     |        |                    |                         |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>SharePoint #7694-I arrived at the neighborhood surrounding the building of concern at approximately 12:22 p.m. I drove around the perimeter of the facility to conduct cursory observations of potential odor and opacity issues. The facility was not emitting any odor or smoke from its stack during my visit. At 12:24 p.m., I met with Wayne Choate outside his facility. Choate had pulled up in his truck and I introduced myself with my credentials. After I explained the reason for my visit, Choate willingly escorted me to his garage. In the garage, he explained that he had been burning untreated wood in the stove to heat it up during the winter months. The concerned party alleged that Choate was burning used oil, but upon inspecting the stove I found no indication of such. It seemed like wood was indeed the only material burned in the stove. The the odor and opacity concerns might be partially explained by the antiquated state of the stove, which by modern standards would be considerably inefficient. I asked Choate if he thought the bad odors could have derived from some other process in his garage. Choate thinks that people are just calling our department because they are upset he runs his business in their neighborhood. Choate clarified that he always disposes of his oil in the large holding reservoirs out back, and when needed they shipped off the used oil to oil burning facilities. I thanked Choate for his time and ended the investigation at 12:47 p.m.</p> <p>The concerned party, Allan Kiser, and I had a couple of conversations prior to and after my investigation of Wayne Choate's facility. On January 5, 2024 I spoke with Kiser about future steps. I informed Kiser that he is more than welcome to give our department a call next time he smells excessive odor, and that I could try to time my visit to correspond to his observation timeframes. I remarked that the odors would have to be quite overwhelming for them to actually warrant a violation, to which Kiser replied that the odors usually were not unbearable. I thanked Kiser for his time and we ended the phone conversation. I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.</p> |                                 |              |                     |        |                    |                         |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action            |
| 55959                    | 12/18/2023    | 12/28/2023   | Hansen's Tree Service           | Odor         | Reeds Spring /Stone | SWRO   | BD                 | Site Visit              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller concerned about Hansen's Tree service. Caller lives .5 mile east of the facility. Explains that the odor coming from the facility's compost pile is sour and strong. This has been happening for over a year. It is typically worse at night. However on 12/18/23 the caller explains the wind was blowing strongly from the west and it was a strong odor all day.   |                                 |              |                     |        |                    |                         |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7690-I arrived to the facility's shop/office at 9:15 a.m. where I met with the receptionist, Vicki Ebling. Ebling informed me that the compost piles were not located on site, but roughly 3 miles down the road at 232 Dogwood Tree Rd where all the processing of compost and mulch material occur. Ebling requested Mike Walker, who is the company manager to meet me out at the compost site. I then drove over to the site and arrived just after 9:20 a.m. and met with Walker. Walker then drove me out to the location of their compost piles, which were located on the back half of the property furthest from Dogwood Tree Rd. A slight odor was detected when approaching the piles, but was not detectable at a 7:1 dilution threshold. Walker and I walked around the site some more and looked at the different processes on site while we discussed the possible source of the increased odor. Walker informed me that this time of year was their busy season for preparing mulch and compost for the spring season, so the likely source of odor had originated when the piles are turned once a month to help the composition process. Since there were no odor violations, I asked Walker if there were any questions for me and I thanked him for his time and left the site at 10 a.m. No Violations  |                                 |              |                     |        |                    |                         |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action            |
| 55961                    | 12/18/2023    | 12/20/2023   | Granby Fire Department          | Open Burning | Granby /Newton      | SWRO   | JW                 | Phone Conversation      |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller concerned that the Granby Fire Dept is burning at least 4 homes within the last year. The CP states that the fire department leaves the shingles on the home, uses diesel and scrap tires to burn houses. The most recent burning was started on 12/17/2023 near Grove Street between Fortune Teller Rd and Cherry Rd. The home is still smoking as of today (12/18/23).  |                                 |              |                     |        |                    |                         |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7684   |                                 |              |                     |        |                    |                         |



| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action            |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 55964                    | 12/15/2023    | 12/26/2023   | Unknown                         | Open Burning                | /St. Clair          | SWRO   | CR                 | Communication In Person |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller stated his neighbor is burying trash at night to hide black smoke. Most recent burning was at 3:00 AM this morning. The burning trash smells rancid. Neighbor does this every week. The burn pit is in the side yard on the west side.  |                                 |                             |                     |        |                    |                         |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7664<br>I arrived at the property at 10:45 am and met resident James Martinez. I informed him that we received a concern about burning on his property and she showed me to a burn pit where he says they had recently burned leaves. The appearance of the pile was consistent with this claim. I also observed an accumulation of timber on the property and an unburned pile of brush. I informed Martinez that it is legal to burn vegetative waste, thanked him for his time, and left the property at 10:55 am.  |                                 |                             |                     |        |                    |                         |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action            |
| 55965                    | 12/18/2023    | 12/19/2023   | Denali Basin                    | Odor                        | /Newton             | SWRO   | JW                 | Email                   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Kariann Abbott called stating the odors from the Denali basin south of Fairview are really bad. The call came in at 11:45 a.m.   |                                 |                             |                     |        |                    |                         |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7691<br>I conducted the investigation during normal business hours. I arrived at the basin region south of Fairview at approximately 8:55 a.m. and remained in the vicinity until 9:40 a.m. Using the nasal ranger, I did not detect any excessive odorous matter deriving from the Denali basin during this investigation. The temperature was slightly above freezing, humidity was below 50%, and the wind was minimal at 2mph from the southeast. Before I finalized my investigation, Jerry Evans drove by with his truck and stopped to talk to me. Evans and I conversed about the benefits he has observed with the fertilizer having been spread on his grass. Evans pointed out the areas with taller grass relative to the non-fertilized areas. Evans and I also discussed the upcoming EPA determination on PFAS contamination. Evans also indicated that there was an address nearby that was improperly storing poultry manure near a well. I thanked Evans for his time and left the basin region at 9:40 a.m.   |                                 |                             |                     |        |                    |                         |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action            |
| 55966                    | 12/19/2023    | 12/27/2023   | Carthage Crushed Limestone      | Fugitive Particulate Matter | Carthage<br>/Jasper | SWRO   | BD                 | Letter<br>LOW           |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | The company is creating large amounts of white dust, causing residents with nose, eye, and throat irritation.  |                                 |                             |                     |        |                    |                         |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7703-I arrived to the facility at 10 a.m. and drove around the accessible perimeter to check for any signs of fugitive emissions. At the time, the winds were roughly 10mph out of the south, with 95% humidity and 32 degrees Fahrenheit. During the cursory observations, I observed a large amount of dust covering the trees to the north of the entrance, as well as a large amount of sediment track out onto Civil War Rd. I also observed two trucks arrive to the property, and as they entered onto the property a large amount of fugitive dust could be seen leaving the property. I then made my way to the scalehouse and presented my credentials to Lewis Taylor. I explained the reason for my visit and Taylor requested Matt Patrick, who is the EHS Manager for the facility. I requested the watering records for the day of 12/19 and the days previous and after. The watering records were readily presented and indicated that on 12/19, 8,000 gallons had been applied and on 12/20 4750 gallons had been applied. We discussed their dust management practices and how the facility typically handles the fugitive emissions at the driveway to try and cut down on track out. Patrick indicated that they typically have the water trucks back up down the entrance and get as close as they can without allowing water to spill off onto the road, and then water from that point back towards the facility. At this point, I informed Patrick that I did see fugitive emissions leave the property and that I would have to issue a Letter of Warning to the facility. I asked Patrick if he had any questions for me, and then I thanked Patrick and Taylor for their time and left the facility at 11 a.m. |                                 |                             |                     |        |                    |                         |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type        | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 55969                    | 12/20/2023    |  | White River Sand Blasters       | Permitting Fugitive | Sparta /Christian | SWRO   | BD                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller states that the sandblasters that are located next to the residential area has increased their production rates and wants to know if it needs to be a permitted activity. Previous concerns have all regarded fugitive dust which is still an ongoing issue.  |                                 |                     |                   |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>SharePoint #7725-I arrived to the property at 10:30 a.m. and met with Fischer Tannehill, owner of White River Sand Blasters. I informed Tannehill of the reason for my visit, which was to inquire about the possibility of needing an air permit for the abrasive blasting that is conducted on site. I observed three air compressors that are used for the abrasive activities, and Tannehill informed me that the compressors were 210 cfm in size. The abrasive blasting was being conducted in an enclosed area, and no fugitive emissions were leaving the enclosed space. Other than the enclosed space, I did not observe any other control methods that would limit the amount of PM emitted into the air. I informed Tannehill that he would likely need to reach out to the permitting department to determine if the capacity that White River Sand Blasters operates would constitute getting a construction permit or not.</p> <p>When I returned to the office, I reached out to permitting to determine what the threshold would be for abrasive blasting activities. I was put into contact with Aaron Sawyer, who gave me the information for AP 42 13.2.6 which is the literature on abrasive blasting, emission factors, and different permitting thresholds for abrasive blasting. Sawyer gave me his contact information in order to pass on to the facility, so they could contact Sawyer directly if they had any questions regarding the permitting process and how to determine permitting applicability.</p> <p>On 12/29, Tannehill reached out to Sawyer with permitting and went through PTE calculations for the facility's operations. An unofficial assessment was made that the entire installment would be far below de minimus, therefore it is not likely that the facility would require any permitting. No Violation</p> |                                 |                     |                   |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 55975                    | 12/13/2023    | 12/18/2023   | Unknown                         | Open Burning | Dexter /    | SERO   | JD                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Shop behind Tooties Convenience Store on Hwy 25 in Dexter Missouri burning tires.  |                                 |              |             |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>I arrived at the alleged source of the concern at approximately 3:30 P.M. on December 18, 2023. I met with Mike Brown. I told Mike that we had received an environmental concern regarding some open burning of tires. I asked Mike if he had recently burned any tires. Mike said that he had not burned any tires and never has. Mike showed me where he has cut up some tires (like a bagel) and placed them in his dumpster to be picked up by his trash service. Mike did say that he thinks some nearby neighbors may be burning some plastic. Mike believes the individuals burning plastic may be located somewhere along County Road 701 just behind his property.</p> <p>There was a mailbox at the front of the property labeled as MJ's Auto Sales. I did notice several tires on the property, but did not observe any evidence of open burning of tires. I left Mike my business card in case he noticed any suspicious objects being burned nearby again and in case he had any questions regarding open burning, himself.</p> |                                 |              |             |        |                    |              |



| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 55988                    | 12/19/2023    | 12/19/2023  | Unknown                         | Odor         | /Jefferson  | SLRO   | SL                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Recieved odor concern from EPA Concern line, The CP is concerned about the air quality near her. She indicates the air "stinks like garbage." She also says the smell encompasses a four county radius. She is unsure where it is coming from or what may be causing it.  |                                 |              |             |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>12/19/23 SEL- I called Judy Swast and was unable to reach her. I left her a message stating that I was looking into the issue. She called me back after-hours and left a message.</p> <p>12/20/23 SEL- I contacted Judy Swast and explained I was looking into the concern. Judy Swast explained that since Saturday 12/16, she has become overwhlemed with a garbage smell outside. She stated she has no idea where the smell was coming from, but noticed the smell in Fesuts, Pevely, Arnold, and Walmart in Festus. She stated she does not know what facilities may cause the issue. The largest facility near the CP is Unicem Corp or Public Water Supply. She stated that the smell does not occur at night. I explained to her that I would be investigating, and explained the requirements for an odor violation.</p> <p>Around 10:15 I arrived at the CP's address and neighborhood. I did not notice any odors in the neighborhood. I stopped in each of the three branches of the neighborhood, and did not detect any odors. I drove around the area followng the neighborhood visit, including Unicem and Public Water Supply. I did not notice any odors from the facilities.</p> <p>I traveled along highway Z, unittl reaching highway A. I traveled east on highway A until Festus. During this time I did not observe any odors. I stopped at the First State Community Bank in Festus and was not able to detect any smells. Around 11:15, I stopped at the Festus Fire Department. I met with the Fire Chief, and asked if he had noticed any odors or recieved any complaints regarding the issue. He stated he has not observed any odors, nor has he recieved any complaints regarding any odors.</p> <p>I drove through Festus to Herculaneum while heading to Dunklin Fire Department. During this time I did not observe any odors. I arrived at Dunklin Fire Department, but did not notice any odors at the area. I spoke with fire department personnel if they had noticed any garbage odors. He informed me that he has not noticed any odors nor has recieved any complaints regarding odors.</p> <p>Upon returning to the office, I called Judy Swast. I was not able to reach her, but left a message informing her of my findings. I explained that since no odors were detected, there were no violations of 10 CSR 10-6.165</p> |                                 |              |             |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 55998                    | 12/19/2023    | 12/19/2023  | 911 East Main, Marthasville     | Open Burning | /Warren     | SLRO   | RA                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Recieved a phone call and subsequent emails from Marthasville Fire Chief Sean Johnson about a fire that he had responded to on 12/17/23. The fire contained plywood, bottles of bleach, paint cans, plastic j channel, and vinyl flooring. He provided the fire report and pictures of the fire in the email.   |                                 |              |             |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | I reviewed the fire report and pictures that I recieved from the fire chief and there were clear open burning violations.   |                                 |              |             |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.   | Date Received | Investigation Date | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type               | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|---|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56017   | 12/18/2023    | 12/21/2023         | BFC Composting Co               | Odor                       | Perryville /Perry | SERO   | JW                 | Site Visit   |
| <p><b>Concern Info:</b> rom email sent to SERO@dnr.mo.gov:<br/>To Whom it may concern,</p> <p>I have reached out numerous times on the following matter, the air quality on the following company.</p> <p><a href="https://maps.google.com/maps/place//data=!4m2!3m1!1s0x8877db58724fbf23:0x447bdecc73ad52c9?entry=s&amp;sa=X&amp;ved=2ahUKEwjQp5S7I5eDAXVKIDQIHfFDAlQ4k6B6BAgQEAA&amp;hl=en-us...">https://maps.google.com/maps/place//data=!4m2!3m1!1s0x8877db58724fbf23:0x447bdecc73ad52c9?entry=s&amp;sa=X&amp;ved=2ahUKEwjQp5S7I5eDAXVKIDQIHfFDAlQ4k6B6BAgQEAA&amp;hl=en-us...</a></p> <p>This is a smell beyond what a composting facility should have. You can not breath for the smell will take your breath away ! We are trying to sell 24 acres of developed land less than .5 mile away and no one will buy it due to the god awful smell from the business. Lake Perry residents less than a mile away have also been complaining for years and they said and no one will do anything about it. My next step is Jason Smith our state Rep of Missouri. It's just not normal it smells like rotting flesh or animal carcasses. It can not be safe ! We were going to build and had to pull back because of this ! Developed the land trying to sell it and everyone is complaining about the smell. We need help with this matter, please get back with me.</p> <p>Cell: 573-768-1220 Jodie Weibrecht ROW Agent/ Realtor</p> <p>CONCERNED PARTY</p> <p><b>Concern Comments:</b> A Letter of Warning was issued on November 17, 2023 to BFC Composting regarding the facility's Missouri State Operating Permit MOG920011 pertaining to permit violations and operational concerns.</p> <p>On December 21, 2023, department team members had scheduled a visit prior to meet with the owner, Anna Berkbuegler, to discuss methods in meeting compliance with the permit and facility's solid waste exemption. I was advised of the concern during my drive to the site. At the time prior to the site visit, no identifiable odors were noticed on Hwy T or immediately adjacent. Afterwards, the site was investigated and operational improvements and considerations were discussed.</p> <p>The area was experiencing wind conditions of approximately 8mph out of the south during the investigation. After leaving the site, I performed odor investigation along the Parker Lake area (directly to the south) and along Hwy T, not detecting odors.</p> <p>I contacted the concerned party who was unable to reference a specific time frame regarding the odor, but referenced more of a generality regarding odor concerns. I advised the current efforts conducted by the Department and anticipated efforts of the site for improvements. I advised to contact the department should it be warranted, including specific timeframes and locations.</p> <p>Conclusions</p> <p>At the time of investigation, no odors were detected off the property boundaries of BFC Composting.</p> |               |                    |                                 |                            |                   |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.   | Date Received | Investigation Date | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type               | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56023   | 12/22/2023    | 1/4/2024           | Chris Welch                     | Open Dumps<br>Open Burning | Albany /Gentry    | KCRO   | KB                 | Other        |
| <p><b>Concern Info:</b> Submitted at 10:02 am Friday, December 22, 2023 via webform - Date of incident or first noticed: Old burned-out meth house was torn down, and debris, including old shingles, is being burned on site. It is just across the street from our elementary school.</p> <p><b>Concern Comments:</b> No clear evidence of burning or burying. Will send a letter to the property to inform of concern and regulations.</p>   |               |                    |                                 |                            |                   |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action            |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 56028                    | 12/22/2023    | 1/16/2024   | Brett Buchanan                  | Open Burning | Golden /Barry       | SWRO   | JW                 | Email                   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | The owner is burning the demolished house on his property today. It is a controlled burn with people standing around and a skid steer pushing in the debris.  |                                 |              |                     |        |                    |                         |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>SharePoint #7747-I arrived to the address of concern at 9:20 a.m. There were no structures left on site, so the demolition appeared to have been completed before my visit. While there was snow covering potential violations, the property was relatively flat which suggested that most of the demolition waste and potentially burned materials were already hauled off. There were some skidsteer/trailer tracks headed towards what used to be the foundation of the house. I walked around the neighborhood and spoke with a resident near the house of concern at 9:35 a.m. The resident informed me that Brett Buchanan was responsible for the demolition. The resident then gave me contact information for Buchanan. The resident also remarked that Buchanan conducted the demolition properly. The resident stated that an elderly lady had once lived there, but the structures left on site after her death were in bad shape. Apparently Buchanan had demolished a barn and a shed on site in addition to the abandoned house. I left the location at 9:48 a.m.</p> <p>At 10:59 a.m., I had a phone conversation with Brett Buchanan. Buchanan stated that he had demolished then removed most if not all materials that are potentially illegal to burn. I asked Buchanan whether he had burned any insulation or asphalt roofing, but he stated that the structures were so old and dilapidated that they mostly consisted of untreated wood. I told Buchanan that, because he had already hauled off all of the demolition waste and I did not find any evidence of illegal burning, I was not too concerned about the situation. I offered to send Buchanan publications about state regulations anyway, just in case he demolishes another house. I thanked Buchanan for his willingness to speak with me then we ended the phone conversation. On 1/17/2024, I emailed Buchanan pub2157 and pub2047. I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.</p> |                                 |              |                     |        |                    |                         |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action            |
| 56030                    | 12/26/2023    | 12/27/2023  | IsoNova Technologies            | Odor         | /Lawrence           | SWRO   | JW                 | Email                   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Voicemail left by anonymous caller states that the odor at Isonova is excessive and they are unable to enjoy their Christmas due to the odors. Caller wanted to know why we can't shut the facility down. No contact information was left.  |                                 |              |                     |        |                    |                         |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7755  |                                 |              |                     |        |                    |                         |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action            |
| 56031                    | 12/21/2023    | 12/26/2023  | Larry Wald                      | Odor         | /Jasper             | SWRO   | BD                 | Phone Conversation      |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller states that the smell near his home is unbearable and "not fit to breath" as a result of land application. Caller states that land application of turkey litter occurs frequently but this is much worse.  |                                 |              |                     |        |                    |                         |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7737  |                                 |              |                     |        |                    |                         |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action            |
| 56049                    | 12/27/2023    | 12/26/2023  | Shawn Murray                    | Open Burning | Billings /Christian | SWRO   | CR                 | Communication In Person |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Sharepoint 7772   |                                 |              |                     |        |                    |                         |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               |   |                                 |              |                     |        |                    |                         |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator           | Concern Type             | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---|--------------------------|---------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56051                    | 12/28/2023    | 12/28/2023  | Lathrop FSG<br>alex.minnis@lathropfsg.com | Open Burning<br>Asbestos | Lathrop<br>/Clinton | KCRO   | CK                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | There was a fire at the elevator December 21 2023. The fire was put out. Today Saturday 23, 2023, they have purposefully restarted the fire burning piles of remains that include building material, asbestos, and other contaminants that the smoke is spreading through the city at an alarming rate. Polluted grains are also being dumped and possibly burned. The air is heavy today with smoke and pollution. |   |                          |                     |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | The concern could not be confirmed. The original fire appears accidental. Fire crews were unable to extinguish the elevator grain fire completely, so the town did experience a lot of smoke since the fire started on December 21, 2023. The facility is working to fully extinguish the remaining materials.  |   |                          |                     |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56060                    | 12/21/2023    | 12/22/2023   |                                 | Open Burning | /St. Louis City | SLRO   | LZ                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | CP called and wanted to remain anonymous. She stated her neighbor has a burn barrel and the contents smell like burning plastic. She said he is a mechanic that works on his car in his driveway and has a burn barrel going while he's out working.   |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>12/22/23-RLA- I looked up the address and put the correct address of the RP in the Sharepoint Form, disregard the "driving directions" information. The residence is owned by Isaiah and Callie Johnson. This is the first concern we have received at this address.</p> <p>I (Lemma Zewdie) visited the area in the morning hours of December 26, 2023 . The RP house, 4327 Gibson Ave. St. Louis, MO 63110 is located in a densely populated residential area. The front of the houses are street parking spaces and there was no any container/ a burn barrel were the contents smell like burning plastic as the CP described. I drove on a narrow street at the back of the houses where collected house hold trash is located . I observed 3 cars parked at the back of the RP's house but no car repair activity going on and there was no burning plastic smell . No body answered the door and I was not able to talk to the RP.</p> |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56061                    | 12/27/2023    | 12/27/2023  | Unknown                         | Odor         | /St. Louis City | SLRO   | SL                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Recieved a concern from the EPA. The CP states" There is a sweet fecal smell that is noticeable in the surrounding blocks."   |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | 12/27/23 SEL, I drove to the area of hereford and fyer, around 4999 fyer ave. Along fyer I did not notice any odors. There are a couple of commercial buildings in the area, however, it was difficult to tell which were still in business. I drove throughout the commerical building area, stopping at various businesses. I did not notice any odor. I stopped in at The Pump Shop business, along Fyer, and asked the employees if they have noticed any odors or smells in the area. They stated they have not. I gave them my information if they ever wanted to report any odors in the future. I drove along Hereford and through the neighborhood. I did not notice any odors at the time of the investigation. |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received   | Investigation Date | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56062                    | 12/22/2023  | 12/22/2023         | Unknown                         | Odor         | /St. Louis City | SLRO   | SL                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     | Recieved an anonymous concern from the DNR website, the CP states that there is a smell coming from somewhere around Flyer and Hereford street and it is typically around 4999 Fyler Ave. The CP does not describe the smell or when it occurs.   |                    |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> | <p>12/22/23- RLA - I looked up the site on an aerial map and the area to the south appears to be mostly residential. The North side of Fyler appears to have some commerical properties.</p> <p>12/27/23 SEL, I drove to the area of hereford and fyler, around 4999 fyler ave. Along fyler I did not notice any odors. There are a couple of commercial buildings in the area, however, it was difficult to tell which were still in business. I drove throughout the commerical building area, stopping at various businesses. I did not notice any odor. I stopped in at The Pump Shop business, along Fyler, and asked the employees if they have noticed any odors or smells in the area. They stated they have not. I gave them my information if they ever wanted to report any odors in the future. I drove along Hereford and through the neighborhood. I did not notice any odors at the time of the investigation.</p> |                    |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received   | Investigation Date | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56068                    | 12/26/2023  | 1/4/2024           |                                 | Asbestos     | /Vernon     | SWRO   | JW                 | Email        |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     | Anonymous caller states that a contractor is demolishing a commercial building in Sheldon without having notified the department of an asbestos test or the demolition. The caller states that there is no trailer, and no indication that there are proper asbestos containment protocols. The demolition allegedly started yesterday and involves a couple of skidsteers.   |                    |                                 |              |             |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> | <p>SharePoint #7765-We (Catie Rankin and I) arrived to Sheldon at approximately 10:22 a.m. and drove around the town to conduct cursory observations. We drove to the address of concern, 206 Main St, to assess the situation. The building was dilapidated but seemed untouched. There was no indication that the building was to be demolished. We did not spot skidsteers or dumpsters anywhere. We then drove to the city hall and we met with Tammi Gruter at the front desk. We explained the reason for our visit and Gruter gave us contact information for the building owner of 206 Main St, Ed Persike.</p> <p>I contacted Ed Persike by telephone on 1/5/2024 and explained the reason for my visit to the building at Sheldon. Persike explained that he was not planning on demolishing the building. Rather, he was going to convert the building into a laundromat (and he was not going to affect load bearing structure). Persike believes we were called to Sheldon because of a personal dispute he is currently having with a former employee. Persike offered to show me his plans for the building in person, so that he could demonstrate that his project should not be regulated. Persike expressed awareness of state and federal regulations by explaining that he used to do a lot of building renovations in California. Persike is much more worried about lead in the building than anything else. I thanked Persike for his time and we ended the phone conversation. I emailed Persike on 1/9/2024 to provide him information about asbestos testing.</p> <p>Conclusions</p> <p>I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.</p> |                    |                                 |              |             |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                                     | City/County            | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| 56069                    | 12/26/2023    | 12/27/2023  | Wayne Choate                    | Odor<br>Opacity<br>Hazardous Waste<br>Generators | Springfield<br>/Greene | SWRO   | JW                 | Communication<br>In Person |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Cheyenne Wood called with concerns about Wayne Choate's oil burning operations (see concern 7694). Wood claims that the opacity from the smoke is near 100%, and the odor is a 9/10 on the intensity scale.   |                                 |  |                        |        |                    |                            |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>SharePoint #7764-I arrived at the neighborhood surrounding the building of concern at approximately 12:22 p.m. I drove around the perimeter of the facility to conduct cursory observations of potential odor and opacity issues. The facility was not emitting any odor or smoke from its stack during my visit. At 12:24 p.m., I met with Wayne Choate outside his facility. Choate had pulled up in his truck and I introduced myself with my credentials. After I explained the reason for my visit, Choate willingly escorted me to his garage. In the garage, he explained that he had been burning untreated wood in the stove to heat it up during the winter months. The concerned party alleged that Choate was burning used oil, but upon inspecting the stove I found no indication of such. It seemed like wood was indeed the only material burned in the stove. The the odor and opacity concerns might be partially explained by the antiquated state of the stove, which by modern standards would be considerably inefficient. I asked Choate if he thought the bad odors could have derived from some other process in his garage. Choate thinks that people are just calling our department because they are upset he runs his business in their neighborhood. Choate clarified that he always disposes of his oil in the large holding reservoirs out back, and when needed they shipped off the used oil to oil burning facilities. I thanked Choate for his time and ended the investigation at 12:47 p.m.</p> <p>The concerned party, Cheyenne Wood, and I had a couple of conversations prior to and after my investigation of Wayne Choate's facility. On January 9, 2024 I spoke with Wood about future steps. I informed Wood that she is more than welcome to give our department a call next time she smells excessive odor, and that I could try to time my visit to correspond to her observation timeframes. I remarked that the odors would have to be quite overwhelming for them to actually warrant a violation, to which Wood replied that the odors usually were not unbearable. I thanked Wood for her time and we ended the phone conversation.</p> <p>I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.</p> |                                 |  |                        |        |                    |                            |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                                     | City/County            | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
| 56071                    | 12/27/2023    | 12/26/2023  | Clint and Brandy                | Open Burning                                     | Billings<br>/Christian | SWRO   | CR                 |                            |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | See concern #7642 - Lavonne Hughs reports illegal burning at multiple residences in mobile home park.   |                                 |  |                        |        |                    |                            |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>SharePoint #7773</p> <p>I arrived at the property at 3:31 pm and knocked on the front door. I came in contact with Jerry Moore and informed him that we had received a concern about open burning on the property. He told me that they don't burn at their home and his demeanor demonstrated a reluctance to engage and he is believed to be a guest at the property. I informed him of our open burning regulations and what is legal to burn and left the property at 3:37 pm. I observed no violations in the portion of the property that I was able to see.</p>   |                                 |  |                        |        |                    |                            |



| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                               | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--|---------------------|--------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| 56072                    | 12/28/2023    | 1/4/2024  | James Daniels and Jim Weber     | Residential Waste Disposal<br>Open Burning | Neosho /Newton      | SWRO   | MK                 | Site Visit                 |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | CP states that at 6025 Nettle Drive, Neosho that two homes are dumping household waste onto their properties, occasionally burning insulation off copper wiring, and that the waste is leaving the property and finding its way to near by Lost Creek. CP states that the trash is overwhelming and a hazard to children that live there. CP state they have observed trailers of trash being brought to the property.  |                                 |  |                     |        |                    |                            |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7784-Upon arrival to the address of 6025 Nettle Drive, Neosho, Missouri, I observed a pile of household trash on the east side of the property between two mobile homes. I proceeded to walk up to the front door and knock. I met with the property tenant, Jim Weber. Weber informed me he is the tenant of the property. I asked him about the household trash pile and he stated the landlord was suppose to provide a dumpster but failed to do so. I advised Weber of the Missouri Solid Waste Law and Regulations that would pertain to his situation. Weber and I walked to the pile of trash. All I found in the pile was household trash. I ensured Weber understood the trash could not leave the property. I took a photo of the pile and gave him an educational packet with a business card. He said the next nice day he would attempt to burn some of it. I then left and walked over to the next door neighbors. I met with James Daniels, property tenant of the second mobile home. I shared with Daniels the details of the concern and explained the purpose of my visit. I shared with Daniels the information I shared with Weber. Daniels also had a household dump behind his home. Daniels has burned his trash down. I suggested the two neighbors go in together on trash service. I then left. |                                 |  |                     |        |                    |                            |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                               | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
| 56073                    | 12/28/2023    | 12/28/2023  | Jerry and Sherry Brooks         | Open Burning                               | Billings /Christian | SWRO   | CR                 | Letter<br>LOW              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | See concern #7642 - Lavonne Hughs reports illegal burning at multiple residences in mobile home park.   |                                 |  |                     |        |                    |                            |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7791- arrived at the property at 1:26 p.m. on December 28 and knocked at the front door. No one answered and after 3 minutes I left my card with a note to please call and walked back towards my vehicle. I noticed a deep burn pit containing the remains of small logs, household trash, a plastic container, and a large appliance. I photographed the pit and left the property at 1:31 p.m. I revisited the site on December 29 and found again that no one was at the property. LOW issued   |                                 |  |                     |        |                    |                            |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                               | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
| 56074                    | 12/28/2023    | 12/29/2023  | Janett Graham                   | Open Burning                               | Billings /Christian | SWRO   | CR                 | Communication<br>In Person |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | See concern #7642 - Lavonne Hughs reports illegal burning at multiple residences in mobile home park.   |                                 |  |                     |        |                    |                            |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7792-I arrived at the property at 11:22 am and came in contact with resident Janette Graham. I informed her of the concern we had received about open burning and inquired about the large pile in their backyard. Graham stated that they had an accumulation of items there, primarily dumped on their property, but they had not burned anything. In my observations of the pile I noted several items that are illegal to burn under Missouri regulations but found no evidence that any burning had occurred. Items included in the pile were brush, chairs, treated wood that appeared to be from demolition, shingles, and wooden pallets. I informed Graham that some of these items were regulated and that burning them would be a violation. I provided a copy of the open burning fact sheet and thanked Graham for her time. I left the property at 11:31 am. No Violation   |                                 |  |                     |        |                    |                            |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County            | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| 56075                    | 12/27/2023    | 12/28/2023   | David Johnson                   | Open Burning | Billings<br>/Christian | SWRO   | CR                 | Communication<br>In Person |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | See concern #7642 - Lavonne Hughs reports illegal burning at multiple residences in mobile home park.  |                                 |              |                        |        |                    |                            |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7774-I arrived at the property at 1:07 pm and came in contact with David Johnson. I informed Johnson that we had received a concern about burning on the property. He explained that he does burn on their property and that they only burn their household trash and vegetative waste. Johnson directed me to their burning sites which contained the remnants of household trash and vegetative waste. One pile had a small number of unburned pallets added to it, and I informed him that these could be considered a regulated item as they might be treated wood or regarded as a durable good. I advised that he would remove them but could continue to burn that other items as he was. I provided him a copy of the open burning regulations fact sheet and thanked him for his time. I left the property at 1:19 pm. No Violation |                                 |              |                        |        |                    |                            |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County            | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
| 56076                    | 12/27/2023    |  | Christopher Quackenbush         | Open Burning | Billings<br>/Christian | SWRO   | CR                 | Communication<br>In Person |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | See concern #7642 - Lavonne Hughs reports illegal burning at multiple residences in mobile home park.  |                                 |              |                        |        |                    |                            |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7775-I arrived at the property at 1:00 pm and came in contact with Christopher Quackenbush. I informed Quackenbush that we had received a concern about open burning at the property and he directed me to the remains of a burn pile in their driveway. He explained that his children had started burning their trash without him knowing because they had seen neighbors doing this and that he had put an end to it. I informed him of the open burning regulations and that burning household trash was not regulated by the state. I thanked him for his time and left the property at 1:06 pm. No Violation   |                                 |              |                        |        |                    |                            |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County            | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
| 56077                    | 12/27/2023    | 12/26/2023   | Heather Coniglio                | Open Burning | Billings<br>/Christian | SWRO   | CR                 | Communication<br>In Person |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | See concern #7642 - Lavonne Hughs reports Illegal burning at multiple residences in mobile home park.  |                                 |              |                        |        |                    |                            |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7771-I arrived at the property at 3:15 pm and came in contact with Heather Coniglio. I informed Coniglio of the concern that we had received about open burning and she claimed that they do not burn. I inquired about the furniture that I had observed in the backyard upon my arrival at the house and she explained that they had it waiting there until her husband's truck was repaired so they could haul it off. I observed no evidence of burning at the time of my visit and informed her that furniture is a regulated item and should not be burned at any later date. I left the property at 3:20 pm. No Violation   |                                 |              |                        |        |                    |                            |

| Concern No.       | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action            |
|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 56078             | 12/28/2023    | 1/3/2024   | Kemin Nutrinsurance             | Odor         |             | SWRO   | JW                 | Communication In Person |
|                   |               |  |                                 |              | /Lawrence   |        |                    |                         |
| Concern Info:     |               | Anonymous caller states Kemin has been smelling bad for the last week. The smells are off and on but were said to be particularly bad on 12/28/23.   |                                 |              |             |        |                    |                         |
| Concern Comments: |               | SharePoint #7794-I arrived in Verona at approximately 7:55 a.m. I drove around neighborhoods located south of the Kemin facility since the prevailing wind direction was from the west. I used the nasal ranger from approximately 7:58 a.m. to 8:21 a.m. in testing for the 7:1 dilution-to-threshold limit. Temperatures were freezing and humidity was around 30%. At 8:31 a.m. I drove to the Kemin facility to attempt to inform their environmental manager of my findings. Erick Hall met with me outside at 8:38 a.m. and I explained the reason for my visit. I notified Hall that I did not detect any violations during my visit that day but the odor was on the verge of excess. Hall asked if I knew of any resources that might improve his facility's wet scrubber technology. I remarked that I was more familiar with thermal oxidizers than wet scrubbers and that per department policy I am not allowed to offer recommendations on technological improvements. I thanked Hall for his time and I ended the investigation at around 8:56 a.m.I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required. |                                 |              |             |        |                    |                         |

| Concern No.       | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| 56087             | 12/21/2023    | 12/27/2023   | Unknown                         | Open Burning | Cuba<br>/Crawford | SERO   | DB                 | Communication<br>In Person |
| Concern Info:     |               | CP states the RP burned a very large pile of mattresses with a TV on top of it. CP states they have cited the RP for a nuisance property. CP states this is a gated community so you will need to call them before visiting the concern location.  |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |                            |
| Concern Comments: |               | <p>arrived at the concern address at approximately 11:20 AM on December 27, 2023. I met with James Christensen and presented my credentials. I told James that we received an environmental concern regarding the open burning of mattresses from this address. I asked James if he had been burning anything recently to which he said yes and led me to his backyard. There, James showed me a burn pile and explained that he had burned one box spring for a mattress. I could still see the four wooden corners from the box spring, now charred. I could also see what looked to be a little bit of fabric from the box spring as well. James said that this has been the only thing he has burned.</p> <p>I told James that things like mattresses, box springs, etc. should not be open burned. The only items allowed to be open burned are vegetative/yard waste, untreated wood waste, and some household waste or trash. I provided James with a copy of Missouri Air Conservation Regulation 10 Code of State Regulations (CSR) 10-6.045 Open Burning Requirements as well as a copy of PUB2047 - Facts on Open Burning Under Missouri Regulations. I briefly discussed with James the do's and dont's of open burning within the state of Missouri. I also encouraged him to consider other disposal options aside from open burning such as residential trash pickup, landfills, transfer stations, large trash pickups, etc.</p> <p>After speaking with James for a while I encouraged him to contact me with any open burning or disposal questions that he may have and then I left the area.</p> <p>James Christensen burned one box spring. I discussed the do's and dont's of open burning and disposal with James and provided him a copy of .Missouri Air Conservation Regulation 10 CSR 10-6.045 Open Burning Requirements as well as a copy of PUB2047 - Facts on Open Burning Under Missouri Regulations. I encouraged him to contact me in the future if he has any open burning or disposal questions.</p> |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |                            |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type               | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action       |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 56091                    | 1/3/2024      | 1/3/2024   | Protein Solutions               | Odor                       | Joplin<br>/Newton | SWRO   | BD                 | Phone Conversation |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Concerned party called to put in an odor concern as a rotten smell has filled their workplace. Protein Solutions likely cause of smell, there is another plant nearby but this one has has previous issues of snowing/odor. Rotting odor, similar to natural gas but states that has been investigated and there are no natural gas leaks in the area. Smell began at 730, was not noticable before then, and has filled neighboring plant. This is not the first time the odor has been an issue, but it is not ususally so bad. States the odor is intermittent and is worried the investigator may miss it.   |                                 |                            |                   |        |                    |                    |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7816<br>I arrived to the area at 12:30 p.m. and drove the accessible perimeter to try and determine the direction of odor, as the wind speed was very low at that time (3 mph from the W). After circling the perimeter of the facility, no odor was detected at that time.  |                                 |                            |                   |        |                    |                    |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type               | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action       |
| 56092                    | 1/2/2024      | 1/3/2024   | IsoNova Technologies            | Odor                       | <br>/Lawrence     | SWRO   | JW                 | Email              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | From SWRO Voice Mail:<br>IsoNova - Smells Terrible<br>No contact information given   |                                 |                            |                   |        |                    |                    |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7812-At approximately 7:53 a.m. I drove by the IsoNova Technologies facility to conduct investigations at other facilities in Verona. I did not detect excessive odor during that going-by. Once I finished the Verona investigations, I drove to IsoNova again at 8:45 a.m. to officially use the nasal ranger. I used the nasal ranger from 8:47 a.m. to 8:58 a.m. and I did not detect any excessive odorous matter. I emailed Dan Bowers after my investigation to inform him of my findings.<br>Conclusions<br>I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.   |                                 |                            |                   |        |                    |                    |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type               | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action       |
| 56093                    | 1/2/2024      | 1/16/2024  | Terry Robertson                 | Open Burning<br>Open Dumps | <br>/Morgan       | SWRO   | JW                 | Site Visit         |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller says that a man is pulling stuff out of a house and burning it next to the house. He thinks the man's mother used to live there and he is emptying out the house. He said it is more than normal trash, and there is a lot of black smoke.  |                                 |                            |                   |        |                    |                    |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7808-Prior to my investigation, I called Morgan County Sheriff's office to inquire whether I needed a deputy to assist me during my visit. Deputy Dills called me back and said that Terry Robertson, the alleged responsible party, does not have any active warrants. The deputy stated that Robertson is not an aggressive person and he's usually not located at the address I was to investigate. Dills suspects that Robertson's neighbors are just upset, because their office gets calls on nearly a weekly basis about Robertson. Dills said he'd be willing to help me if Robertson was on site. I told Dills I would call him if I found Robertson on site.<br><br>I arrived to the address in question at approximately 2:30 p.m. The address brought me to a slightly different location than the coordinates listed. Because there were significant amounts of snow in south Missouri, it was hard to discern whether there were burn piles. There was quite a lot of trash at this address, but I did not find evidence of illegal open burning. While there were some burned materials near a trash can, they all seemed to have fit the description of household trash or non-durable goods. I knocked on the door of the house near the coordinates but no one answered. I drove around the neighborhood for about ten minutes to see if there were any signs of illegal burning, but I did not find anything. I left the area at 2:41 p.m. I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required. |                                 |                            |                   |        |                    |                    |

| Concern No.       | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action            |
|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 56094             | 12/30/2023    | 1/3/2024  | Kemin Nutrisurance              | Odor         | /Lawrence   | SWRO   | JW                 | Communication In Person |
| Concern Info:     |               | Anonymous caller over the weekend said "I'm putting Kemin on the naughty list again" for excessive odors in the early morning hours.  |                                 |              |             |        |                    |                         |
| Concern Comments: |               | SharePoint #7821-I arrived in Verona at approximately 7:55 a.m. I drove around neighborhoods located south of the Kemin facility since the prevailing wind direction was from the west. I used the nasal ranger from approximately 7:58 a.m. to 8:21 a.m. in testing for the 7:1 dilution-to-threshold limit. Temperatures were freezing and humidity was around 30%. At 8:31 a.m. I drove to the Kemin facility to attempt to inform their environmental manager of my findings. Erick Hall met with me outside at 8:38 a.m. and I explained the reason for my visit. I notified Hall that I did not detect any violations during my visit that day but the odor was on the verge of excess. Hall asked if I knew of any resources that might improve his facility's wet scrubber technology. I remarked that I was more familiar with thermal oxidizers than wet scrubbers and that per department policy I am not allowed to offer recommendations on technological improvements. I thanked Hall for his time and I ended the investigation at around 8:56 a.m. I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required. |                                 |              |             |        |                    |                         |

| Concern No.       | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County    | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56096             | 1/3/2024      | 1/3/2024  | Garry Topham                    | Open Burning | Joplin /Newton | SWRO   | BD                 | Letter       |
| Concern Info:     |               | Sharepoint 7825. Large plume of dark black smoke visible from I-44. |                                 |              |                |        |                    |              |
| Concern Comments: |               |   |                                 |              |                |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.       | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action            |
|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 56097             | 1/3/2024      | 1/3/2024   | Wayne McClausland               | Open Burning | Willard /Greene | SWRO   | BD                 | Communication In Person |
| Concern Info:     |               | Large black smoke plume visible from West Bypass in Springfield. |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |                         |
| Concern Comments: |               | SharePoint #7826   |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |                         |

| Concern No.       | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                            | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action      |
|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 56103             | 12/15/2023    | 12/21/2023   | Trevor Drewes                   | Open Dumps: Construction and Demolition | Fairfax /Atchison | KCRO   | DS                 | Report<br><br>LOW |
| Concern Info:     |               | Call from Kenny with EER last night on COD line-call received at 1:30 a.m. 12/14/23 to EER: CP has a neighbor at 410 N. 3rd St, Fairfax, MO that demolished his house which had asbestos siding and moved the construction debris outside of city limits to 26390 P Ave (near intersection with Sly Ave) to burn the debris last night including the asbestos siding. The smoke was so bad he took his child to the emergency room last night due to trouble breathing. He also called the local sheriff and the fire department which did not appear to respond, as when he was coming back from the ER at 1 a.m. the morning 12/15/23, the fire was still going. It appears that no one came to respond as he had requested. He does not know the neighbor's name. |                                 |   |                   |        |                    |                   |
| Concern Comments: |               | Trevor Drewes will receive a Letter of Warning for the improper disposal of construction and demolition waste. See report for full details of investigation.   |                                 |   |                   |        |                    |                   |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type         | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56106                    | 12/29/2023    | 12/29/2023  | City of Moberly WWTF            | Odor                 | Moberly /Randolph | NERO   | BH                 | Report LOW   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Email states, "Extreme lagoon odor, after supposed 30 day "fix" from prior complaints. The issue still continues. We have to drive by it every day. We found out it has been reported to you previously with a 30day plan to fix it. It is not fixed. We have driven by it daily for years and this year has by far been the worst."  |                                 |                      |                   |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | Issued a LOW with response required by 2/13/23  |                                 |                      |                   |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type         | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56106                    | 12/29/2023    | 12/29/2023  | City of Moberly WWTF            | Odor                 | Moberly /Randolph | NERO   | BH                 | Report RTC   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Email states, "Extreme lagoon odor, after supposed 30 day "fix" from prior complaints. The issue still continues. We have to drive by it every day. We found out it has been reported to you previously with a 30day plan to fix it. It is not fixed. We have driven by it daily for years and this year has by far been the worst."  |                                 |                      |                   |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | Issued a LOW with response required by 2/13/23  |                                 |                      |                   |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type         | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56107                    | 12/27/2023    | 12/28/2023  | City of Moberly WWTF            | Odor                 | Moberly /Randolph | NERO   | BH                 | Report LOW   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | DNR WEB Contact: The City of Moberly has not taken care if the horrible smell coming from the pond near HWY 63 and Rollins. It still smells extremely bad to the point you cannot open a window or sir outside because that awful smell still drifts towards the Apartment Complex, I live in which is at 220 Taylor Street. I'm willing to allow you all to come smell it coming in my windows and it being all around my apartment complex. Especially on the East side which faces towards that Ponds direction. The City has not legitimately addressed this issue and many citizens are still complaining and nothing has changed. |                                 |                      |                   |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | Issued a LOW with response required by 2/13/23  |                                 |                      |                   |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type         | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56107                    | 12/27/2023    | 12/28/2023  | City of Moberly WWTF            | Odor                 | Moberly /Randolph | NERO   | BH                 | Report RTC   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | DNR WEB Contact: The City of Moberly has not taken care if the horrible smell coming from the pond near HWY 63 and Rollins. It still smells extremely bad to the point you cannot open a window or sir outside because that awful smell still drifts towards the Apartment Complex, I live in which is at 220 Taylor Street. I'm willing to allow you all to come smell it coming in my windows and it being all around my apartment complex. Especially on the East side which faces towards that Ponds direction. The City has not legitimately addressed this issue and many citizens are still complaining and nothing has changed. |                                 |                      |                   |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | Issued a LOW with response required by 2/13/23  |                                 |                      |                   |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type         | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56108                    | 12/26/2023    | 1/3/2024  | Dogwood MHP                     | Asbestos Open Dumps: | Kirksville /Adair | NERO   | DB                 | Report RTC   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller reports demolition of most of the MHP with debris on the ground, SW and asbestos concerns  |                                 |                      |                   |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | Failure to inspect & notify   |                                 |                      |                   |        |                    |              |



| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type         | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56108                    | 12/26/2023    | 1/3/2024   | Dogwood MHP                     | Asbestos Open Dumps: | Kirksville /Adair | NERO   | DB                 | Report UF    |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller reports demolition of most of the MHP with debris on the ground, SW and asbestos concerns |                                 |                      |                   |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | Failure to inspect & notify  |                                 |                      |                   |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type     | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action    |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|-----------------|
| 56115                    | 1/4/2024      | 1/8/2024   | Steve Redford                   | Land Disturbance | /Taney      | SWRO   | RV                 | Site Visit TODS |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller states that a large (potentially hundreds of acres) of land is currently being cleared and burned behind the Hungry Hunter and Branson Statecoach Campground on 165/265 Hwy. Caller is concerned about the implications for the area as it is located near Table Rock State Park, Lake Tablerock, and Lake Taneycomo. Caller also states that there is not a land disturbance permit for this location. GIS indicates that Table Rock Resort LLC and Table Rock RV Resort LLC are the owners of the land being cleared, which totals to 163.8 acres.  |                                 |                  |             |        |                    |                 |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7835<br>On January 8, 2024 I called Karl Finkenbinder, COO with Lifestyle Contractors, about the Upton Property project. Finkenbinder explained that a site representative would not be available to meet for an inspection but granted permission for me to access the property. Finkenbinder also emailed a copy of the sites Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). During the site visit, I observed that approximately 2 acres had been disturbed. A 50ft vegetative buffer was maintained along the perimeter of the project. No additional Best Management Practices (BMPs) were installed. No sediment was observed leaving the property. A stream downslope of the disturbed area was observed to be clear and free of debris and sediment. The site lacked a public notification sign and stabilized construction entrance to prevent sediment track out onto the public road. See report for more details. |                                 |                  |             |        |                    |                 |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type     | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action  |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|---------------|
| 56115                    | 1/4/2024      | 1/8/2024   | Steve Redford                   | Land Disturbance | /Taney      | SWRO   | RV                 | Site Visit UF |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller states that a large (potentially hundreds of acres) of land is currently being cleared and burned behind the Hungry Hunter and Branson Statecoach Campground on 165/265 Hwy. Caller is concerned about the implications for the area as it is located near Table Rock State Park, Lake Tablerock, and Lake Taneycomo. Caller also states that there is not a land disturbance permit for this location. GIS indicates that Table Rock Resort LLC and Table Rock RV Resort LLC are the owners of the land being cleared, which totals to 163.8 acres.  |                                 |                  |             |        |                    |               |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7835<br>On January 8, 2024 I called Karl Finkenbinder, COO with Lifestyle Contractors, about the Upton Property project. Finkenbinder explained that a site representative would not be available to meet for an inspection but granted permission for me to access the property. Finkenbinder also emailed a copy of the sites Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). During the site visit, I observed that approximately 2 acres had been disturbed. A 50ft vegetative buffer was maintained along the perimeter of the project. No additional Best Management Practices (BMPs) were installed. No sediment was observed leaving the property. A stream downslope of the disturbed area was observed to be clear and free of debris and sediment. The site lacked a public notification sign and stabilized construction entrance to prevent sediment track out onto the public road. See report for more details. |                                 |                  |             |        |                    |               |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                           | City/County    | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--|----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56116                    | 1/4/2024      | 1/4/2024  | Steven Redford                  | Fugitive Particulate Matter Permitting | Branson /Taney | SWRO   | CR                 | Letter       |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Fugitive particulate matter from Lifestyle Builders burning cleared wood within 40 feet of Branson Stagecoach Campgrounds located near 165 and 265.   |                                 |  |                |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>SharePoint #7841-Jackson Winslow and I arrived at the Branson Stagecoach Campground at 2:28 pm. We made precursory observations of the active burn piles and spoke with one of the concerned parties, Annette Persinger, about her observations so far. At 2:45 we proceeded to the site and came in contact with the project manager, Steve Redford. We inquired about the project and discovered that it was a 130 acre land clearing project. We observed that the burning was occurring within 200 yards of the nearest occupied structure and discovered that they had intent to burn through the night and were using diesel fuel as an accelerant. Redford was informed of these violations and provided a copy of the open burning regulations. When asked to sign the Air Pollution Acknowledgement Form, Redford refused and asked that it be sent to his lawyer to review. Winslow reiterated that there would likely be further involvement from the department because of their refusal to adjust their practices and comply with regulations. After saying goodbye to Redford, Winslow and I continued to survey the property and counted 14 burn piles with 10 actively burning. We then spoke with multiple concerned parties who approached us while we exited and updated Persinger on our findings. Throughout the visit to the RV park we observed ash constantly falling. Winslow and I left the site at 3:25 pm. Refer to report for more details and photos. Land clearing open burning violations: Burning within 200 yards of an occupied structure, burning after sunset, fugitive particulate matter.</p> <p>LOW issued - tracked under concern #7833 ACE#56125</p> |                                 |  |                |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                | City/County    | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action  |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------|--------------------|---------------|
| 56118                    | 1/4/2024      | 1/4/2024  | Steven Redford                  | Fugitive Particulate Matter | Branson /Taney | SWRO   | CR                 | Letter<br>LOW |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Burning is still occurring next door to the Branson Stagecoach Campground located near Branson, Missouri.   |                                 |                             |                |        |                    |               |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>SharePoint #7845-Jackson Winslow and I arrived at the Branson Stagecoach Campground at 2:28 pm. We made cursory observations of the active burn piles and spoke with one of the concerned parties, Annette Persinger, about her observations so far. At 2:45 we proceeded to the site and came in contact with the project manager, Steve Redford. We inquired about the project and discovered that it was a 130 acre land clearing project. We observed that the burning was occurring within 200 yards of the nearest occupied structure and discovered that they had intent to burn through the night and were using diesel fuel as an accelerant. Redford was informed of these violations and provided a copy of the open burning regulations. When asked to sign the Air Pollution Acknowledgement Form, Redford refused and asked that it be sent to his lawyer to review. Winslow reiterated that there would likely be further involvement from the department because of their refusal to adjust their practices and comply with regulations. After saying goodbye to Redford, Winslow and I continued to survey the property and counted 14 burn piles with 10 actively burning. We then spoke with multiple concerned parties who approached us while we exited and updated Persinger on our findings. Throughout the visit to the RV park we observed ash constantly falling. Winslow and I left the site at 3:25 pm. Refer to report for more details and photos.</p> <p>LOW issued - tracked under concern #7833 ACE#56125</p> |                                 |                             |                |        |                    |               |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action  |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------|---------------|
| 56118                    | 1/4/2024      | 1/4/2024  | Steven Redford                  | Fugitive Particulate Matter | Branson<br>/Taney | SWRO   | CR                 | Letter<br>RTC |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Burning is still occurring next door to the Branson Stagecoach Campground located near Branson, Missouri.   |                                 |                             |                   |        |                    |               |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>SharePoint #7845-Jackson Winslow and I arrived at the Branson Stagecoach Campground at 2:28 pm. We made cursory observations of the active burn piles and spoke with one of the concerned parties, Annette Persinger, about her observations so far. At 2:45 we proceeded to the site and came in contact with the project manager, Steve Redford. We inquired about the project and discovered that it was a 130 acre land clearing project. We observed that the burning was occurring within 200 yards of the nearest occupied structure and discovered that they had intent to burn through the night and were using diesel fuel as an accelerant. Redford was informed of these violations and provided a copy of the open burning regulations. When asked to sign the Air Pollution Acknowledgement Form, Redford refused and asked that it be sent to his lawyer to review. Winslow reiterated that there would likely be further involvement from the department because of their refusal to adjust their practices and comply with regulations. After saying goodbye to Redford, Winslow and I continued to survey the property and counted 14 burn piles with 10 actively burning. We then spoke with multiple concerned parties who approached us while we exited and updated Persinger on our findings. Throughout the visit to the RV park we observed ash constantly falling. Winslow and I left the site at 3:25 pm. Refer to report for more details and photos.</p> <p>LOW issued - tracked under concern #7833 ACE#56125</p> |                                 |                             |                   |        |                    |               |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                     | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56120                    | 1/4/2024      | 1/4/2024   | Steve Redford                   | Land Disturbance<br>Open Burning | <br>/Taney  | SWRO   | CR                 | Letter       |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | <p>Caller states that a land clearing operation is located near the RV business they manage and that the embers and ash from the fire are coming on to the property and covering the RV's. Caller also states that there are elderly people living in the RV's who are on oxygen and the smoke is creating issues with breathing for residents. The burning is occurring behind the Hungry Hunter and Branson Stagecoach Campground along the power line path off of 165/265 near Table Rock State Park. Caller also states that no land disturbance permit has been granted for the land clearing. Caller was told that the time estimated for the land clearing to be complete was 3-5 months of non stop burning. Beacon GIS data indicates that Table Rock Resort LLC and Table Rock RV Resort LLC owns the two properties which totals to 163.8 acres.</p>  |                                 |                                  |             |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>SharePoint #7836-Jackson Winslow and I arrived at the Branson Stagecoach Campground at 2:28 pm. We made precursory observations of the active burn piles and spoke with the concerned party, Annette Persinger, about her observations so far. At 2:45 we proceeded to the site and came in contact with the project manager, Steve Redford. We inquired about the project and discovered that it was a 130 acre land clearing project. We observed that the burning was occurring within 200 yards of the nearest occupied structure and discovered that they had intent to burn through the night and were using diesel fuel as an accelerant. Redford was informed of these violations and provided a copy of the open burning regulations. When asked to sign the Air Pollution Acknowledgement Form, Redford refused and asked that it be sent to his lawyer to review. Winslow reiterated that there would likely be further involvement from the department because of their refusal to adjust their practices and comply with regulations. After saying goodbye to Redford, Winslow and I continued to survey the property and counted 14 burn piles with 10 actively burning. We then spoke with multiple concerned parties who approached us while we exited and updated Persinger on our findings. Throughout the visit to the RV park we observed ash constantly falling. Winslow and I left the site at 3:25 pm. Refer to report for more details and photos.</p> <p>Conclusions<br/>Click here to open command menu [Ctrl + Down arrow].<br/>Land clearing open burning violations: Burning within 200 yards of an occupied structure, burning after sunset, use of petroleum-based product as an accelerant, fugitive particulate matter.<br/>LOW issued - tracked under concern #7833 ACE#56125</p> |                                 |                                  |             |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.   | Date Received | Investigation Date | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County    | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|---|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56121   | 1/4/2024      | 1/4/2024           |                                 | Open Burning | Branson /Taney | SWRO   | CR                 | Letter       |
| <p><b>Concern Info:</b> Call from Taney county Sheriff Office regarding a large burn pile. Stated that they have received several calls today and were told that the RV park will be burning "All month long." They are not sure what is in it but they were told that fire dept was called but they can not do anything because it is "more than 40 feet away from a structure." Dispatch got a call while I was talking to them and put me on hold- when they came back they said the call was from a lady named Anna (info below) and stated that they are burning extremely close to her trailer and she is 8 months pregnant.</p> <p><b>Concern Comments:</b> SharePoint #7838-Jackson Winslow and I arrived at the Branson Stagecoach Campground at 2:28 pm. We made precursory observations of the active burn piles and spoke with one of the concerned parties, Annette Persinger, about her observations so far. At 2:45 we proceeded to the site and came in contact with the project manager, Steve Redford. We inquired about the project and discovered that it was a 130 acre land clearing project. We observed that the burning was occurring within 200 yards of the nearest occupied structure and discovered that they had intent to burn through the night and were using diesel fuel as an accelerant. Redford was informed of these violations and provided a copy of the open burning regulations. When asked to sign the Air Pollution Acknowledgement Form, Redford refused and asked that it be sent to his lawyer to review. Winslow reiterated that there would likely be further involvement from the department because of their refusal to adjust their practices and comply with regulations. After saying goodbye to Redford, Winslow and I continued to survey the property and counted 14 burn piles with 10 actively burning. We then spoke with multiple concerned parties who approached us while we exited and updated Persinger on our findings. Throughout the visit to the RV park we observed ash constantly falling. Winslow and I left the site at 3:25 pm. Refer to report for more details and photos.</p> <p>Conclusions</p> <p>Land clearing open burning violations: Burning within 200 yards of an occupied structure, burning after sunset, use of petroleum-based product as an accelerant, fugitive particulate matter.<br/>LOW issued - tracked under concern #7833 ACE#56125</p> |               |                    |                                 |              |                |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.   | Date Received | Investigation Date | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|---|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56122   | 1/5/2024      | 1/10/2024          |                                 | Odor         | /Lawrence   | SWRO   | JW                 | Email NOV    |
| <p><b>Concern Info:</b> There is a horrible smell coming from the Isonova Technologies facility and making it to the CP party property.</p> <p><b>Concern Comments:</b> SharePoint #7860<br/>After noting the wind was out of the south-southwest, I approached IsoNova from the southward direction on P highway at approximately 1:51 p.m. I drove past IsoNova and observed that its stack plumes were oriented north-northeast. I then began driving back and forth on the east/west portion of P highway adjacent to state highway 39. At 2:02 p.m., I began using my calibrated St. Croix nasal ranger, a tool that measures the degree to which odorous air exists (see Sampling and Monitoring section below). The odors deriving from IsoNova were intermittently noticeable because of the unusually high winds that minimized local dispersion. As the winds shifted from north-northeast to northwest and occasionally to east-northeast, I did not detect excessive odorous matter for the first ~30 minutes of the investigation. After each time I used the nasal ranger, I ensured that I drove away from the plume direction and rolled up my windows with the AC on to clear away residual odors. I did not observe any land application trucks on or off the IsoNova property. I did not detect any smells deriving from Verona as I initially approached IsoNova from the south. During the investigation, I verified two detections at least 15 minutes apart and within one hour of odors exceeding the 7:1 dilution threshold, confirming a violation of the regulations outlined in 10 CSR 10.6-165. The odors were akin to a burnt-egg odor, which is an odor known to originate from the IsoNova Technologies facility. Initial weather conditions consisted of a 47° F temperature, 36% humidity, 5% cloud cover, and generally northeast bound winds at 10-15 mph. Weather conditions for the second detection were similar. After I recorded the two detections with the nasal ranger, I again double checked that there were no odors from other facilities or land application practices in the area. I emailed Dan Bowers after my investigation to inform him of the findings of my investigation. I called the concerned party, Chris Eicher, on the morning of January 12 to inform him of my findings. I left a voicemail and briefly described my observations on the 1/10 investigation. I gave him my phone number in case he wanted to call us back and ask more questions.</p> |               |                    |                                 |              |             |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type   | City/County             | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action                |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 56125                    | 1/4/2024      | 1/4/2024  | Steven Redford                  | Permitting<br>Fugitive<br>Open Burning                 | Branson<br>/Taney       | SWRO   | CR                 | Letter<br>LOW               |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Fugitive particulate matter from Lifestyle Builders burning cleared wood within 40 feet of Branson Stagecoach Campgrounds located near 165 and 265.   |                                 |  |                         |        |                    |                             |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>SharePoint #7833-Jackson Winslow and I arrived at the Branson Stagecoach Campground at 2:28 pm. We made precursory observations of the active burn piles and spoke with one of the concerned parties, Annette Persinger, about her observations so far. At 2:45 we proceeded to the site and came in contact with the project manager, Steve Redford. We inquired about the project and discovered that it was a 130 acre land clearing project. We observed that the burning was occurring within 200 yards of the nearest occupied structure and discovered that they had intent to burn through the night and were using diesel fuel as an accelerant. Redford was informed of these violations and provided a copy of the open burning regulations. When asked to sign the Air Pollution Acknowledgement Form, Redford refused and asked that it be sent to his lawyer to review. Winslow reiterated that there would likely be further involvement from the department because of their refusal to adjust their practices and comply with regulations. After saying goodbye to Redford, Winslow and I continued to survey the property and counted 14 burn piles with 10 actively burning. We then spoke with multiple concerned parties who approached us while we exited and updated Persinger on our findings. Throughout the visit to the RV park we observed ash constantly falling. Winslow and I left the site at 3:25 pm. Refer to report for more details and photos.</p> <p>Conclusions<br/>Click here to open command menu [Ctrl + Down arrow].<br/>Land clearing open burning violations: Burning within 200 yards of an occupied structure, burning after sunset, fugitive particulate matter.</p> |                                 |  |                         |        |                    |                             |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type   | City/County             | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action                |
| 56128                    | 1/8/2024      | 1/8/2024  | Smith-Hale Middle School        | Asbestos   | Kansas City<br>/Jackson | KCRO   | MC                 | Referred to<br>Other Agency |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | <p>In April, 2023 School Building at 8927 Longview Road, KCMO that has been under going a renovation. In this process, the caller states the building has asbestos and the workers doing the renovation have been removing the material and blasting it in the dumpster and on the ground. Believes the asbestos has not been remediated. Material still on site and dumpsters still on site. The material that was blown by the bead blaster blew all over and the football field that is turf is covered in residue.</p> <p>Caller stated KCPD and KC HAZMAT operated at the location and left when they heard the building had asbestos. Caller contacted KC Health Department and they did not have any further actions at the site.</p>  |                                 |  |                         |        |                    |                             |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | This concern is located within the city limits of Kansas City, MO; therefore, the concern was referred to the delegated local agency, which is the City of Kansas City Health Department's Air Quality Program.   |                                 |  |                         |        |                    |                             |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type   | City/County             | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action                |
| 56130                    | 1/8/2024      | 1/9/2024  | Holiday Lakes                   | General Water<br>Quality<br>Open Dumps<br>Open Burning | Odessa<br>/Lafayette    | KCRO   | AW                 | Site Visit                  |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Per the CP: This used to be a campground. It went out of business and some individuals decided to stay. The place is absolutely horrendous. Trash is blowing everywhere. Individuals are burning anything they can which is creating toxic smoke. When winds are out of the north it blows directly at our house causing my family to be sick. They also have no running water and dump there sewage anywhere they want. There is several ponds and creeks running through the area. Something has to be done soon. This place has gotten worse recently. I do have many pictures but it won't allow me to attach.  |                                 |  |                         |        |                    |                             |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | No further action required.   |                                 |  |                         |        |                    |                             |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type  | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action       |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 56132                    | 1/8/2024      | 1/11/2024  | Holiday Lakes                   | Open Dumps<br>Open Burning<br>General Water Quality | Odessa /Lafayette | KCRO   | JH                 | Phone Conversation |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Per the CP: This used to be a campground. It went out of business and some individuals decided to stay. The place is absolutely horrendous. Trash is blowing everywhere. Individuals are burning anything they can which is creating toxic smoke. When winds are out of the north it blows directly at our house causing my family to be sick. They also have no running water and dump there sewage anywhere they want. There is several ponds and creeks running through the area. Something has to be done soon. This place has gotten worse recently. I do have many pictures but it won't allow me to attach. |                                 |   |                   |        |                    |                    |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | Promiscuous dumping was observed and this concern was referred to Lafayette County.  |                                 |   |                   |        |                    |                    |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type  | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action       |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 56134                    | 1/8/2024      | 1/11/2024  | Holiday Lakes                   | Open Burning<br>Open Dumps<br>General Water Quality | Odessa /Lafayette | KCRO   | DS                 | Phone Conversation |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Per the CP: This used to be a campground. It went out of business and some individuals decided to stay. The place is absolutely horrendous. Trash is blowing everywhere. Individuals are burning anything they can which is creating toxic smoke. When winds are out of the north it blows directly at our house causing my family to be sick. They also have no running water and dump there sewage anywhere they want. There is several ponds and creeks running through the area. Something has to be done soon. This place has gotten worse recently. I do have many pictures but it won't allow me to attach. |                                 |   |                   |        |                    |                    |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>No active open burning was observed during the investigation. It appears there is some open burning of household waste, which is not prohibited by the department's air regulations. A burned trailer house was also observed, but I could not determine the responsible party, when the trailer was burned, or whether the burning was intentional or accidental.</p> <p>Lafayette County is in the process of acquiring parcels in the area and conducting clean-up. Findings and regulations were shared with Lafayette County officials.</p>  |                                 |   |                   |        |                    |                    |



| Concern No.       | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56152             | 12/28/2023    | 12/28/2023   | MAWC Cedar Hill WWTF            | Odor         | /Jefferson  | SLRO   | HJ                 |              |
| Concern Info:     |               | Julie Webb called and explained that the lagoon near her subdivision has a horrible odor to it and "that it can't be safe to be around this." Julie continued explaining that she has contacted the sewer company and that they explained it is fine.  |                                 |              |             |        |                    |              |
| Concern Comments: |               | <p>12/28/23: I reviewed the facility file and saw the WWTF was last inspected in December, 2022, after SLRO received an odor concern. The facility was found to be in compliance. There were no operations issued noted during the inspection. I checked the online SSO reporting site and found no overflow or bypass events have been reported by the facility since 2019.</p> <p>I called the CP and asked if she had observed any sanitary sewer overflows from the subdivision's collection system or from the WWTF itself. She said no, she has only noticed the strong odors from the lagoon. She said it is much worse when the temperatures are warmer. I told the CP I will visit the facility the next day, but that if I do not observe any overflows or breakdowns in the treatment process, I cannot cite the facility for odors. I said I would follow up with SLRO's air pollution control unit. I described the concern to SLRO APC Unit Supervisor Robert Andrews and he said the odor would have to be really unbearable for APC to issue a violation. I told him I'd follow up with him after my site visit.</p> <p>12/29/23: E.S. Aaron Nickolotsky and I visited the WWTF. We parked at the southwest corner of the treatment lagoon, near the facility's permitted outfall. We did not detect any sewage odors in this location. Water discharging at the outfall appeared clear and free of sludge or solids. The receiving stream flowed north, so we drove to the northwest corner of the lagoon and observed the stream as it left the property, flowing north past the CP's subdivision. The water appeared clean and free of any sewage impacts. I did not observe any signs of overflows or bypasses from the west side of the lagoon. We drove to the Cedar Hill Estates MHC on the east side of the WWTF and parked at the northeast corner of the treatment lagoon. We did detect an odor of wastewater as we walked south on Cedar Hill Estates, along the east side of the lagoon. The odor was not very strong. We returned to the northeast corner of the lagoon and I attempted to walk into what looked like a stormwater drainage ditch on the north side, but it was protected by a barbed wire fence. The low area continued approximately 15 feet to the east in between the Cedar Hill Estates MHC and the CP's subdivision to the north. There were no signs of overflows or bypasses from this side of the lagoon. We proceeded east on Cedar Hill Estates, away from the lagoon, until we could see the back of the CP's house behind the mobile homes. We could not smell any sewage or wastewater in this area. Finally, we drove to the CP's residence on Twin Springs Blvd. northeast of the lagoon. We could not detect any sewage or wastewater odors there. (see SharePoint for full report)</p> |                                 |              |             |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.       | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County   | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56156             | 1/2/2024      | 1/3/2024  | Benjamin Braun                  | Open Burning | Ironton /Iron | SERO   | TR                 | Memo         |
| Concern Info:     |               | The concerned party (CP) states that the responsible party (RP) is burning vinyl siding behind house.   |                                 |              |               |        |                    |              |
| Concern Comments: |               | <p>travelled to the area near Ironton described by the Anonymous concerned party on January 3, 2024. I did not locate the address given, however. It appeared, while I was in the field, that Iron County Road 39A begins with addresses numbered 777 and ends in higher numbers. It is possible that the location involved is not located on Iron County Road 39A. I did not observe signs of open burning anywhere along Iron County Road 39A and I made several trips up and down Iron County Road 39A during my investigation in an effort to find this 573 County Road 39A address.</p> <p>No violations of Missouri Air Conservation Regulation 10 Code of State Regulations Open Burning Requirements were observed during this investigation.</p> |                                 |              |               |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                         | City/County        | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action       |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 56157                    | 12/20/2023    | 12/21/2023  | Sikeston Power Station          | Permitting<br>Hazardous Air<br>Other | Sikeston<br>/Scott | SERO   | TR                 | Phone Conversation |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | CP is concerned with the powerplants in Southeast Missouri causing pollution that leads to cancer. CP specifically mentioned the New Madrid powerplant in Sikeston due to it being close to their home and their family being ill. CP states they believe the air pollution, water pollution, and drinking water pollution caused by the power plant and the coal pits for the powerplant are causing large numbers of people to suffer from cancer. CP requests a call back from the inspectors asap to discuss this matter.   |                                 |                                      |                    |        |                    |                    |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>12/20/23--(Jan Dunlap-Chronister)- I talked with Mark Marks, Mark Marks is concerned about his sister living close to the Sikeston Power Station. He is concerned about the air pollution caused from burning coal at the location and the close proximaty to his sister's home. His sister has cancer. He is also concerned about the chemicals from the coal storage leaching into the ground water. I tried to explain we monitor the coal fired power plants routinely and they have to abide by air operating and air construction permit limits. These limits are established using the current scientific data available at the time of the permit application for air construction permits or for renewal in the case of air operating permits. I informed him someone would be visiting this location to check to see that the facility is currently abiding by the permit limits. I discussed that I have also inspected the Sikeston Power Station for Hazardous Waste and I did not observe any violations at that time.</p> <p>The water pollution section is in discussions about the water pollution permit limits and a site visit to observe this facility.</p> <p>12/21/2023 - (Todd Raney) I was in the area of the Sikeston Power Station from 11:47 a.m. to 12:01 p.m. and then from 12:30 p.m. to 1:10 p.m. The weather was cloudy and 52 degrees Fahrenheit. The wind was from the SSW at 7 miles per hour. During these time periods, the power plant was in operation, but I observed no visible emissions or other air issues at the plant. The Sikeston Power Station was in compliance as of its last air compliance inspection on March 16, 2023.</p> <p>On January 8, 2024, I contacted Luke St. Mary, Results Engineer and Plant Chemist for Sikeston Power Station, by telephone. I discussed this environmental concern and I asked if there had been any recent malfunctions or other operational deviations from normal. Luke St. Mary stated that there had been no malfunctions, releases, or upset conditions at Sikeston Power Station. Moreover, there have been no operational changes made. December 2023, had been a good month operationally and just routine operations had occurred.</p> <p>For the reader's information there is an electrical power plant in Marston that can be accurately identified as the New Madrid Power Plant since it is located near the town of New Madrid and is located in New Madrid County. That plant is known as Associated Electric - New Madrid Power Plant.</p> <p>The Sikeston Power Station is located in Scott County.</p> |                                 |                                      |                    |        |                    |                    |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                         | City/County        | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action       |
| 56172                    | 1/4/2024      | 1/4/2024  | Bonacker Farms Inc.             | Open Burning                         | /Franklin          | SLRO   | RB                 |                    |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Recieved a concern from the EPA in which the CP states" The farm is burning some thing at that location. I have a convertible and when I drive past there, it burns my eyes and throat and I got very sick. It's happened twice."   |                                 |                                      |                    |        |                    |                    |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>1/4/24-RLA- I looked up the site on our sharepoint and this is the first concern from this address that we have recieved.</p> <p>1/5/24 - RPB- I called CP back on 1/5/24 to tell her what I was going to do. She wants to remain unknown to facility. Bonacker farms got in trouble with DNR for not renewing a compost permit in 2019. They might need an air curtain incinerator.</p> <p>1/8/24 - RPB -I went out on 1/8/24 and arrived around 1 PM. It was cloudy and temperature was 38. Wind was blowing at about 11 MPG ESE. I was greeted by Raymond, a shift manager at Bonacker Farms. I told him why I was there and asked him what the farm does. He stated they are a firewood supplier, mulch producer, recycling of wood products, and they can supply compost. They have an air curtain incinerator that wasn't operational when I was there. The motor had gone out in the fall. I walked the premises, and the RP had a fire smoldering in the air curtain incinerator, but no heavy odors were present apart from a light mulchy smell. I investigated the fire and saw only wood burning. I walked past an ash pile, and a mulch pile but found no chemical smell. There was a small smoke trail forming from the incinerator but was no taller than 30'. Raymond mentioned that they do allow septic tank trucks to pump into a small reservoir on the property. This may cause a harsh smell but does not linger once the reservoir has settled.</p> <p>Called Kim back to let her know the results.</p>   |                                 |                                      |                    |        |                    |                    |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56173                    | 12/22/2023    | 12/22/2023   | Unknown                         | Odor         | /St. Charles    | SLRO   | PG                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Recieved concern from the I-70/I270 Area Online Odor Concern Form. The CP states he smells a mild chemical odor while leavining for work at 6am. He noticed it on 12/21 and 12/22. The wind was blowing out of the southwest at 6mph.  |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>12/22/23-RLA- The CP lives in a residential area with very little industrial facilities to the southwest. I looked at our facilities in the area and the closest that would give off those types of odors would be Labadie Energy Center and that is quite the distance away.</p> <p>12/22/23 PXG - I visted the CPs neighborhood and attempted to find the smell that was described. I performed an odor evlaution on the CPs street with a nasei ranger olfactory meter and could not observe the illicit odor(See attachment). I drove around the neighbor hood and looked for evidence of burn piles or construction/painting activity and could not find any. I spoke with two neighbors who did not detect the odor, however indicated there has been some open burning in the past and this could be the cause, or possibly the over turing of one of the neighborhood ponds. I left a message with the CP on 12/29/23, and informed them of my findings and to contact the me or the DNR if the odor is detected again or any open burning is observed.</p> |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56174                    | 12/28/2023    | 12/28/2023   | MSD                             | Odor         | /St. Louis City | SLRO   | RA                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | We recieved a concern from the EPA Region 7 that states "There is a strong and persistent smell of sewer gas along our street and nearby streets. The gas odor is present most times of the day and can often be smelled inside of vehicles. The smell is strongest near sewer drains. The corner at Taylor and Wichita has been particularly noticeable." The address associated with this concern is 4534 Wichita Ave, St. Louis, 63110.   |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>12-28-23- RLA - I called Mr Gates and spoke to him about the sewer gas odor. I explained to him that I had fowarded his concern to MSD and that he could additonally call thier customer service number. I told him I would check back with him after MSD contacts me.</p> <p>12-29-23 - RLA - MSD had completed thier inspection and they did not find any odors when they arrived onsite. They inspected the sewer inlets and flushed the lines. I spoke to Mr. Gates and relayed the infomation and told him to call MSD or us if the odors come back.</p>   |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56175                    | 12/28/2023    | 12/28/2023   | MSD                             | Odor         | /St. Louis City | SLRO   | RA                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Recieved a concern from the EPA that states " There is a strong and persistent smell of sewer gas along our street and nearby streets. The gas odor is present most times of the day and can often be smilled inside of vehicles. The smell is strongest near sewer drains and is particularly strong near the intersection of Taylor and Wichita Ave  |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>12-28-23-RLA- I emailed MSD the concern about the sewer gas. I then called Caitlin and told her that there will be a MSD crew out today to investigate. She also had some concerns about the alley behind her house subsiding. I asked her to contact the St. Louis Citizens Service Bureau and they should be able to help her or point her in the right direction.</p> <p>12-29-23 - RLA - MSD had completed thier inspection and they did not find any odors when they arrived onsite. They inspected the sewer inlets and flushed the lines. I left Caitlin a message and told her to call MSD or us if the odors come back.</p>  |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56176                    | 12/20/2023    | 12/21/2023   | Stericycle                      | Odor         | /St. Louis City | SLRO   | MC                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | none provided in SharePoint  |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>MSC 12/21/2023: To begin the investigation I first looked to see if there were previous odor concerns regarding Stericycle. I found two: both were received on February 1, 2022 the investigation and resulting facility inspection found no violation at the facility and none in the nearby area.</p> <p>I plan to investigate the area to observe alleged odors and to contact the Plant Manager to determine if they have had any potential incidents.</p> <p>MSC 12/28/2023: I investigated the odor concern by driving around the area of Stericycle. The facility operates as a medical waste disposal facility. There was an unpleasant odor that smelt as described in previous investigation, fecal and decay. The odor was clearly being emitted from Stericycle. I was in the area from 12:45-1:45. After detecting the odor driving south of the facility on Carrie Ave. I looped around to park East of the facility on McKissock Ave where I waited to continue odor observations. The wind direction was south, however due to road conditions and property boundaries McKissock was the most ideal location for odor observations. The smell was not consistent and blew in and out depending on the wind. The odor could not be detected with enough consistency or strength to warrant the use of the nasal ranger. The odor could be noted with less intensity to about 0.4-mile radius of Stericycle and then ceased.</p> <p>MSC 1/3/2024: I called Stericycle and left a voice mail on the main line to be directed to Dave Bradford or current Plant Manager. I stated I wished to discuss my findings and gave contact information. I will attempt to contact again if I do not receive a response.</p> |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56177                    | 12/20/2023    | 12/21/2023   | Veolia                          | Odor         | /St. Louis City | SLRO   | KH                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Recieved a concern from the DNR website. The CP states"There is an awful electrical fire type smell in the air this morning. , likely coming from an incineration plant across the river in Illinois. We smell this a lot here in Dutchtown. Usually I can't smell it in my house, but today I can." The CP references the incinerator across the river in Illinois.   |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>12/21/23 - I emailed Nick and introduced myself and told him I would be investigating his concern.</p> <p>12/27/23 - I drove the South City Odor Loop which included the concerned parties location regarding odor and found no smell of any kind.</p>  |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56178                    | 12/27/2023    |  | Unknown                         | Odor         | /St. Louis City | SLRO   | KH                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Recieved a concern from the EPA, the CP states "Strong bio smell coming from the area, smells like it's coming from the east across the river. On the interstate right next to our, while traveling north, there has been a strong odor of chemicals like rubber and also gasoline type smell. Its consistent every day for the past week.   |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>I drove the South City Odor Loop including the concerned parties home address and found no odor in or around the area on the day of my visit.</p> <p>I called and left a message for Nic Brooks in regard to this odor concern - 01/08/2024</p>   |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.  | Date Received | Investigation Date | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56179  | 1/5/2024      | 1/5/2024           | Unknown                         | Odor         | /St. Louis City | SLRO   | PG                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b> Recieved a concern from the DNR website, the CP states" Whenever there is an Easterly wind coming from Illinois the air smells acrid like battery manufacturing and sometimes like chemical fertilizer" |               |                    |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> 1/5/24-RLA- Since the concern states the smell is coming from Illinois, i submitted a concern to the Illinois EPA   |               |                    |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.   | Date Received | Investigation Date | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|---|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56184   | 1/8/2024      | 1/10/2024          | IsoNova Technologies            | Odor         | /Lawrence   | SWRO   | JW                 | Email        |
| <b>Concern Info:</b> Caller states IsoNova is "stinking up again" as of 3pm on 1/8/24   |               |                    |                                 |              |             |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> SharePoint #7890<br>After noting the wind was out of the south-southwest, I approached IsoNova from the southward direction on P highway at approximately 1:51 p.m. I drove past IsoNova and observed that its stack plumes were oriented north-northeast. I then began driving back and forth on the east/west portion of P highway adjacent to state highway 39. At 2:02 p.m., I began using my calibrated St. Croix nasal ranger, a tool that measures the degree to which odorous air exists (see Sampling and Monitoring section below). The odors deriving from IsoNova were intermittently noticeable because of the unusually high winds that minimized local dispersion. As the winds shifted from north-northeast to northwest and occasionally to east-northeast, I did not detect excessive odorous matter for the first ~30 minutes of the investigation. After each time I used the nasal ranger, I ensured that I drove away from the plume direction and rolled up my windows with the AC on to clear away residual odors. I did not observe any land application trucks on or off the IsoNova property. I did not detect any smells deriving from Verona as I initially approached IsoNova from the south. During the investigation, I verified two detections at least 15 minutes apart and within one hour of odors exceeding the 7:1 dilution threshold, confirming a violation of the regulations outlined in 10 CSR 10.6-165. The odors were akin to a burnt-egg odor, which is an odor known to originate from the IsoNova Technologies facility. Initial weather conditions consisted of a 47° F temperature, 36% humidity, 5% cloud cover, and generally northeast bound winds at 10-15 mph. Weather conditions for the second detection were similar. After I recorded the two detections with the nasal ranger, I again double checked that there were no odors from other facilities or land application practices in the area. I emailed Dan Bowers after my investigation to inform him of the findings of my investigation. |               |                    |                                 |              |             |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                            | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|---|---------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56200                    | 1/9/2024      | 1/8/2024  | Clinton Howell                  | Odor                                    | West Plains /Howell | SERO   | HC                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | RP is letting off Foul odors and these odors are crumbling the quality of my family's life. It is causing us to gag and gasp for breath.  |                                 |   |                     |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>arrived at Always Faithful Memorial Service at 10:30 a.m. on Thursday January 11, 2024. The crematatory system had operated the day prior to the investigation. There were two cases cremated the day before one case 131 lbs. the other 120 lbs. These weights are comparable to the 112 lbs. case cremated during the day of this investigation. The system was started cool and empty at 10:40 a.m. the system reached an operating temperature of 1652° at 11:19 a.m. then the system was loaded. Hayden Cassinger made periodic nasal observation with his unaided nose around the facility from 10:45 a.m. to 11:15 a.m., no odors were noted. A method 9 observation was made from 11:35 a.m. to 11:50 a.m. there were no visible emission from the stack for the observation period.</p> <p>Multiple odor observations were made with the Nasal Ranger the first was taken at 11:58 a.m. (36.71752, -91.88513) no odor was detected at the 7:1, 4:1 or 2:1 setting. The second was taken 12:01 p.m. (36.71684, -91.88689) no odor was detected at the 7:1, 4:1 or 2:1 setting. The third was taken at 12:08 p.m. (36.71598, -91.88228) no odor was detected at the 7:1, 4:1 or 2:1 setting. The fourth taken at 12:14 p.m. (36.71608, -91.88399) no odor was detected at the 7:1, 4:1 or 2:1 setting. The fifth and final observation was made at 12:22 p.m. (36.71745, -91.88540) no odor was detected at the 7:1, 4:1 or 2:1 setting.</p> <p>The system entered the cooldown process at 12:52 p.m. Between the system entering the cooldown stage and the bones being crushed at 2:05 p.m. (which was observed by Hayden Cassinger) Hayden spoke with the concerned party. During this conversation, Hayden Cassinger and the concerned party spoke with Howell County Commissioner Billy Sexton about the crematory facility. After being informed of the findings of the investigations and inspection conducted by department team member, Hayden Cassinger, Commissioner Billy Sexton agreed with Hayden Cassinger's findings. Commissioner Billy Sexton stated that based on the findings of the department team member, Hayden Cassinger, and the fact that Howell County does not have ordinances regarding odor, there was nothing that could be done at this time.</p> <p>Metrics of the burns conducted on January 10, 2024, and January 11, 2024, were shared and reviewed after the investigation. These items will be saved in our files with the concern. Clinton Howell contacted Cremation Systems and was informed the system's opacity sensor will trigger when opacities from 11%-20% opacity are detected in the crematory system's stack according to Cremation Systems Engineer, Jeremiah Delgado.</p> |                                 |   |                     |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                            | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56206                    | 1/17/2024     | 1/17/2024   | Farmers, landowners             | Other                                   | Kahoka /Clark       | NERO   | DB                 | Email        |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Email states poisonous weeds (ragweed, ragwort) causing allergies are growing on farmers lands  |                                 |   |                     |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | Pollen and live plants are not regulated by MoDNR. Advised concerned party.   |                                 |   |                     |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                            | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56208                    | 6/9/2023      | 1/4/2024  |                                 | Open Dumps: Construction and Demolition | Auxvasse /Audrain   | NERO   | DB                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Multiple buildings torn down or partially torn down and left on site a year ago.  |                                 |   |                     |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | No violation found at the time of investigation.  |                                 |   |                     |        |                    |              |



| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County    | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56213                    | 1/5/2024      | 1/16/2024   | Mobile Source                   | Other        | /St. Louis     | SLRO   | RA                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | <p>Recieved an email concern that was sent to the APCP receptionist email. The concerned party stated "I would like to file a complaint that should be looked into.</p> <p>My neighbor at 10413 Appomattox Station Court, St. Louis MO 63123</p> <p>Idles both his vehicles daily multiple times a day for up to an hour at a time. He does this first thing in the morning and most nights while he jas his lights shine on our house.</p> <p>There are numerous young children in this subdivision and his excessive negligence for air pollution needs to be looked at and dealt with. If you need video evidence I can provide hundreds of lengthy videos.</p> <p>If you would like to see first hand come a d sit outside the house one day and see.</p> <p>Please put an end to this senseless pollution.</p> |                                 |              |                |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               |   |                                 |              |                |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County    | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56222                    | 1/15/2024     | 1/16/2024   | IsoNova Technologies            | Odor         | /Lawrence      | SWRO   | JW                 | Email        |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | <p>Caller states that odors from IsoNova were super bad as they drove south on county road 2190 in the afternoon. Winds were out of the north.</p>  |                                 |              |                |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>SharePoint #7911</p> <p>At approximately 10:56 a.m., I headed north towards the downwind direction of the IsoNova Technologies stack plume on county road 1155. I began using my nasal ranger at 10:58 a.m., and I did not detect excessive odor at the 7:1 dilution when I went directly downwind of the stack. Weather conditions roughly consisted of a 3 degree F temperature, 36% humidity, 20% cloud cover, and 10 mph eastbound winds. I remained downwind of the stack for about 10 minutes and I did not detect excessive odor. I emailed Dan Bowers after my investigation to inform him of my findings.</p>   |                                 |              |                |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County    | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56228                    | 1/16/2024     | 1/29/2024   | Steve Redford                   | Open Burning | Branson /Taney | SWRO   | BD                 | Other        |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | <p>Annette Persinger called again on 1/11 reporting that the land-clearing operation and burning resumed.</p>   |                                 |              |                |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>SharePoint #7922</p>   |                                 |              |                |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator           | Concern Type               | City/County          | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---|----------------------------|----------------------|--------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| 56230                    | 1/16/2024     | 1/17/2024  | DOCO                                      | Open Burning               | Ava<br>/Douglas      | SWRO   | BD                 | Communication<br>In Person |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller states that DOCO is burning waste again, after previously being advised to no longer burn at their facility via concern 7067.   |   |                            |                      |        |                    |                            |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>SharePoint #7912</p> <p>I arrived to the facility at 3:15 p.m. and immediately noticed an actively burning fire in the back lot where the product is kept prior to being processed. I met with the facility owner, Dawn Cox, and explained the reason for my visit. I requested to go out to the back lot and inspect the fire, and Cox granted me permission and accompanied me to where the fire was located. Upon inspection, the only contents in the fire were untreated wooden pallets. At this point, I explained to Cox that although burning untreated wooden pallets is permitted, burning anything that originates from a business is not technically permitted under the open burning regulations. I then provided Cox a copy of the fact sheet on open burning, PUB2047. I informed Cox that moving forward, they should not burn any materials on the property due to the safety hazards that it proposed (see concern 7067). Cox informed me that they would immediately suspend all burning and come up with an alternative for disposing of the untreated wooden pallets. I thanked Cox for her time and attention to the matter, and left the facility at 3:30 p.m.</p>   |   |                            |                      |        |                    |                            |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator           | Concern Type               | City/County          | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
| 56231                    | 1/16/2024     | 11/15/2023   | Dallas County Commission and<br>Adam Howe | Asbestos                   | Buffalo<br>/Dallas   | SWRO   | JW                 | Phone<br>Conversation      |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | See attached email with concern form from EPA. Employees exposed to asbestos during Dallas County courthouse remodeling. No proper asbestos testing done prior to demolition.  |   |                            |                      |        |                    |                            |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>SharePoint #7924</p> <p>On November 13, I visited the Dallas County Courthouse. I noticed a dumpster on the northwest entrance that was partially filled with ceiling texture, fiberglass insulation, and other renovation waste. This dumpster was not properly labeled nor outfitted for asbestos waste. I then met with John Crawford, Dallas County Presiding Commissioner, who had just arrived. Crawford explained that the project lead for MSI Constructors, Adam Howey, was to meet with Gerken Environmental Enterprises, Inc. to discuss necessary steps to ensure the rest of the renovation project was in compliance with state regulations. John Crawford explained that Gerken Environmental Enterprises, Inc. had already tested for asbestos. This test had not occurred prior to the beginning of the renovation project, and the department was not notified of the project either. Adam Howey stated that MSI Constructors had removed about four 20-cubic yard dumpsters that were full of potential RACM ceiling texture and other renovation materials before I called him. Howey later clarified that these dumpsters were disposed into the WCA Springfield transfer station. On November 15, 2023, I conducted an investigation of the courthouse proper, per John Crawford's permission. I collected several samples of suspect materials. A full report submitted later by OCCU-TEC indicated that the ceiling texture consisted of 3% chrysotile asbestos and was situated across 14,296 square feet of the courthouse. This ceiling texture, or "surfacing material on plaster" had been situated throughout the courthouse hallway and rooms.</p> |   |                            |                      |        |                    |                            |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator           | Concern Type               | City/County          | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
| 56235                    | 12/18/2023    | 12/21/2023   | Darryl Kelley                             | Open Burning<br>Open Dumps | Braymer<br>/Caldwell | NERO   | JH                 | Report<br>UF               |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller reports that John Hailey burned a house at the intersection of 6th and Murray Street on December 17th. Caller stated that the smoke was terrible and they think the fire department was notified. Caller also stated that he intends to burn two additional houses in Braymer.  |   |                            |                      |        |                    |                            |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | At the time of the investigation, violations of the Missouri Open Burning Regulations were observed. An Unsatisfactory Findings Response Required report is being sent to the property owner.  |   |                            |                      |        |                    |                            |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator                       | Concern Type  | City/County            | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---|---|------------------------|--------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| 56238                    | 1/19/2024     | 1/23/2024   | Jerry Schinan   | Open Burning<br>Odor<br>Fugitive<br>Particulate<br>Matter | Springfield<br>/Greene | SWRO   | JW                 | Communication<br>In Person |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Sara Merrinan called stating that her neighbor is burning plastic bags and unknown materials at night unattended in his back yard. Merrinan stated that her neighbor is the former Strafford fire chief, and that the fire department referred her to our department. Merrinan reported that the nighttime burning generates fugitive particulate matter and foul odors. Merrinan said that the burns generally begin from 5-7 pm and they go throughout the night. The burn pile is allegedly 50 feet away from Merrinan's property line. Merrinan would like to send photographic proof of the violations via email. A nighttime visit may not be necessary if Schinan is illegally burning materials such as durable goods. CP says that she doesn't know what exactly Schinan burns besides trashbags.  |   |   |                        |        |                    |                            |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7952<br>At approximately 4:19 p.m., I arrived at the site of concern and met with Mary Schiman. I introduced myself along with my credentials and explained the reason for my visit. Schiman readily accompanied me to her backyard so that she could show me her husband's burning activities. Mary and Jerry are both retired volunteer firefighters. Mary explained that she was aware of the open burning regulations. Mary stated that her husband mainly burns leaves and she believes her neighbor is upset about the smokes the leaves generate. I explained to Mary that burning of leaves is permissible assuming that the smoke does not visibly leave the property boundary. The burn pile in the Schiman's backyard contained some residual household trash and vegetative waste. I informed Schiman that I did not observe anything illegal or questionable. I thanked Schiman for her time and left the property at approximately 4:29 p.m.I emailed Sara Merrinan, the concerned party for this case, on 1/24/2024 to inform her of my findings. I remarked that Merrinan is welcome to call our office the next time she observes excessive smoke or odor. |   |   |                        |        |                    |                            |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator                       | Concern Type  | City/County            | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
| 56244                    | 1/19/2024     | 1/25/2024   | Montrose Generating Station<br>Utility Waste Landfill | Fugitive<br>Particulate<br>Matter<br>Open Dumps<br>Other  | Montrose<br>/Henry     | KCRO   | MC                 | Phone<br>Conversation      |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | CP called and expressed concerns about the fly ash dumping at the Evergy plant in Montrose. CP stated that there is fly ash in a lagoon on the property and when they dig it up, there is no additional watering, and the particles leave the property and end up covering neighboring properties. CP also stated that the lagoon is washed out and could possibly end up in a nearby lake. CP said he has talked to Evergy about the issue and they said they would perform an audit but he has had no response since previously discussing his concerns. CP stated he has documentation (photos) of the issue he is raising and would be willing to share with investigators if needed. CP said the neighbors had a meeting last night about the issue and had several questions. First, if the lake is full of ash will it get into Truman Lake eventually? Is there testing continuously done to monitor the wells on site? And if so, how do they get the results? Is the facility operated as they should under the permits they have? CP said he would like to be updated on the process and findings.   |   |   |                        |        |                    |                            |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | No fugitive emissions were observed.  |   |   |                        |        |                    |                            |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator                       | Concern Type  | City/County            | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
| 56245                    | 1/19/2024     | 1/25/2024   | Montrose Generating Station<br>Utility Waste Landfill | Open Dumps<br>Fugitive<br>Other                           | Montrose<br>/Henry     | KCRO   | JH                 |                            |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | CP called and expressed concerns about the fly ash dumping at the Evergy plant in Montrose. CP stated that there is fly ash in a lagoon on the property and when they dig it up, there is no additional watering, and the particles leave the property and end up covering neighboring properties. CP also stated that the lagoon is washed out and could possibly end up in a nearby lake. CP said he has talked to Evergy about the issue and they said they would perform an audit but he has had no response since previously discussing his concerns. CP stated he has documentation (photos) of the issue he is raising and would be willing to share with investigators if needed. CP said the neighbors had a meeting last night about the issue and had several questions. First, if the lake is full of ash will it get into Truman Lake eventually? Is there testing continuously done to monitor the wells on site? And if so, how do they get the results? Is the facility operated as they should under the permits they have? CP said he would like to be updated on the process and findings.   |   |   |                        |        |                    |                            |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | No violations were observed.  |   |   |                        |        |                    |                            |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                      | City/County        | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56246                    | 1/19/2024     | 1/24/2024   | Montrose Generating Station     | General Water Quality<br>Fugitive | Montrose<br>/Henry | KCRO   | MM                 | Other        |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | CP called stating that they had a neighbor meeting about the Montrose Generating station landfill. She is concerned as the number of trucking hauling fly ash have increased and do not have good dust control so the fly ash is escaping the property and getting in the nearby waterbodies, such as the strip pit behind her house. She wants to have someone come out and sample the water to see how badly it is affected. She also stated there is a section which has a copper/ gold like residue near the landfill which was concerning. She is willing to show the inspector the affected areas and have them meet an individual who has had issues with fly ash cumulating on his vehicle in his driveway. She would prefer the inspector(s) meet at her house for an easier meeting point. She was also concerned about the truck drivers not wearing the proper PPE and being affected by the fly ash but I explained that would fall under OSHA as compared to our regulations. |                                 |                                   |                    |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | no water quality violations observed  |                                 |                                   |                    |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                                    | City/County        | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action       |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|---|--------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 56254                    | 1/23/2024     | 1/25/2024  | Montrose Generating Station     | Open Dumps<br>Fugitive<br>General Water Quality | Montrose<br>/Henry | KCRO   | JH                 | Phone Conversation |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | I live in Springfield. I have a farm in Clinton, Mo. I have been told KC is dumping fly ash in Henry County, and there is already fly ash running into Truman lake. There is apparently a hole in the levy. We have been thinking of buying more property in Clinton, and thinking of retiring there. People in Clinton are saying this is a huge environmental issue and will impact the duck flyway at Truman lake. Do you have any information on this. |                                 |   |                    |        |                    |                    |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | No violations were observed.   |                                 |   |                    |        |                    |                    |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator    | Concern Type   | City/County        | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action       |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|------------------------------------|--|--------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 56255                    | 1/23/2024     | 1/25/2024  | Evergy Montrose Generating Station | Fugitive Particulate Matter<br>Open Dumps<br>General Water Quality | Montrose<br>/Henry | KCRO   | MC                 | Phone Conversation |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | I live in Springfield. I have a farm in Clinton, Mo. I have been told KC is dumping fly ash in Henry County, and there is already fly ash running into Truman lake. There is apparently a hole in the levy. We have been thinking of buying more property in Clinton, and thinking of retiring there. People in Clinton are saying this is a huge environmental issue and will impact the duck flyway at Truman lake. Do you have any information on this. |                                    |  |                    |        |                    |                    |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | No fugitive emissions were observed.   |                                    |  |                    |        |                    |                    |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator     | Concern Type         | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| 56265                    | 1/22/2024     | 1/23/2024  | Billy Archer                        | Open Burning<br>Odor | Carthage<br>/Newton | SWRO   | CR                 | Communication<br>In Person |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | I have a neighbor that is a scrapper and burns and night to avoid everyone seeing the black smoke. It smells horrible outside. He burns 3-4 times a week   |                                     |                      |                     |        |                    |                            |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #7954<br>I arrived at the property at 11:40 am and met with the homeowner, Judy Bradshaw. I informed her of the concern that we received about open burning and she informed me that her friend and roommate, Billy Archer, tends to her property and does have a burn pile. Bradshaw confirmed that Archer does often scrap items, selling salvageable parts and disposing of the rest without burning it. She agreed to let me observe the burn pile, and I observed the remnants of what appeared to be vegetative waste, lumber, and household trash. I did observe regulated items nearby including a table and what appeared to be some kind of plastic pipes. These items were neither burned nor added to the burn pile, so I returned to the house and shared my observations with Bradshaw. I informed her of the open burning regulations and that the burn pile was not concerning for me, but that there were regulated items nearby. I left Bradshaw with information about what could continued to be burned and what could not and she agreed that she would ensure that those items were not added to the burn pile. I thanked her for her time and left the property at 12:20 pm. |                                     |                      |                     |        |                    |                            |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator     | Concern Type         | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
| 56274                    | 10/6/2023     | 1/25/2024  | Donny Strange                       | Open Burning         | Knox City<br>/Knox  | NERO   | BH                 | Letter<br>UF               |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller reports that a person, probably Donny Strange, in Knox City burned a knocked down 1.5 story house last night with shingles and everything still on. Stated the house was knocked down with a track hoe two or so years ago. Not much more than coals this morning, but still stinks. The site is at the corner of Thomas St and Wade St and the owner lives immediately north of the burned site.   |                                     |                      |                     |        |                    |                            |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | Violation of Missouri Air Conservation Law<br>Missouri Open Burning Rules 10 CSR 10-6.045<br><br>Donny burned the house down at the beginning of October as a means of disposal.   |                                     |                      |                     |        |                    |                            |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator     | Concern Type         | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
| 56275                    | 1/25/2024     | 1/25/2024  | PB & J Sandwich LLC Jared<br>Murphy | Open Burning         | Palmyra<br>/Marion  | NERO   | BH                 | Report<br>RNOV             |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller reports that Jered Murphy in Palmyra was burning pallets full of big jugs of hand sanitizer, stirring with machinery. The city officer issued a ticket last night. Caller stated that he would ask the officer to send a copy of his report to the NERO email when he gets back on duty. Caller stated he would send a copy of the Fire Department report as well.  |                                     |                      |                     |        |                    |                            |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | Jared Murphy explained he burned 34 pallets of hand sanitizer (15x15 area) as a means of disposal.<br>Violation of Missouri Air Conservation Law   |                                     |                      |                     |        |                    |                            |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County                    | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56278                    | 1/23/2024     | 1/23/2024   | Unknown                         | Odor         | Cape Girardeau /Cape Girardeau | SERO   | JD                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | The Concerned Party reports a stong gas smell that may be coming from the neighbor's house. The CP is currently experiencing the odor, which smells like gas.   |                                 |              |                                |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>or this investigation I brought with me the Nasal Ranger for use if an odor is detected during the observation. I arrived and started driving around to locate the odor. I drove down East Cape Drive for several blocks and did not detect an odor, then down Main Street and did not detect an odor, then proceeded down Country Club Drive to Hutson Drive-no odors detected. I then drove down Capaha Trail and Vincent Park Road, no odors were detected.</p> <p>I did not observe any odors in the area around 401 East Cape Rock Drive.</p> |                                 |              |                                |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56281                    | 12/26/2023    | 12/27/2023  | Unknown                         | Open Burning | /Cape Girardeau | SERO   | DB                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | CP states someone has been hauling stuff in for the last week and is burning it. They are hauling it in on dump trucks.   |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>I arrived at the concern address on December 27, 2023 at approximately 3:00 PM. I could see from Bainbridge Road that there was some faint white smoke coming from the recently cleared hillside to the south of Bainbridge Road. Since it was on a downslope away from the roadway, I could not see exactly what was burning nor could I get any good pictures of what was burning, just the smoke. As I was leaving the concern address I met a Paving Pros dump truck that pulled in.</p> <p>I contacted Paving Pros the next day and spoke with Stephanie who works in the office. She was not aware of any burning going on around the concern address that I had mentioned to her. She put me in contact with Tyler Martin, Foreman, and I explained to Tyler why I was calling and asked if Paving Pros was doing any open burning around the concern address. Tyler denied any burning being done by Paving Pros. A few days later, Jimmy Pletka, Ops. Mgr. of Paving Pros contacted me about the call I had made. I explained the situation to Jimmy and he informed me that Paving Pros is not doing any open burning. They are working on a project in Jackson and transferring the clean fill (concrete, dirt, rock) to the concern location as instructed by the building owner of the project location.</p> <p>I called the Cape Girardeau County Assessor's office a few days later and tried to get some information as to who owned the property where the burning was occurring. The property lines around the concern address are very intricate and it's difficult to determine exactly whose property the burning is taking place on. After speaking with the County Assessor, we believe that the burning was on a property owned by the county. This land was just recently cleared and it's likely that it's just some vegetative/wood waste being burned on the hillside.</p> <p>As mentioned prior, there was very little smoke upon my first look at the site. The smoke was white and I could see no other violations or potential violations surrounding this burning. Paving Pros is depositing clean fill very close to this location, but it seems to be unrelated to the burning.</p> |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type               | City/County    | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56282                    | 12/14/2023    | 12/21/2023   | Tony Askew                      | Open Burning<br>Open Dumps | Atlanta /Macon | NERO   | JH                 | Report<br>UF |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Mayor of Atlanta received a concern report, forwarded to DNR: Black smoke from burning unknown materials. Individual is Tony Askew who lives at 709 N Williamson Street and may be buying or rent-to-own the property with a double wide directly across the street to the east. Burned last week and this week, three separate occasions. Lisa Noon used to own the east property and her daughter now owns is, name probably Eva Herman. |                                 |                            |                |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | Violations of the Missouri Air Conservation Commission Regulations and the Missouri Solid Waste Management Law were documented at the time of the investigation.   |                                 |                            |                |        |                    |              |



| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator                 | Concern Type | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---|--------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56283                    | 1/12/2024     | 1/17/2024   | TG Missouri                                     | Odor         | Perryville /Perry | SERO   | TR                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | CP states the paint smell has been getting worst in the last 1 1/2 weeks. People can smell it 2-3 miles out of town. It is very strong and he can smell it in his house and garage.   |   |              |                   |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>I arrived in the Perryville area at 11:18 a.m. on January 17, 2024. The weather for Perryville was reported to be sunny with a temperature of 26 degrees Fahrenheit and a humidity of 45 percent relative to the temperature. The wind was from the SSW at 13 miles per hour with gusts of up to 27 miles per hour. I drove along Highway 51 to the intersection with Highway 61 (11:22 a.m.) to the intersection with Highway AC (11:30 a.m.). I journeyed to the James M. Eddleman Welcome Center (located at what is also known as Missouri's National Veterans Memorial) to turn around. I proceeded back down Highway AC to Highway 51. I turned around on my way back to the James M. Eddleman Welcome Center and I stopped and monitored for odors at a position along Highway AC (from 11:42 a.m. to 11:50 a.m. UTM Coordinates 0776159 and 4183196). I then travelled along Highway AC to the James M. Eddleman Welcome Center to Highway V to PCR 900 to Highway 61 (11:55 a.m.) to Highway 51 and back toward Highway AC (12:00 p.m.). I then went back to my stationary odor monitoring position and parked (from 12:01 p.m. to 12:11 p.m. ) along Highway AC. I then travelled back up Highway AC to the James M. Eddleman Welcome Center (12:13 p.m.). I used the Nasal Ranger to evaluate the odors at my position in the James M. Eddleman Welcome Center parking lot with both the blank setting and the 2:1 dilution ratio (12:26 p.m.). No odor was detected with the Nasal Ranger. I travelled back down Highway AC to my earlier stationary odor monitoring position. While parked at this odor monitoring position I used the Nasal Ranger (12:33 p.m.) to evaluate for odors at the 2:1 dilution ratio. No odor was detected. I travelled back to the James M. Eddleman Welcome Center for a warm up break (from 12:42 p.m. to 12:46 p.m.). I travelled back down Highway AC to Highway 51 and crossing Highway 51 I travelled along North Main Street and then along Wichern Road to TNT Plastics. I left the area of the Perryville Industrial Park at 12:53 p.m. The weather for Perryville was reported to be sunny with a temperature of 33 degrees Fahrenheit and a humidity of 39% relative to the temperature. The wind was from the SSW at 12 miles per hour with gusts up to 24 miles per hour. I had detected nothing but faint odors during this odor investigation. These odors included those from TG Missouri Corporation and those from Gilster-Mary Lee's Perryville plants.</p> <p>On January 17, 2024, I contacted Dylan Carpenter, Project Engineer with TG Missouri Corporation, to discuss this environmental concern. I asked Dylan Carpenter if anything unusual such as a malfunction or deviation had been occurring at TG Missouri Corporation during the timeframe discussed in this concern. Dylan Carpenter stated that there was nothing unusual during this timeframe at TG Missouri Corporation. There were no mechanical changes, no parts or chemical changes, and no usage level changes.</p> <p>Conclusions<br/>Click here to open command menu [Ctrl + Down arrow].<br/>No violation of Missouri Air Conservation Regulation 10 Code of State Regulations 10-6.165 Restriction of Emission of Odors was observed.</p> |   |              |                   |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator                 | Concern Type | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56292                    | 1/23/2024     | 1/23/2024   | CAS Steel Metal Building Supplies, Craig Casady | Open Burning | Neosho /Newton    | SWRO   | CR                 | Letter RTC   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | I saw some black smoke just off the road that I was on and pulled over to take a look.  |   |              |                   |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>SharePoint #7985</p> <p>I arrived at CAS Steel Metal Building Supplies at 11:10 am when I observed burning with black smoke from the road. I stopped and met Craig Casady, the owner of the company, and he led me to the burning. I noticed pallets being burned on top of previously burned trash that appeared to come from the day-to-day activities of the employees. I provided Casady with a copy of our Open Burning Fact Sheet and explained to him that treated wood is a regulated item under all circumstances along with the restrictions on burning items produced from a business. Casady agreed to sign an Air Pollution Violation Acknowledgement form and that he understood the state's open burning regulations. I photographed the pile and left the site at 11:17 am.</p> <p>UF with no response required will be issued.</p>  |   |              |                   |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator                 | Concern Type | City/County    | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---|--------------|----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56292                    | 1/23/2024     | 1/23/2024  | CAS Steel Metal Building Supplies, Craig Casady | Open Burning | Neosho /Newton | SWRO   | CR                 | Letter UF    |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | I saw some black smoke just off the road that I was on and pulled over to take a look.   |   |              |                |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>SharePoint #7985</p> <p>I arrived at CAS Steel Metal Building Supplies at 11:10 am when I observed burning with black smoke from the road. I stopped and met Craig Casady, the owner of the company, and he led me to the burning. I noticed pallets being burned on top of previously burned trash that appeared to come from the day-to-day activities of the employees. I provided Casady with a copy of our Open Burning Fact Sheet and explained to him that treated wood is a regulated item under all circumstances along with the restrictions on burning items produced from a business. Casady agreed to sign an Air Pollution Violation Acknowledgement form and that he understood the state's open burning regulations. I photographed the pile and left the site at 11:17 am.</p> <p>UF with no response required will be issued.</p> |   |              |                |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County   | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56295                    | 1/22/2024     | 1/25/2024   | Bob Berhorst                    | Open Burning | Canton /Lewis | NERO   | BH                 | Letter RNOV  |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Email states, "The caller reports that 50 to 100 tires are in several brush piles. One pile containing some of the tires is on fire. Canton Missouri Fire Department is on the scene. The fire department directed the responsible party (RP) to not burn the remaining piles. No waterways are threatened. The property is owned by Donna Berhorst, mother of the RP."   |                                 |              |               |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | Responsible Party explained he burned an old porch and 20 tires on 1/21/24. He did not realize he could not burn the tires or treated lumber. Bob will have to clean up the burn debris and take to a local facility. Any additional tires on the property need to be disposed of properly. Canton Fire Department later provided photographs depicting over 100 tires had been burned and over 15 tires on the property. A RNOV is issued. |                                 |              |               |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                | City/County    | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56320                    | 1/29/2024     | 1/30/2024   | American Tripoli                | Fugitive Particulate Matter | Seneca /Newton | SWRO   | BD                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller states that American Tripoli has started up for the first time in over a month and is producing large amounts of fugitive dust that is covering the streets. |                                 |                             |                |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8040  |                                 |                             |                |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator     | Concern Type   | City/County        | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------|--------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| 56321                    | 1/29/2024     | 1/30/2024  | Jimmy Miller                        | Open Burning<br>Odor                                       | Barnett<br>/Morgan | SWRO   | JW                 | Communication<br>In Person |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | A local turkey farmer has been burning his dead carcass and turkey litter in one of his barns. This fire has been burning for almost 2 weeks now and the smell from our house has been horrific. All the cars on the major highway smell the stench and the people that live near have the smell in their houses. It is terrible and needs to stop.  |                                     |  |                    |        |                    |                            |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8043<br>At 12:05 p.m. on January 30, 2024 I contacted Jennifer Weber with the Department of Agriculture to refer this case to their office. 10 CSR 10-6 does not discuss burning of turkey carcasses nor do we have the jurisdiction to enforce odors as related to agricultural processes. Jennifer Weber assigned her employee Carole Wolfe to the case. On January 31, 2024 Jennifer Weber emailed me and stated that her employee did not observe any violations of the state agricultural regulations. Weber stated that the alleged source of concern was not even burning. Rather, there were significant amounts of composting litter smoldering such that a visible particulate matter plume was visible from the road. I replied to the email by thanking Weber's team for their dedication and I informed Weber we would close out the concern on our end. On February 6, 2024 I left concerned party Tyler Branstter a phone message informing him of the Department of Ag's investigative findings. |                                     |  |                    |        |                    |                            |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator     | Concern Type   | City/County        | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
| 56322                    | 1/30/2024     | 2/1/2024   | Tom Walker & Curtis Jared           | Fugitive<br>Particulate<br>Matter<br>Water<br>Open Burning | /Greene            | SWRO   | CR                 | Communication<br>In Person |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | The construction crew hired to clear the land for the proposed Super Target on the southeast corner of West Sunshine and West Bypass (FF Hwy) were still putting trees and brush on the pile at 6:05 pm after dusk.  |                                     |  |                    |        |                    |                            |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8045<br>Jackson Winslow and I arrived on the site at 12:50 pm and took a short walk onto the project land to meet with the site manager. We observed an air curtain incinerator on the property and inquired about their burning activities. We were told that the site is managed under the City of Springfield because it falls within city limits, and they must receive approval on a daily basis based on whether conditions. The workers on site make an effort to extinguish the fire each day that they burn around sunset time, but the site supervisor did admit to letting the burning continue until a few hours after sundown. He asked about the regulations and whether it was legal to burn at night or not, and we informed him that state regulations don't allow burning after sundown. After answering this question, we did not inquire further and left the site at 1:15 pm.   |                                     |  |                    |        |                    |                            |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator     | Concern Type   | City/County        | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action               |
| 56325                    | 1/30/2024     | 2/2/2024   | Unknown, Possibly Protein Solutions | Odor   | Joplin<br>/Newton  | SWRO   | BD                 | Other                      |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Pete Hall emailed Bailey Dietz on 1/30/2024 stating that the odor on Range Line Rd has been "really bad" the past couple of days, particularly at 6:50am on 1/30. The winds were eastbound at 3 mph. I (Jackson Winslow) called Pete Hall at 9am on 1/30/2024 to ask whether he believes the odors could possibly derive from other facilities in the locale given that the winds are coming from the west and Protein Solutions is located east relative to the Residence Inn hotel. We will investigate all possible facilities in the region.   |                                     |  |                    |        |                    |                            |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8046   |                                     |  |                    |        |                    |                            |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator   | Concern Type                | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56331                    | 1/31/2024     |   | Skaggs Collision Repair Junk Yard | Open Burning                | Ozark<br>/Christian |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Anonymous caller states there is a junk yard south of the Ozark Walmart that is burning illegal materials. There are two fires: one directly north of the Skaggs Collision Repair shop which might be related to a land clearing operation, and another that the Skaggs shop is responsible for and may involve illegal burning. The caller states that the illegal burning has occurred for the past couple of days.   |                                   |                             |                     |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8061  |                                   |                             |                     |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator   | Concern Type                | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56332                    | 1/31/2024     | 2/8/2024  | American Tripoli                  | Fugitive Particulate Matter | Seneca<br>/Newton   | SWRO   | BD                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller states that American Tripoli is running and creating a large amount of dust to come out of the vent from the south end of the facility and leave the property. Dust is clouding the surrounding air around the facility.   |                                   |                             |                     |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8070-I arrived to the site at 11:40 a.m. and parked at the corner of Washington Ave and Billings St across the railroad tracks facing north towards the facility. Wind speeds were averaging 15 mph with gusts up to 27 mph from the southwest direction, humidity was 42%, and it was 68F ambient air temperature at the time. When I arrived, the facility was operating and stack emissions were visible and varying in opacity. I sat at the location of Washington Ave and Billings St for five minutes observing the facility and noticed several instances of clouds of particulate matter traveling from the entrances of the facility to the neighborhood to the north/northeast. After five minutes, I then took Washington Ave back to 43 Hwy and traveled north until Oneida St, where I turned left towards the facility and drove west until I could see the building. I could see a large cloud of particulate matter hanging around the facility which was thick enough in opacity to obscure the view of the building. I then stopped by the office building to make contact with Townsend, the facility's EHS Manager. I informed Townsend that the department had received several concerns over the previous week regarding fugitive dust, and that I had observed several instances of fugitive dust leaving the property prior to making contact. Since the facility is already in enforcement, I informed Townsend that all additional violations would likely factor in to the enforcement case penalty. I also informed Townsend that it was pertinent that the facility needed to fix the baghouse that has been out of operation to alleviate the rest of the system and cut down on the fugitive emissions. Townsend then informed me that the facility had received the new filters with more durability to install in the smaller baghouse, and that the filters would be installed the following Monday (2/12). Townsend and I then made our way to the south end of the facility so I could observe the new filters. While inside the facility, there was a large amount of dust build up on the equipment, the floors, and all the surfaces inside the facility. The facility doors were all open, and the dust build-up could be seen leaving through the shipping dock and out into the ambient air. At this point, I had observed the facility enough to determine there were several instances of violating 10 CSR 10-6.170 Restriction of Fugitive Particulate Matter to the Ambient Air Beyond the Premises of Origin, and that the investigation was complete. I thanked Townsend for his time at that point and left the facility at 12:30 p.m. A violation of 10 CSR 10-6.170 Restriction of Fugitive Particulate Matter to the Ambient Air Beyond the Premises of Origin will be noted in the facility file. This will be coordinated with RNOV #230057. |                                   |                             |                     |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator   | Concern Type                | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56333                    | 2/1/2024      |   | Skaggs Collision Repair Junk Yard | Open Burning                | Ozark<br>/Christian |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller states on his way to work for the last couple weeks he has seen heavy smoke coming from Skaggs Collision Repair. The smoke crosses highway 65 causing a fog.   |                                   |                             |                     |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8081  |                                   |                             |                     |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                              | City/County              | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56334                    | 2/1/2024      |  | Mark Merrett                    | Fugitive<br>Particulate<br>Matter<br>Odor | Lamar<br><br>/Barton     |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Automotive fluff being extracted, using old parking lot to lay automotive fluff, worried about dust and odor. Police detective visited site following concern and found dust, odor, and automotive fluff throughout the parking lot. Owner has machine that shakes fluff and extracts metal, producing dust. Odor is strong chemical smell. Owner is Mark Merrett, who used to own Polimer Plastics that has caused issues in the past . |                                 |   |                          |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8077   |                                 |   |                          |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                              | City/County              | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56343                    | 1/24/2024     | 1/24/2024  | Unknown                         | Odor                                      | Blue Springs<br>/Jackson | KCRO   | MC                 | Email        |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | -Experienced Odor Date and Time: Jan 24, 2024 4:10 pm<br>-Experienced Odor Duration: Currently experiencing the odor<br>-Experienced Odor Description: Solvent or Chemical<br>-Experienced Odor Intensity: Mild<br>-Experienced Odor Address and/or Location Description: 1725 SE Ridgewood Dr Blue Springs Missouri 64014<br>-Potential Source:<br>-Additional Information: (No additional information was listed in SharePoint.)       |                                 |   |                          |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | The source of the odor could not be identified. Odor not present during investigation.   |                                 |   |                          |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.  | Date Received | Investigation Date | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County           | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56344  | 1/24/2024     | 1/24/2024          | Unknown                         | Odor         | Blue Springs /Jackson | KCRO   | MC                 | Email        |
| <p><b>Concern Info:</b></p> <p>--From I-70/I-270 odor form (Received 01/24/2024):</p> <p>-Experienced Odor Date and Time: Jan 24, 2024 3:30 pm</p> <p>-Experienced Odor Duration: Currently experiencing the odor</p> <p>-Experienced Odor Description: Acrid, Solvent or Chemical</p> <p>-Experienced Odor Intensity: Mild</p> <p>-Experienced Odor Address and/or Location Description: 1609 SW 23rd St. Blue Springs Missouri 64015</p> <p>-Potential Source: I cannot. It's very widespread and even a friend on the other side of town let me know they are able to smell the same strong odor.</p> <p>-Additional Information: I took my dogs outside to use the bathroom. I smelled the odor filling our sun room. I was outside for about five minutes and when I came inside my throat was burning and I needed a drink of water. I am a person with chemical sensitivities so I'm unsure if this is common. However, there are many children and elderly in this neighborhood, as well as others (like myself) that are immunocompromised.</p> <p>---From EER (via National Response Center) (Received 1/25/2024):<br/> CALLER STATES THERE IS A VERY STRONG HAZARDOUS ODOR IN THE VICINITY OF THE INICLDENT LOCATION THAT IS COMING FROM AN UNKNOWN SOURCE. CALLER STATES THE ODOR IS PRETTY WIDESPREAD BECAUSE OTHER PEOPLE IN OTHER NEARBY NEIGHBORHOODS CAN SMELL IT TOO. CALLER STATES IT SMELLS LIKE A CHEMICAL.</p> <p>---From EPA (Received 1/29/2024):<br/> There's a very foul and strong odor of chemicals throughout my neighborhood. It's a sweet chemical smell. It's difficult to describe but sharp and is permeating through my dryer air vent. I can't imagine what could have taken place to cause such a widespread chemical smell. My throat burned after taking my dogs outside to go to the bathroom. There are often houses painted and home renovations in the neighborhood and they do not smell so strongly that the entire neighborhood can smell the odor. It is affecting air quality and breathability.</p> <p><b>Concern Comments:</b> The source of the odor could not be identified. Odor not present during investigation.</p> <p>See SharePoint #8064 for Findings</p> |               |                    |                                 |              |                       |        |                    |              |



| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County        | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56345                    | 1/22/2024     | 1/22/2024  | Jeff Todd or Gary Murphy        | Open Burning | Cardwell /Dunklin  | SERO   | HC                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | CP states that a farmer is burning the fence rows out with tires.  |                                 |              |                    |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>On January 23, 2024, I spoke with Jeffery Todd by telephone. This call was to inquire about knowledge of any tires being used to burn a fence row. Jeffery Todd stated that any fires started by him or people under his employment for any land clearing involving vegetative waste or crop material will be started with a small amount of diesel. Jeffery Todd was unaware of any tires being used to burn anything he or Gary Murphy are associated with.</p> <p>On January 24, 2024, I visited the area described in the concern and was able to locate and view the suspect tire fire. There were five piles of vegetative waste at the time of the investigation. Two were burned, the other three were not burned and there were no tires in the three unburned piles. I attempted to contact neighbors in the area and was unable to make any meaningful contact. Contact information was left on the equipment related to the project and on the mailbox on the neighboring property.</p> <p>On January 31, 2024, Jeffery Todd reached out by telephone to communicate the situation with me. Jeffery Todd explained his concerns and the desire to remain in good standing with the department. Jeffery Todd explained that the area in question has become overgrown which has allowed for littering and dumping to occur at the property. This was the main reason for the project. The inspector did note at the time of the inspection on January 24, 2024, there was a lot of miscellaneous trash and material at the location. Because of this explanation and the observations made at the time of the initial inspection, Jeffery Todd and I agreed to meet at the site on February 1, 2024. The purpose of this meeting was for the Inspector to provide information, factsheets and regulations to Jeffery Todd and for Jeffery Todd to sign an Acknowledgment Agreement with the department regarding the incident.</p> <p>The Acknowledgment Agreement was provided and signed by Jeffery Todd on February 1, 2024. I provided and discussed multiple fact sheets and regulations at this meeting these included "Facts on Open Burning Under Missouri Regulations - PUB 2047", "Management of Scrap Tires – PUB2056", "Managing Construction and Demolition Waste - PUB2045", "Illegal Disposal of Construction and Demolition Wastes - PUB-2251" and Missouri's open burning regulation, Missouri Air Conservation Regulation 10 Code of State Regulations 10-6.045 Open Burning Regulations. Jeffery Todd was respectful and was receptive of the information provided. I thanked Jeffery Todd for the time, provided extra business cards and left the area.</p> |                                 |              |                    |        |                    |              |
|                          |               | <p>Conclusions</p> <p>Acknowledgment Agreement was provided and signed by Jeffery Todd on February 1, 2024</p>   |                                 |              |                    |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County        | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56348                    | 2/2/2024      | 2/2/2024   | Bolder Industries               | Odor         | Maryville /Nodaway | KCRO   | JS                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Submitted at 9:52 pm Thursday, February 1, 2024 Via Webform - Date of Incident or First Noticed: 02/01/24  |                                 |              |                    |        |                    |              |
|                          |               | Toxic Fumes  |                                 |              |                    |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | No odor violations were observed during the investigation; however, the facility experienced a malfunction with one of their flares. Bolder Industries has submitted a startup, shutdown, malfunction report for issues with their flare.  |                                 |              |                    |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                                  | City/County          | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56349                    | 2/2/2024      | 2/2/2024  | Bolder Industries               | Odor  | Maryville /Nodaway   | KCRO   | JS                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Submitted at 9:49 pm Thursday, February 1, 2024 Via Webform - Date of Incident or First Noticed: 02/01/2024<br>Bolder Industries toxic smell and fumes drifting all throughout the city limits of Maryville and outskirts. Multiple complaints from individuals in and around my place of residence. Fumes cause eye irritation and coughing especially in my children and the neighborhood children. This is I believe the 4th complaint in the last 1.5 years. Would have filed a complaint more but just tried to deal with it but its too much as of today. I also know individuals who say the working conditions are rough in the plant with black dust and soot covering faces of the workers. Has to be someone held accountable for working conditions and the health and well being of the community. |                                 |   |                      |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | No odor violations were observed during the investigation; however, the facility experienced a malfunction with one of their flares. Bolder Industries has submitted a startup, shutdown, malfunction report for issues with their flare.   |                                 |   |                      |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                                  | City/County          | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56351                    | 1/29/2024     | 1/31/2024   | Garrett Thieman                 | Open Burning                                  | Concordia /Lafayette | KCRO   | CK                 | Report RTC   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Burning a whole house that was torn down. Shingles and all.   |                                 |   |                      |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | Garrett Thieman will be issued a Letter of Unsatisfactory Findings for disposal of construction or demolition waste by open burning, which is a violation of 10 CSR 10-6.045, Open Burning Requirements.<br><br>Theiman disposed of demolition waste, which consisted of a house on the property, by open burning.  |                                 |   |                      |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                                  | City/County          | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56355                    | 2/1/2024      |   | Directly across the street      | Animal Waste<br>Odor<br>General Water Quality | /Nodaway             |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Chicken fertilizer in three big piles right on the edge of the road, directly across from CP house. This is also happening across from the CP daughter's house on county road 36. Owner of land is Harry Hicks, he rents the land out she thinks. Very strong odor. Her daughter has a dog who runs in the field and they have a baby and they are concerned about the contamination with the baby.   |                                 |   |                      |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               |   |                                 |   |                      |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                                  | City/County          | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56357                    | 1/29/2024     | 2/8/2024  | Sultany Trucking                | Open Burning                                  | Platte City /Platte  | KCRO   | DS                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | CP reports that burning is being conducted by Sultany. CP is not sure what is being burned but has observed large amounts of smoke coming from the property on 1/29/2024.<br>CP also reports that there is a strong odor coming from Todd Creek and believes this is connected to material entering the creek from Sultany or the Todd Creek Water Treatment Plant. The odor is noticeable as far north where the creek crosses Highway 92.<br>CP believes that "sewer gas" is present outside their home in high enough concentrations to cause health problems. CP is not connected to a public sewer.  |                                 |   |                      |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | No violations were found. Information on open burning requirements was left with the facility.  |                                 |   |                      |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                       | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56358                    | 2/1/2024      |   |                                 | Household Hazardous Waste Asbestos | /           |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | KCMO 64111. Salvation Army headquarters building corner of Lindwood and Baltimore right opposite of their house in a family residential area. This building is slated for demolition of February 12th and it's over 100 years old. They have concerns on whether there is any asbestos or oil tanks storage underneath. Wondering many questions, wanting help and advice due to all the babies, young families, and elderly in the residential area. |                                 |                                    |             |        |                    |              |
|                          |               | They had no prior warning. Fences went up for demo on Monday. Very big building.  |                                 |                                    |             |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               |   |                                 |                                    |             |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action       |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 56369                    | 1/24/2024     | 1/30/2024  | UNKNOWN                         | Open Burning | /Cape Girardeau | SERO   | DB                 | Phone Conversation |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Fruitland Fire Chief Rob Francis called regarding receiving concerns via local citizens regarding the burning of vinyl siding and potentially other materials at the residence.  |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |                    |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>arrived at the concern address at approximately 12:00 PM on January 30, 2024. The first house you come to on Northview Estates Drive on the left is 343 Northview Estates Drive (the concern address). This house is still being constructed and having utilities installed. During my time at the address, Southeast Electric and USIC were there demarcating the ground in front of the home with flags. From Northview Estates Drive I tried to look behind the home in an attempt to see any signs/evidence of open burning. I could not see any evidence of open burning while I was there.</p> <p>I spoke with Rob Francis, Fruitland Fire Chief, the next day and he explained to me that he had received concerns from citizens about some open burning of vinyl siding at this address. He went out to the property and extinguished the fire and took a few photographs of the remains. From the pictures, it looked as if some sort of siding was burned, but there wasn't an excessive amount of it. It looked to be a small pile out front of the property. I could see no remains of this burn pile on January 30.</p> <p>I talked with several people over the phone trying to figure out what contracting company was building these homes on Northview Estates Drive. I was finally given the name Brandon Williams. I called Brandon O Williams Construction Company on February 5, 2024 and spoke with Brandon himself. I informed Brandon of the environmental concern that we received and asked if he was building these homes. Brandon said that his company is building these homes, but he was not aware of any of his employees burning any siding. I asked Brandon what he typically does with his waste/scrap material. Brandon said that he has a dumpster that he loads scrap material into and takes it to a transfer station in Jackson. I thanked Brandon for his time and encouraged him to contact me if he ever had any waste disposal or open burning questions.</p> <p>ruitland Fire Chief Rob Francis responded to concerns of vinyl siding being burned at 343 Northview Estates Drive in Fruitland. He extinguished a small fire and took some photographs of what remained. It appeared that some small amount of siding was burned at the front of the property. I saw no evidence of this burn pile on January 30, 2024. I spoke with Brandon Williams of Brandon O. Williams Construction Company (the contractor for these homes) who said he had not burned anything and takes his waste/scrap material to a transfer station in Jackson. No violation of Missouri Air Conservation Regulation 10 Code of State Regulations 10-6.045 Open Burning Requirements was observed during this investigation.</p> |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |                    |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                | City/County    | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action            |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 56370                    | 2/2/2024      | 2/9/2024  | Possibly Quickrete              | Fugitive Particulate Matter | /Greene        | SWRO   | CR                 | Site Visit              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | **Forwarded from EPA** A resident located at 2302 Hill Crest Avenue, Springfield, MO reported excessive dust is impacting her family's health and welfare. She believes the dust originates from the Quickrete plant located at 3045 W Atlantic, Springfield, MO. Please let me know if you need any more information. I did not get her name. Please let me know if this is something you can follow-up on or if you require assistance from EPA.  |                                 |                             |                |        |                    |                         |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8102<br>I arrived at the site at 2:23 and drove the surrounding streets and nearby neighborhood to look for evidence of fugitive dust. I entered the facility at 2:28 and spoke with plant manager Stephen Randall. I informed him of the concern that we received and my findings that I did not observe any violations. Randall stated that he doesn't recall any particular incident where dust might have left their property, but the recent high winds and the several nearby facilities that produce dust made it unsurprising to him. I provided him with my contact information and thanked him for his time before leaving the facility at 2:37. One additional drive around the surrounding facilities and neighborhoods showed no fugitive dust.  |                                 |                             |                |        |                    |                         |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                | City/County    | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action            |
| 56371                    | 2/2/2024      | 2/6/2024  | Alan Taylor                     | Open Burning                | /Camden        | SWRO   | CR                 | Communication In Person |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Neighbors are burning an unknown substance that smells "toxic", Caller states they heard rumors of meth being cooked at the residence. Burning takes place once a week right behind the house. The home has trash service so the caller is unsure what is being burned.   |                                 |                             |                |        |                    |                         |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8094<br>I arrived at the property at 10:18 am and met homeowner Alan Taylor at the front door. I introduced myself and informed him of a concern that we had received regarding open burning on his property. When I asked about their burning activities, Taylor stated that they burn leaves and lumber on their property but nothing outside of that and no burning has taken place in the last couple of weeks. Taylor agreed to walk me around the property and I observed the burn pile that he was referring to and found nothing other than leaves. After I had seen the yard, Taylor informed me of burning that he had observed from their home 3 or 4 days ago and thought that might be the true source of concern. Taylor also inquired about whether they could burn the remnants of a deck that they are planning on tearing down and I provided him with a copy of the open burning regulations and explained that burning their deck would violate state regulations. After giving Taylor the open burning information I thanked him for his time and left the property at 10:35 am. |                                 |                             |                |        |                    |                         |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                | City/County    | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action            |
| 56373                    | 2/5/2024      | 2/5/2024  | LaPlata RII Schools             | Asbestos                    | LaPlata /Macon | NERO   | SB                 | Report                  |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller reported that the grandparents of multiple students at La Plata are concerned about possible asbestos exposure. Caller stated that there had been a fire in the admin area of the building December 1st and the building was evacuated, but now they will be moving students back into portions of the building while removal of asbestos floor tile is done in a sectioned off area of the building.  |                                 |                             |                |        |                    |                         |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | At the time of the investigation, no violations of the asbestos NESHAPs rule were found. A licensed abatement contractor was removing asbestos containing mastic using mechanical methods. During an inspection, no issues were found with how the project is being managed. Final Clearance air sampling results were provided as documentation.   |                                 |                             |                |        |                    |                         |

| Concern No.  | Date Received | Investigation Date | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type               | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action      |
|--|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 56374  | 2/2/2024      | 2/8/2024           | Shawn Wilkerson                 | Open Dumps<br>Open Burning | Ava<br>/Douglas | SWRO   | MK                 | Site Visit<br>LOW |
| <p><b>Concern Info:</b> From email:</p> <p>"Tina &amp; Ashton,</p> <p>I just got an anonymous citizen concern about a trash hauler that is dumping/burning waste. The trash hauler is called Redeemed Enterprises LLC and is located just north of Ava, MO.</p> <p>SOS business filing is <a href="https://bsd.sos.mo.gov/Common/CorrespondenceItemViewHandler.ashx?IsTIFF=true&amp;filedDocumentId=131278429&amp;version=2">https://bsd.sos.mo.gov/Common/CorrespondenceItemViewHandler.ashx?IsTIFF=true&amp;filedDocumentId=131278429&amp;version=2</a></p> <p>Website is <a href="http://www.redeemedtrash.com/">http://www.redeemedtrash.com/</a></p> <p>Location of dump/burn is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Go north out of Ava on N. Jefferson St; N. Jefferson turns into CR 201</li> <li>• The property will be on the east side of CR 201 between Ava Cemetery and St. Leo Church; approximately ¼ mi. north of the N. Jefferson/Ozark Ave. intersection</li> </ul> <p>Hopefully this is enough info as it's all I was given.</p> <p>Thanks.</p> <p>Mike</p> <p>Michael Parris<br/>Compliance Chief<br/>MoDNR/Waste Management<br/>p :: (573) 526-3918<br/>f :: (573) 526-3902<br/>m :: P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102<br/>w :: <a href="http://www.dnr.mo.gov">www.dnr.mo.gov</a>"</p> <p><b>Concern Comments:</b> SharePoint #8104</p> <p>On arrival at the location of County Road 201, Jefferson Street, Ava, Missouri, I spoke to an unknown person who was loading trash bags onto a 1/2-ton pickup. Another 1/2-ton pickup full of trash was parked and had a fifteen-foot trailer attached. The trailer was also full of trash. This person did not want to leave his name but referred me to the property owner, Shawn Wilkerson. The person was later identified as an employee of Wilkerson's. I called Wilkerson and he stated he would meet me at the site in twenty minutes. The two trucks and the trailer loaded with trash left. About ten minutes later I met with Shawn Wilkerson. Wilkerson was informed of the concern and how the Missouri Solid Waste Law and Regulations could affect his situation and business. Wilkerson advised me he is a waste hauler and is new to the business. Wilkerson took me to the area of concern. This area is located approximately seventy-five yards east of County Road 201. The area of concern contained approximately eighty cubic yards of household waste. Most of the waste was confined to trash bags, however, some of the waste was loose on the ground. I observed no waste leaving the boundary of the property. Wilkerson explained that during the cold spell a couple of weeks ago he lost the function of his trash truck. He said he needed to still run his route, so he staged the bagged trash on the ground and was having his employees take the trash to the landfill after routes were run. He said since then he has been having dumpsters placed on-site for proper disposal and having his employees work overtime to haul the waste to the landfill. I asked Wilkerson when he could have all the trash picked up and disposed of. He stated I could come back on February 10, 2024, and the waste will be properly disposed of. I told him I would be back in the area on February 14, 2024, and would stop by and take photos. He said that would be fine. I gave Wilkerson an educational packet with my business card and left. No signs of burning were found. SEE CONCLUSION NOTE</p> |               |                    |                                 |                            |                 |        |                    |                   |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                      | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action      |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 56374                    | 2/2/2024      | 2/8/2024  | Shawn Wilkerson                 | Open Dumps<br>Open Burning        | Ava<br>/Douglas   | SWRO   | MK                 | Site Visit<br>RTC |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | <p>From email:</p> <p>"Tina &amp; Ashton,</p> <p>I just got an anonymous citizen concern about a trash hauler that is dumping/burning waste. The trash hauler is called Redeemed Enterprises LLC and is located just north of Ava, MO.</p> <p>SOS business filing is <a href="https://bsd.sos.mo.gov/Common/CorrespondenceItemViewHandler.ashx?IsTIFF=true&amp;filedDocumentId=131278429&amp;version=2">https://bsd.sos.mo.gov/Common/CorrespondenceItemViewHandler.ashx?IsTIFF=true&amp;filedDocumentId=131278429&amp;version=2</a></p> <p>Website is <a href="http://www.redeemedtrash.com/">http://www.redeemedtrash.com/</a></p> <p>Location of dump/burn is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Go north out of Ava on N. Jefferson St; N. Jefferson turns into CR 201</li> <li>• The property will be on the east side of CR 201 between Ava Cemetery and St. Leo Church; approximately ¼ mi. north of the N. Jefferson/Ozark Ave. intersection</li> </ul> <p>Hopefully this is enough info as it's all I was given.</p> <p>Thanks.</p> <p>Mike</p> <p>Michael Parris<br/>Compliance Chief<br/>MoDNR/Waste Management<br/>p :: (573) 526-3918<br/>f :: (573) 526-3902<br/>m :: P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102<br/>w :: <a href="http://www.dnr.mo.gov">www.dnr.mo.gov</a>"</p>  |                                 |                                   |                   |        |                    |                   |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>SharePoint #8104</p> <p>On arrival at the location of County Road 201, Jefferson Street, Ava, Missouri, I spoke to an unknown person who was loading trash bags onto a 1/2-ton pickup. Another 1/2-ton pickup full of trash was parked and had a fifteen-foot trailer attached. The trailer was also full of trash. This person did not want to leave his name but referred me to the property owner, Shawn Wilkerson. The person was later identified as an employee of Wilkerson's. I called Wilkerson and he stated he would meet me at the site in twenty minutes. The two trucks and the trailer loaded with trash left. About ten minutes later I met with Shawn Wilkerson. Wilkerson was informed of the concern and how the Missouri Solid Waste Law and Regulations could affect his situation and business. Wilkerson advised me he is a waste hauler and is new to the business. Wilkerson took me to the area of concern. This area is located approximately seventy-five yards east of County Road 201. The area of concern contained approximately eighty cubic yards of household waste. Most of the waste was confined to trash bags, however, some of the waste was loose on the ground. I observed no waste leaving the boundary of the property. Wilkerson explained that during the cold spell a couple of weeks ago he lost the function of his trash truck. He said he needed to still run his route, so he staged the bagged trash on the ground and was having his employees take the trash to the landfill after routes were run. He said since then he has been having dumpsters placed on-site for proper disposal and having his employees work overtime to haul the waste to the landfill. I asked Wilkerson when he could have all the trash picked up and disposed of. He stated I could come back on February 10, 2024, and the waste will be properly disposed of. I told him I would be back in the area on February 14, 2024, and would stop by and take photos. He said that would be fine. I gave Wilkerson an educational packet with my business card and left. No signs of burning were found. SEE CONCLUSION NOTE</p> |                                 |                                   |                   |        |                    |                   |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                      | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action      |
| 56375                    | 1/31/2024     | 2/8/2024  | American Tripoli                | Fugitive<br>Particulate<br>Matter | Seneca<br>/Newton | SWRO   | BD                 | Site Visit        |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | <p>Caller states that American Tripoli is running and creating a large amount of dust that is blowing all over the town.</p>  |                                 |                                   |                   |        |                    |                   |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>SharePoint #8105-See concern 8070 for investigation details. Violation of 10 CSR 10-6.170 Restriction of Fugitive Particulate Matter to the Ambient Air Beyond the Premises of Origin was observed. Violation will be coordinated with previous RNOV #230057.</p>  |                                 |                                   |                   |        |                    |                   |



| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                             | City/County             | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action             |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 56376                    | 2/2/2024      | 2/8/2024   | American Tripoli                | Fugitive Particulate Matter              | Seneca<br>/Newton       | SWRO   | BD                 | Site Visit               |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller states that American Tripoli is still running and creating a large amount of dust to cover the town and all the surrounding buildings from the plant.   |                                 |  |                         |        |                    |                          |
|                          |               | CONCERNED PARTY  |                                 |  |                         |        |                    |                          |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8106   |                                 |  |                         |        |                    |                          |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                             | City/County             | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action             |
| 56378                    | 2/5/2024      |  | Rory Shay                       | Open Dumps<br>Scrap Tire<br>Open Burning | Noel<br>/McDonald       |        |                    |                          |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller states that a young man moved in a 50 by 50 lot in between neighbors and has brought in waste and tires. The waste has been spread over the adjoining properties. Caller says that Rory Shay brings in pickup truck loads of waste and tires, then burns the material. Caller says Rory started bringing in tires and burning them about four months ago. There is waste burning today - caller thinks it may be the insulation from wires. Caller says that the sheriff has been notified, but does nothing. Caller said Rory dumped metal roofing on the neighbors property yesterday. Caller states the issue has been going on for three years. |                                 |  |                         |        |                    |                          |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8114   |                                 |  |                         |        |                    |                          |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                             | City/County             | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action             |
| 56392                    | 2/5/2024      | 2/5/2024   | Smith-Hale Middle School        | Asbestos                                 | Kansas City<br>/Jackson | KCRO   | MC                 | Other                    |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | From Webform submitted at 10:43 am Monday, February 5, 2024.   |                                 |  |                         |        |                    |                          |
|                          |               | Please describe your concern, including the location on the property: The Smith Hale Jr high asbestos blown up in the air ( I spoke with a woman there 20-12 days ago ). Well the blocks that came out of the building are being brought back from the dump and left on the lot.   |                                 |  |                         |        |                    |                          |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | This concern is located within the city limits of Kansas City, MO; therefore, the concern was referred to the delegated local agency, which is the City of Kansas City Health Department's Air Quality Program. Kansas City Air Quality is familiar with this project and will follow up.  |                                 |  |                         |        |                    |                          |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                             | City/County             | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action             |
| 56393                    | 2/5/2024      | 2/6/2024   | Ron Bohrer                      | Open Burning                             | Latour<br>/Johnson      | KCRO   | CK                 | Phone Conversation<br>UF |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | He hauls stuff off for people of all sorts of kinds of things like house hold items and bush junk, and what he doesn't take to the scrap yard he burns in his yard in a giant pit.   |                                 |  |                         |        |                    |                          |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | Property owner Randy Landis and stepson Ron Bohrer are being issued an Unsatisfactory Finding for open buring of a durable good.   |                                 |  |                         |        |                    |                          |

| Concern No.       | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator                        | Concern Type | City/County    | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|-------------------|---------------|---|--|--------------|----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56394             | 2/5/2024      | 2/6/2024  | Ford - Kansas City Assembly Plant-Stephanie Luebbering | Odor         | Claycomo /Clay | KCRO   | DS                 | Site Visit   |
| Concern Info:     |               | The Ford plant is smelling again. On 2/4/2024, concerned party (CP) was walking their dog in the evening and noticed an acetone smell. CP said the smell was so bad that they could taste it. CP has requested a follow-up. |  |              |                |        |                    |              |
| Concern Comments: |               | At the time of investigation, no violations were found.   |  |              |                |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.       | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County           | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56395             | 1/23/2024     | 1/24/2024  | Nathan Asbury Investments       | Asbestos     | Keytesville /Chariton | NERO   | DB                 | Email        |
| Concern Info:     |               | Reported building roof collapsed, owner may intend to burn to avoid cleanup costs and asbestos regulations. No actual violation alleged. |                                 |              |                       |        |                    |              |
| Concern Comments: |               | No violation alleged. Called owner, emailed him fact sheets.   |                                 |              |                       |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.       | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County      | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action       |
|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 56396             | 1/29/2024     | 2/1/2024   | Josh McVeigh                    | Open Burning | Shelbina /Shelby | NERO   | DB                 | Phone Conversation |
| Concern Info:     |               | Report of demolition of shed, burning plywood & shingles in back yard.         |                                 |              |                  |        |                    |                    |
| Concern Comments: |               | No violation of the open burning rule was identified during the investigation. |                                 |              |                  |        |                    |                    |

| Concern No.       | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator     | Concern Type | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|-------------------|---------------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56397             | 1/10/2024     | 1/10/2024   | see the name of the business bellow | Open Burning | /Jefferson  |        | SLRO               | HH           |
| Concern Info:     |               | The following concern was sent to this office from Jefferson County Government office via email from Michael Cook Storma Water Division . "Please see the attached GIS map of the location of the compliant that my office has received. Several callers have stated that it's a commercial dumpster rental business that is burning their trash and burying trash and material they can't burn. I will try to stop by this evening to look and talk to the property owner. Please let me know if you have any questions or will have someone out to inspect as well"   |                                     |              |             |        |                    |              |
| Concern Comments: |               | 1-10-24-RLA- I looked up the address and we have not had a concern at this property before.<br><br>On 1/23/2024 Shannon Hambrick and I went to the above address, There was nobody at rhe property, We walk around and behind the house we saw an area where open burning took place, at the time of our visit there was no burning but tree trucks and empty 5 gallon drum, We left our business cards and " Facts on Open Burning Facts" Sheets.<br><br>On 01/31/2024 I prepared" Response Letter to Environmental Concern" for Myron Lewis to sign and " Open Burning Facts" to be mailed with the letter. |                                     |              |             |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County            | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action             |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 56398                    | 1/20/2024     | 1/22/2024  | 6464 2nd Street, House Springs  | Open Burning | /Jefferson             | SLRO   | MC                 |                          |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Recieved an anonymous concern from the DNR webpage. The concerned party states "The renter (First Name) Tim, (last name unknown) of residence 6464 2nd st House Springs MO 63051 is currently being held detained by law enforcement and therefore no longer residing at this location so no person should even be at this address but there are people "squatting" there and these people have been on the property for the past week performing the actions of open burning of hazardous materials or waste including plastic, rubber and other harmful material that is illegal to burn only after dark or sunset. They are also open burning less than 25 ft away from the other homes of people residing at this neighborhood. This activity is causing a concern for the not only the residents, their safety, environment and air quality is seriously being affected by this but these people are polluting the air and area as of currently right now at this time 9:56pm date Friday, January 19th. "  |                                 |              |                        |        |                    |                          |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>1/22/24 - RLA - I looked up the address and we have not had a concern at this address before. According to the Jefferson County Assessor the property is owned by Malapert LLC, located at 12 Lynnbrook Road, St. Louis Mo 63131.</p> <p>1/25/2024 - MSC - I called Megan Butler, Property Manager to inform her of the concern and answer any questions she may have. I asked if she would be interested in a voluntary meet at the source of concern. It was agreed we would meet on 1/31/2024 at 9:00 am.</p> <p>MSC 1/31/2024: I arrived at the mobile home park at 8:55am and parked in a gravel area where I was able to view 6464 2nd Street and some activity to the south of the park in an open area. Attached to the concern is an edited map of the property, indicating areas of observation (Att. #2). The open area appeared to have a fire pit set-up. Nothing was burning or smoldering at the time of my investigation but there was trash and a barrel full of stripped wiring (Photo #1). This is evidence that there may be trash and wire burning occurring on the property, but this could not be concluded. 6464 2nd Street had a small recreational type of fire pit that was not burning or smoldering (Photo #2).</p> <p>After making observations of the south field and the southern end of 6464 2nd street the Property Manager, Megan Butler arrived. Megan voluntarily met with me on site to better understand the situation and see what actions could be taken. I provided my contact information, fact sheets, and portion 10 CSR 10-6.045. I explained some of my findings and highlighted certain areas of open burning regulations.</p> <p>I went to the front of the property to see if I could see any other signs of open burning at the address of concern. There was no evidence in the front of the home of open burning (Photo #3). I left the area at 9:30am.</p> <p>Upon returning to the office, I received an e-mail from Megan following-up to get electronic copies of open burning related fact sheets and information (Att. #2). The goal is to inform the residents of the trailer park about open burning violations.</p> |                                 |              |                        |        |                    |                          |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County            | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action             |
| 56408                    | 2/7/2024      | 2/8/2024   | Todd Creek WWTP                 | Odor         | Kansas City<br>/Platte | KCRO   | MC                 | Referred to Other Agency |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | <p>Received by Environmental Emergency Response (EER) at 7:26 p.m. 02/06/2024.</p> <p>The caller stated that the sewer plant near their house smells so bad that it is making people ill in the neighborhood. The caller did not identify that there was a release but stated that the odor is very strong. This incident is being referred to the department's Kansas City Regional Office for further investigation.</p>   |                                 |              |                        |        |                    |                          |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | This concern is located within the city limits of Kansas City, MO; therefore, the concern was referred to the delegated local agency, which is the City of Kansas City Health Department's Air Quality Program.  |                                 |              |                        |        |                    |                          |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County          | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action             |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 56410                    | 2/9/2024      | 2/9/2024   | Langley Recycling               | Open Burning | Kansas City /Jackson | KCRO   | MC                 | Referred to Other Agency |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Via Webform submitted at 9:10 p.m. Thursday, February 8, 2024  |                                 |              |                      |        |                    |                          |
|                          |               | - Date of Incident or First Noticed: 02/07/24  |                                 |              |                      |        |                    |                          |
|                          |               | -Please describe your concern, including the location on the property: I witnessed the open burning of wood and cardboard in an open top wire basket. There are combustible materials as well as explosive welding gas tanks in close proximity to the open flame. This is a reaccuring issue.   |                                 |              |                      |        |                    |                          |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | This concern is located within the city limits of Kansas City, MO; therefore, the concern was referred to the delegated local agency, which is the City of Kansas City Health Department's Air Quality Program.  |                                 |              |                      |        |                    |                          |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County          | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action             |
| 56411                    | 2/9/2024      | 2/9/2024   | St. Joseph Sanitary Landfill    | Odor         | St. Joseph /Buchanan | KCRO   | MC                 | Email                    |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Via Webform submitted at 6:46 p.m. Thursday, February 8, 2024  |                                 |              |                      |        |                    |                          |
|                          |               | -Date of Incident or Date First Noticed: 02/08/24  |                                 |              |                      |        |                    |                          |
|                          |               | -Please describe your concern, including the location on the property: I live roughly a mile from the landfill across a field. We've lived here for over 10 years and never had any issue but last summer the smell started getting horrible. Even today we can hardly stand to be outside because the smell is so bad. The landfill is now one of the highest points in the area.   |                                 |              |                      |        |                    |                          |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | No odor violations observed.   |                                 |              |                      |        |                    |                          |
|                          |               | The landfill has been approved by the Waste Management Program to use emergency storage at the landfill while their new cells are being constructed. The department is working with the facility to ensure they properly manage both the waste in storage and its movement to the completed cells. The department will continue to monitor their progress and address concerns until the project's completion.   |                                 |              |                      |        |                    |                          |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County          | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action             |
| 56413                    | 2/8/2024      | 2/8/2024   | Unknown                         | Odor         | Deepwater /Henry     | KCRO   | MC                 | Other                    |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Concerned party (CP) called regarding very strong odors from land application of manure onto farm ground. CP stated this is near the Lakeland R-3 School off of Hwy 13 in Henry County. CP is concerned about the health of the children having to smell the strong odors.   |                                 |              |                      |        |                    |                          |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | The odor source was describe as a farm, which would be exempt from the department's odor regulation. Furthermore, insufficient information was provided by an anonymous source to determine the specific farm.   |                                 |              |                      |        |                    |                          |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County          | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action             |
| 56414                    | 2/6/2024      | 2/7/2024   | Denali Water Solutions          | Odor         | /Newton              | SWRO   | JW                 | Site Visit               |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Denali is stinking this morning  |                                 |              |                      |        |                    |                          |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8125<br>I arrived to the Evans basin at 12:53 p.m. Weather conditions consisted of 62 degrees, 32% humidity, 10 mph S winds, and roughly 50% cloud cover. I drove around the accessible perimeter of the basin for roughly 20 minutes. I did not detect excessive odorous matter at any point during the investigation. I did detect odor at the 4:1 dilution threshold when I was north of the basin, but it was not a 7:1 dilution threshold and therefore not in violation of the state regulations. I left the region at roughly 1:13 p.m. |                                 |              |                      |        |                    |                          |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type         | City/County        | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56415                    | 2/6/2024      | 2/6/2024  | Sommerkamp Brothers LLC         | Open Burning         | Linn Creek /Camden | SWRO   | CR                 | Other LOW    |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | See concern #8094. Possible source of concern pointed out that 3-4 days ago there was burning down by the marina that was producing a lot of black smoke and odor   |                                 |                      |                    |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8141<br>I arrived at the property at 10:40 am. I observed a burn pile in the driveway directly south of a trailer that appeared to include the remnants of furniture potentially including mattresses and bed frames. When I approached the trailer I noticed that it was stripped inside and I was unable to find anyone on site. I documented the burn pile and left the site at 10:50 am. Camden County GIS revealed that the property is owned by Sommerkamp Brothers LLC with a mailing address in St. Louis, MO. Associated contact log number is 8007.   |                                 |                      |                    |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type         | City/County        | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56417                    | 2/7/2024      |   | Protein Solutions               | Odor                 | Joplin /Jasper     |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Odor complaint on Rexs Avenue, started at 7 am continuing   |                                 |                      |                    |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8147  |                                 |                      |                    |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type         | City/County        | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56418                    | 2/6/2024      | 2/7/2024  | Isonova Technologies            | Odor                 | /Lawrence          | SWRO   | JW                 | Email        |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Anonymous caller at 3:52 p.m. said that the odors from IsoNova Technologies were bad in the afternoon while he was driving on P highway. He said the odors had seemed to improve a couple of weeks ago but they kicked up again.  |                                 |                      |                    |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8148<br>I visited per concerns in the area that IsoNova Technologies was changing their emissions control practices in light of recent construction activity. I visited at approximately 10:32 a.m. and I did not detect excessive odorous matter at any point during the investigation. On February 9, 2024 the department received an email from Eric Kim (environmental manager with Symrise) regarding the construction of the regenerative thermal oxidizer (RTO). The RTO is being constructed on the north side of the facility. Breaking ground began a couple of weeks ago. The engineers working on the RTO are concerned about the structural integrity of the existing stacks as they begin work on the RTO. Kim asked the department for permission to temporarily remove part of a stack at the facility so that construction can resume. The lowered stack height of the facility may result in excessive odors as combustion capacity will reduce. Kim will notify the department when partial removal of the stack initiates. We will investigate odor complaints as we receive them, but we may practice inspector discretion depending on how quickly the engineers can figure out an ideal protocol for constructing the RTO. |                                 |                      |                    |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type         | City/County        | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56424                    | 2/9/2024      |   |                                 | Odor<br>Open Burning | /Wright            | SWRO   | CR                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller states that land owner is burning stuff that smells horrible. Smells like burnt chemical, plastic . Burning stopped for a while and has picked up.   |                                 |                      |                    |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8201  |                                 |                      |                    |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County      | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56426                    | 2/9/2024      |   | Show Me Flooring                | Open Burning | /Taney           | SWRO   | BD                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller states that Show Me Flooring is burning business waste, including carpet scraps. Burning in a burn pit, usually burning all day every day except Sunday.   |                                 |              |                  |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8196  |                                 |              |                  |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County      | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56431                    | 2/8/2024      |   | Kelly McNatt                    | Asbestos     | Lebanon /Laclede | SWRO   | JW                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Dismantling mobile homes at Whispering Pines MHP. Did stop for a while and now a new park manager, Jennifer is now dismantling homes again. Does have a dumpster on-site. Concerned about asbesto in insulation.  |                                 |              |                  |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8174  |                                 |              |                  |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County      | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56437                    | 1/23/2024     | 1/23/2024   | Marvin and Shirley Lindemann    | Odor         | /Lincoln         | SLRO   | KH                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Recieved a concern from the DNR website, the CP states "Trailer park lagoon having a strong odor. Been going on a year. Smell can be so back it can smelled from the house. "   |                                 |              |                  |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | 1/23/24 - RLA - I looked up the site and we have not had an air concern before at this property. The Lincoln county assessor office says the site is owned by Lindemann, Marvin L SR& Shirley J Trust with a mailing address of 731 Linns Mill Road, Troy, 63379. The property itself does not have a formal address but it is just west of a property located at 3 Green Oaks Lane, Troy, 63379.<br><br>01/29/24 - I visited the site, and on it there were multiple lagoons. I visited all lagoons on site and walked around each of them individually. There were no odors present on the day of my visit. |                                 |              |                  |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County      | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56438                    | 2/6/2024      | 2/6/2024  |                                 | Asbestos     | /St. Louis       | SLRO   | RA                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | We recieved an environmental concern frome the DNR website the CP wanted infomation about four commercial property that are being consider for demolition. i was seeing if you all can come out and help the city of pine lawn determine if the buildings has asbestos or any type of hazard material inside that could harm the resident and get in the air.   |                                 |              |                  |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               |   |                                 |              |                  |        |                    |              |



| Concern No.  | Date Received | Investigation Date | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type               | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56439  | 2/6/2024      | 2/6/2024           | Unknown                         | Odor                       | /St. Louis      | SLRO   | RA                 |              |
| <p><b>Concern Info:</b> The caller has been living at her Affton residence for the past 20 years, but wanted to report a concern on odors that she first noticed about a month ago. She claims this is a chemical odor that is seeping into her house and affecting her eyes, nose, and throat, and she is worried about her dogs as well. The caller believes the odor is coming from the back of the house, possibly her neighbor's radon device or the sewers in the back. She has called multiple different agencies, including the fire department and local sewer company. When the Fire Department came out, they could also smell a chemical smell. None of the agencies contacted could identify an odor source.</p> <p>I informed her that we would not be able to investigate any odors inside of her residence, but either our investigators would be able to look into any exterior odor sources or we would refer it to an agency that could. She called the St. Louis County's Air Pollution Control Program and left a voicemail with them before contacting SLRO.</p> <p><b>Concern Comments:</b> Referred to St. Louis County Department of Health Air program</p>   |               |                    |                                 |                            |                 |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.  | Date Received | Investigation Date | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type               | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56441  | 1/29/2024     | 1/29/2024          | Unknown                         | Odor                       | /St. Louis City | SLRO   | RB                 |              |
| <p><b>Concern Info:</b> Recieved a concern form the I-270/70 online concern form. The CP states "While driving to work for the past few days, I've smelled a strong natural gas smell right around Bevo Mill. "</p> <p><b>Concern Comments:</b> I called Spire gas company to see if they had any issues in this area, and they were unaware of any issues, but took the information down and would send someone out.</p>  |               |                    |                                 |                            |                 |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.  | Date Received | Investigation Date | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type               | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56442  | 1/30/2024     | 1/30/2024          |                                 | Open Burning<br>Open Dumps | /St. Louis City | SLRO   | LZ                 |              |
| <p><b>Concern Info:</b> Recieved a concern from the DNR citizen tip line. The CP states " Trash is dumped on site. Building on fire. Collapsed portions of building.</p> <p><b>Concern Comments:</b> I called the CP to further document details of the concern. Gloria Gorden told me residents in the area are dealing with a building that has collapsed and has become a place for drug dealers solid waste dumping site where crime happens. People in the area want the building to be demolished and converted to a children play place. Gloria Gordon told me that the building is currently owned by City to City Minestry. the owner tried to sell the building. There is no buyers. The CP then contacted the City of St Louis Building Division. John Watson, a building inspector (314 641 8663), with the city, after evaluating the building determined that the building needs to be demolished. However, the building inspector told her that the city does not have the money to do it. He advised her to contact the Feds to get financial help. That is why the concern was submitted to this office. This is not an air pollution or hazardous waste issue. I am not sure if solid waste program can be involved on these kinds of issues or not.</p> |               |                    |                                 |                            |                 |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56443                    | 2/6/2024      | 2/6/2024   | Veolia Environmental Services   | Odor         | /St. Louis City | SLRO   | SL                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Recieved an environmental concern from the DNR Website. The CP states "A rotten egg odor is perceptible throughout my neighborhood, although it appears to be dissipating as the morning goes on."   |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>2/6/24-RLA- Since the concern stated that Veolia is the responsible party, I forwarded the concern to the Illinios EPA.</p> <p>2/7/24 SEL - I drove up to the address provided and arrived around 8:30 am. When I arrived, I did notice a faint smell in the air. The strongest area I noticed the smell was along S 9th street in front of Morrison Ave. Outside of the vehicle I was able to detect a faint smell, similar to "rotten eggs". I performed a Nasal Ranger reading, but was unable to detect an odor at any dilution. At the time of the reading, the wind was blowing from the SouthEast around 7mph. I drove around Morrison, S 9th, and Rutger streets for about 15 minutes. As the time passed, the odor became fainter and fainter. Around 8:50 am, I could no longer detect any odor.</p> <p>2/7/24 SEL - I conducted the South St. Louis City Odor loop around 11am. I did notice a faint odor outside of Scheaffer Manufacturing, and outisde of Kinder Morgan (4070 S Street). I performed Nasal Ranger readings outside of both sites, but was unable to detect an odor at any dilution.</p> |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56444                    | 2/8/2024      | 2/8/2024   | 4700 Primm St., St. Louis, MO   | Asbestos     | /St. Louis City | SLRO   | RA                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | A concerned citizen called in saying that some contractors were pulling out windows and tearing off shingles. The concerned party said she was under the impression that they may contain asbestos, but, just wanted to make sure they were working under the environmental rules and regulations.   |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | I looked up the address and the site is a residential building. Since the call was anonymous I can not let them know that residential properties are exempt from most asbestos regulations.  |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56446                    | 1/29/2024     | 2/5/2024   | Universal Galvanizing           | Odor         | /Warren         | SLRO   | HH                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Recieved an air concern from the EPA, The Cp states "Hard to breath the air outside today. "   |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | on 02/05/2024 about 10:00 AM I drove to and around the above facility to investigate odor concern, i did not smell any foul odor or noticed any dust.  |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                    | City/County           | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56460                    | 1/29/2024     | 1/29/2024  | Chance Lott                     | Open Burning                    | Ironton /St. Francois | SERO   | HC                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | CP states that an individual that lives on the property is burning apprx. 40-50 tires.   |                                 |                                 |                       |        |                    |              |
|                          |               | CONCERNED PARTY  |                                 |                                 |                       |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>I met with Angela McMurray at the Lake Hanna Management Office on February 1, 2024. We discussed the concern submitted to the department. It was revealed that the tires were not burned, but there were around 60 tires noted on the property. The Lake Hanna Security Lead, Charity Cocz, accompanied me to the property. Chance Lott has recently left the property and is residing in Ironton for the time being. Photos were taken at the site and the information that Lake Hanna had on Chance Lott was communicated to me. There were around 60 used and assumed scrap tires on the property. We returned to the Management Office and spoke of options that Lake Hanna has to handle solid waste and open burning issues at Lake Hanna. I provided a business card and explained to management how to navigate dnr.mo.gov website so that the facility can review factsheets and regulation.</p> <p>After leaving Lake Hanna, I proceeded to Ironton to attempt to contact Chance Lott. I used the telephone number provided to me from the concerned party. I spoke with Chance Lott on the phone. Chance Lott was receptive of the information and provided a personnel email so I could share factsheets and regulations. Chance Lott provided the address that he currently resides at so that I could provide physical copies of information. I visited 109 Hospital Drive in Ironton which was the address provided to me from Chance Lott. I was met by the residents of the apartment unit; the residents were aggressive and were unwilling to speak with me. If this concern is submitted again, it is advised that multiple inspectors investigate. I was able to provide "Facts on Open Burning under Missouri Regulations - PUB 2047" and "Management of Scrap Tires - PUB2056" along with a business card to the residents. The same factsheets will be shared with Chance Lott via email.</p> <p>No violations of air (such as Missouri Air Conservation Regulation 10 Code of State Regulations 10-6.045 Open Burning Requirements) regulations were observed.</p> |                                 |                                 |                       |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                    | City/County           | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56469                    | 2/13/2024     | 2/14/2024  | Garden City Travel Center       | Hazardous Air Pollutant Release | Garden City /Cass     | KCRO   | MC                 | Other        |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | CP called in to complain that a truck stop is potentially being constructed within 300-500 feet of their home. The CP lives just outside the city limits of Garden City in Cass County. The CP is mainly concerned with noise, benzene, and carbon monoxide pollution causing health issues and lowering property values. The CP stated that approximately 20 homes will be effected by this truck stop. The land is currently zoned as an agricultural farming field, but there is a city meeting on 2/26 to present the truck stop and discuss rezoning the land. The CP has called the County and the City but has not received an adequate answer to satisfy the CP's concerns. The CP also stated that this project was initially withheld from the public and only was revealed when the public pressured the City.  |                                 |                                 |                       |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>I informed the concerned party that I do not believe the Department of Natural Resources has any regulations to address this concern. We do not have any noise regulations. Also, there are no requirements to obtain an air permit for building a fueling station. Depending on the amount of gasoline they dispense on a monthly average, they may be required to install vapor recovery equipment to reduce the amount of emissions from the gasoline storage tanks; however, enforcement of this requirement will be handled by EPA (913-551-7003). Zoning is handled at the county or city level.</p> <p>EPA and the department's underground storage tank section were informed for awareness.</p>  |                                 |                                 |                       |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                    | City/County           | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56476                    | 2/13/2024     |  |                                 | Odor                            | /Jasper               |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller states that a heavy burning plastic odor can be smelled along Rangeline Road to Indiana Road in Joplin. I took the call at approximately 10:45am.   |                                 |                                 |                       |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8232   |                                 |                                 |                       |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56477                    | 2/13/2024     | 2/14/2024  | IsoNova Technologies            | Odor         | /Lawrence         | SWRO   | JW                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Anonymous caller states IsoNova was smelling "super bad" on Sunday night. He noted that IsoNova is constructing something new on the north end of their property, he wonders if it's related to the worsening odors.   |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8256<br>I arrived to the Verona region at approximately 10:30 a.m. I began the investigation by inspecting other facilities in Verona proper per different concern calls. I visited IsoNova intermittently during these other investigations. I did not detect excessive odorous matter deriving from IsoNova Technologies at any point during the investigations in Verona. It was unclear whether IsoNova had removed one of its stacks yet to simplify construction of the RTO (see concern 8148). Weather conditions consisted of 51 degrees F, 52% humidity, south winds at 10 mph, and 5% cloud cover. I left the region at approximately 11:46 p.m.   |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56481                    | 2/14/2024     |  | Kemin Industries                | Odor         | /Lawrence         | SWRO   | JW                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Anonymous caller states that "everywhere is smelling bad" near Verona. He suspects IsoNova and Kemin are the culprits. At the time of the call, winds were out of the south at 5 mph.  |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8254   |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56482                    | 2/14/2024     |  | Maybe Thompson                  | Open Burning | Neck City /Jasper |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Anonymous caller states that the neighbors are burning their household items including trash and it is causing a nuisance in the area. Caller states that they constantly smell a chemical, metal type of odor when burning is occurring. Caller also states that there are potentially multiple families living on the property. Caller was advised to follow up with the health department regarding the dwelling situation. Caller also states that they have observed items like pillows and blankets being burned on the property.  |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8265   |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56483                    | 2/14/2024     |  |                                 | Odor         | /Lawrence         |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | I live in Verona Mo and the smell we live with is just horrible!! I live outside city limits about three miles and this morning can't drink my coffee much less eat breakfast because of the smell.<br>There are three factories here that smell. We have been through a lot here in Verona Mo from the production from these factories and receive notices from about this. But nothing is ever done about the smell. You can't even stay outside and it gets in your home but even better into your hair and clothes. When you go to a different area you stink.<br>Not to mention the breathing issues<br>I do have COPD and on bad days I can't breathe I am sure I am not the only one!<br>I feel like there has to be something they can do to make it better.<br>Not sure why this area has so many Monett and Aurora has issues also.<br>This would not be tolerated in a larger city!!<br>Please look into the smell issue here. There has to be an exhaust cleaner or something that can be used.<br>Thank you<br>Rebecca DeRuyter the smelly girl from Verona Missouri<br>23829 Lawrence 1130<br>Verona MO<br>65769<br>417-236-3999 |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8267   |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator                     | Concern Type   | City/County                  | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---|--|------------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56485                    | 2/14/2024     |   | Joplin Odors  | Odor   | /Newton                      |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Concerned party states that odors within the vicinity of their business have been bad the last couple of days and that guests have been putting in several complaints. Concerned party also mentioned that one guest completed a survey mentioning the odor as an issue following their visit, specifically mentioning the nearby stream. The survey mentioned a sewer like odor.   |   |  |                              |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8269  |   |  |                              |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator                     | Concern Type   | City/County                  | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56486                    | 2/15/2024     |   | John Shaw   | Open Burning<br>Scrap Tire<br>Industrial<br>Stormwater     | Alba<br>/Jasper              |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | A resident in Alba is regularly burning waste oils, chemicals, and tires. They operate a scrap yard on the property as well as storing approximately 300 tires. In addition to the residence, there is an occupied RV trailer that is not connected to any type of sewer collection system. Wastewater is dumped on the ground. The city has attempted to address the issues without success.   |   |  |                              |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | SharePoint #8278  |   |  |                              |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator                     | Concern Type   | City/County                  | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56499                    | 2/13/2024     | 2/15/2024   | William Bucey                                       | Open Dumps:<br>Construction and Demolition<br>Open Burning | Homestead<br>Village<br>/Ray | KCRO   | DS                 | Report       |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Caller says William Bucey owns a construction company and has been dumping debris from his demolitions and tearouts on his property, burning it, and burying it. Caller is concerned there is asbestos and other chemicals in the debris. The area he has been dumping in is right along a creek line. It is two adjacent properties on the corner of Cherokee and Arapahoe. Bucey is living on 716 Cherokee property and using the other one. There are two trailers running parallel with the creek, and he is dumping behind there. Caller said there are three piles currently on the property ready to burn. He did not know the name of the construction company but believes the demolitions are taking place in Kansas City and/or Independence. Caller is on the Board of Regents for Homestead. |   |  |                              |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | A Letter of Warning is being issue for open burning and dumping construction and demolition waste that hauled to the property.  |   |  |                              |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator                     | Concern Type   | City/County                  | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56501                    | 2/13/2024     |   | Ford-Assembly Plant, Stephanie Luebbering, Engineer | Particulates<br>Industrial                                 | Claycomo<br>/Clay            | KCRO   | DS                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | When the Ford plant cleans their stacks on the paint department it sprays out a grey substance that blankets the parking lot and vehicles. It's deposited on my vehicle twice now (2/8/24 & mid December 2023) and it won't wash off. Ford pays for a detail shop to remove it from your vehicle so they know it's a problem and it's happening. I'm wondering if the particles that deposit on the ground and are washed into Shoal Creek nearby are harmful to wildlife or land owners nearby Shoal Creek.  |   |  |                              |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               |   |   |  |                              |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator        | Concern Type         | City/County        | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action          |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|--|----------------------|--------------------|--------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 56505                    | 2/15/2024     |   | Leeton Wastewater Treatment Plant      | Odor<br>Minor        | Leeton<br>/Johnson |        |                    |                       |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | <p>Caller reports a very strong nasty smell of sewer/waste throughout town. She believes it is from the Leeton Wastewater Treatment Plant. The city has recently been redoing the plant and the odor has been bad ever since. They recently drained 3 ponds and are "letting out gas" from the system. She believes the odor is coming both outdoors on the wind and through their pipes. She has complained to the plant (Mike), who has said he's received many complaints but there is nothing he can do and it could take a year to go away. The caller said Mike said it was releasing gases since they drained the pond. You can generally smell the odor driving down 2 Highway. Caller lives 1 to 1.5 miles from plant and can smell it at her house. Very strong at night and milder during the day, although you can still smell it strongly near the plant during the day.</p> |  |                      |                    |        |                    |                       |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               |   |  |                      |                    |        |                    |                       |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator        | Concern Type         | City/County        | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action          |
| 56506                    | 2/15/2024     |   | Raymore Recreation Park                | Open Burning         | Raymore<br>/Cass   |        |                    |                       |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | <p>Via email to the Air Pollution Control Program sent on Thursday, February 15, 2024, at 3:14 a.m.</p> <p>My local city, Raymore, has been burning piles of what seems to be garbage or other miscellaneous items for over a week behind the city recreation park. It smells like burnt rubber and I haven't had significant allergy issues for over 10 years until this started happening. How do I go about getting this reviewed?</p>   |  |                      |                    |        |                    |                       |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               |   |  |                      |                    |        |                    |                       |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator        | Concern Type         | City/County        | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action          |
| 56508                    | 2/15/2024     | 2/15/2024   | Industrial Salvage & Wrecking Co, Inc. | Asbestos<br>Fugitive | Liberty<br>/Clay   | KCRO   | JS                 | Phone<br>Conversation |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | <p>Concerned party (CP) called about the demolition of two commercial structures located at 7 and 9 N Water Street, Liberty.</p> <p>CP said that no one entered the building prior to the start of the demolition and the asbestos was never removed. Also, there is dust leaving the property and is seen at least a few blocks away. CP said that one business next to the site closed down because of the dust. Project is the in historic area of town. CP is concerned since there will be a festival on Saturday in that area.</p>  |  |                      |                    |        |                    |                       |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               |   |  |                      |                    |        |                    |                       |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56526                    | 2/13/2024     |   | RMC - Sikeston                  | Other        | Sikeston /Scott | SERO   | HC                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Received from EPA: The caller states that a concrete mixing plant is moving in right next door to them. They have concerns about the air quality, health concerns, and noise concerns associated with this business. They also want to know if the company has gone through this process legally to build this plant in this location. They stated that the business name on the trucks at the property says RMC Concrete   |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>I spoke with Dorthy Turner at 10:45 a.m. on February 15, 2024. We discussed the concerns Dorthy has about the site. We spoke of the dust that will be produced by this operation and the other impacts on the surrounding area. We also discussed the requirements placed on the facility to control dust and the record keeping associated with the air construction permit.</p> <p>At 11:00 a.m. I arrived at the location and met with Gaylon Light the Operation Manager for RMC, LLC . I provided Gaylon with a business card and explained the meaning of the visit. I provided Gaylon with a copy of the construction permit (012024-009) we spoke of the requirements and attachment A included in the permit. Gaylon stated the permit is similar to other permits within the company. This site is going to consist of a reconstructed concrete plant being removed from another site. Gaylon did note there were some updates to the equipment which will help with dust control. The facility is in the process of pouring concrete for the main production area, there is no production at this site currently. Gaylon stated the facility is planning to be operating as soon as possible. I thanked Gaylon and left the property.</p> <p>No violations of state and federal air pollution regulations at time of the investigation.</p> |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action       |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 56529                    | 2/2/2024      | 2/6/2024  | Chris Beaman                    | Open Burning |             | SERO   | DB                 | Phone Conversation |
|                          |               |   |                                 |              | /Crawford   |        |                    |                    |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | CP states that individual is burning toxic material on site and that they do not have a working septic system and he is concerned for his private well.   |                                 |              |             |        |                    |                    |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>I arrived at the concern address at approximately 2:45 PM on February 6, 2024. I spoke with Chris Beaman. I informed Chris that we had received an environmental concern regarding the open burning of toxic materials. I asked Chris if he was burning, or had been burning, any sort of toxic materials. Chris told me that he was burning some old car parts to extract the copper from them. I informed Chris of the open burning regulations and let him know that he is technically burning in tandem with a salvage operation. I let Chris know that an alternative to this would be to physically or manually strip or break down material in order to extract any copper from it and that open burning is not a compliant way to do this.</p> <p>I spoke with Chris about the various things that cannot be open burned and the few things that can. I also left Chris with a copy of Missouri Air Conservation Regulation 10 Code of State Regulations 10-6.045 Open Burning Requirements and PUB2047 - Facts on Open Burning Under Missouri Regulations. I encouraged him to read through the documents I left him and also reiterated the fact that he can contact me with any questions he may have. Chris told me that he would definitely look through the documents.</p> <p>When I arrived at the concern address, there was a burn barrel burning some car parts. After speaking with Chris for a short while, he took the car parts out of the fire and told me he wouldn't burn the car parts any more.</p> <p>I could not find any previous reports finding Chris Beaman in violation of any open burning regulations.</p> <p>Chris Beaman had been burning car parts in order to extract copper from them. He was actively burning some car parts in a burn barrel upon my arrival, but removed them from the fire while I was present. I told Chris that he can't extract copper through the open burning of objects and left him with a copy of the open burning regulation (i.e. Missouri Air Conservation Regulation 10 Code of State Regulations 10-6.045 Open Burning Requirements) and a fact sheet on open burning. I also left my business card and encouraged him to contact me with any questions regarding open burning. A record review showed no prior events of Mr. Beaman being found in violation of any open burning regulations.</p> |                                 |              |             |        |                    |                    |



| Concern No.   | Date Received | Investigation Date | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|---|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56531   | 2/9/2024      | 2/14/2024          | TG Missouri Corporation         | Other        | Perryville /Perry | SERO   | TR                 | Site Visit   |
| <p><b>Concern Info:</b> The Concerned Party wanted to report a nuisance odor. He lives 1.5 miles away and the smell is strong enough to send him back inside and he cannot stay outside. He has driven driven 3.5 to 4 miles away and he can still smell this odor there. He has a buddy who can smell the odor at a house located 8.5 miles away. He has understood that there are approximately 180 chemicals in use on site at TG Missouri. They do chrome plating and that is nasty stuff. Much of the chrome plating that used to be done in the USA has been shipped to Mexico. Information he has read suggests that there is an increased cancer rate. He has heard that DNR has been turned around and not allowed to go in at times when they arrive at TG Missouri Corporation. He has also heard that there is bribery taking place. He is upset that he cannot burn leaves in his garden because of Perryville's ordinance but TG Missouri can do this. There was a 5 to 6 month period when the odor went away because Perryville got involved but the odor is back now. This odor can stay in your vehicle over night. He has heard that they have dumped some of their waste into a sinkhole. He has also contacted US EPA.</p> <p>CONCERNED PARTY</p> <p><b>Concern Comments:</b> On February 14, 2024, I travelled to Perryville, Missouri. The weather was sunny with a temperature of 59 degrees Fahrenheit. The wind was from the south, southwest at 12 miles per hour. The humidity relative to the temperature was 49 percent. I arrived at TG Missouri Corporation (at 3:45 p.m.) and I drove along Highway 51 to Highway AC. I pulled off and I parked at the first pull off (UTM coordinates 0776148 and 4183183) along Highway AC (3:47 p.m.). I used the Nasal Ranger on the blank setting (3:55 p.m.) and then I used the Nasal Ranger on the 2:1 dilution ration setting (3:57 p.m.) and no odor was detected. I detected passenger truck's diesel exhaust (3:59 p.m.) as the vehicle drove by on Highway AC. I then travelled to and parked at the second pull off (4:00 p.m. to 4:01 p.m.) along Highway AC (UTM coordinates 0775938 4183248). I used the Nasal Ranger on the blank setting (4:02 p.m.). I then used the Nasal Ranger at the 2:1 dilution ratio setting (4:03 p.m.) with no odor detected. I used the Nasal Ranger on the blank setting again (4:06 p.m.). I tried the Nasal Ranger again on the 2:1 dilution ratio setting to evaluate the odors (4:07 p.m.) with no odor detected. I used the Nasal Ranger again at the 2:1 dilution ration setting (4:08 p.m. to 4:09 p.m.) with no odor detected. I drove up Highway AC (4:10 p.m.) to Missouri's Nation Veterans Memorial and James M. Eddelman Welcome Center (4:11 p.m.). I observed odors at this location (from 4:11 p.m. to 4:22 p.m.). I checked the odors with the Nasal Ranger (4:21 p.m.) at the 2:1 dilution ration and no odor was detected. I travelled back to the first pull off (4:24 p.m.) along Highway AC (UTM coordinates 0776148 and 4183183). I did not detect odors while parked at the first pull off (4:24 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.). I then travelled to the third pull off (4:31 p.m.) along Highway AC (UTM coordinates 0775677 and 4183271). I began using the Nasal Ranger to evaluate odors on the blank setting (4:31 p.m.) Next, I tried the Nasal Ranger at the 2:1 dilution ration (4:32 p.m.) with no odors detected. I noted faint odors (4:33 to 4:34 p.m.) at this location. I tried the Nasal Ranger at the 2:1 dilution ratio (4:34 p.m.) with no odor detected. I used the Nasal Ranger at the 2:1 dilution ratio setting (4:35 to 4:36 p.m.) with no odor detected. I switched to using the Nasal Ranger at the blank setting (4:36 p.m.) and then tried the Nasal Ranger at the 2:1 dilution ratio setting (4:37 p.m.) with no odor detected. I tried the Nasal Ranger at the 2:1 dilution ratio setting (4:38 p.m.) with no odor detected. I used the Nasal Ranger at the blank setting (4:39 p.m.). I then used the Nasal Ranger at the 2:1 dilution ratio setting again (4:40 p.m.) with no odor detected. I drove up Highway AC (4:41 p.m.) to Highway V (4:43 p.m.) to Perry County Road 900 to Highway 61 (4:45 p.m.) to the intersection of Highway 61 with Highway 51 (4:47 p.m.) Finally, I left the area (4:48 p.m.).</p> <p>On February 16, 2024, I spoke with Adam Casillas, Senior Environmental Health and Safety Specialist with TG Missouri Corporation, and he stated that there had not been mechanical issues or process changes that would have contributed to odors during the time suggested by this environmental concern.</p> <p>Conclusions<br/> Click here to open command menu [Ctrl + Down arrow].<br/> No violation of Missouri Air Conservation Regulation 10 Code of State Regulations 10-6.165 Restriction of Emission of Odors was observed.</p> |               |                    |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56535                    | 2/6/2024      | 2/8/2024  | TG Missouri                     | Odor         | Perryville /Perry | SERO   | TR                 | Memo         |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Received by email: There is a smell of paint fumes that is being carried from the TG Missouri Plant!  |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>On February 8, 2024, I travelled to Perryville. I arrived in the area at 10:20 a.m. The weather was cloudy and the temperature was from 47 to 49 degrees Fahrenheit. The wind direction was variable from the south (S) to the south southwest (SSW) from 20 to 22 miles per hour. The wind gusts were reported at up to 35 miles per hour. The humidity relative to the temperature was 72 percent. I travelled along Highway 51 through the Perryville Industrial Park to Highway AC (10:35 a.m.) to the first pull off (UTM coordinates 0776148 and 4183183) at 10:37 a.m. I checked for odors using the Nasal Ranger with blank setting (at 10:39 a.m.) then I used the 2:1 dilution ratio (at 10:40 a.m.) with no odor detected. Remaining at this same location I noted that the odor was faint and intermittent (10:42 to 10:45). I then noted an odor from TG Missouri Corporation along with the odor from Gilster Mary Lee. I evaluated the odor using the Nasal Ranger at the 2:1 dilution ratio setting and no odor was detected (10:47 a.m.). I next noted an odor that seemed to be mostly from Gilster Mary Lee (10:48 a.m.). I then travelled to the next pull off along Highway AC (UTM coordinates 0775938 and 4183248) and I used the Nasal Ranger again at the 2:1 dilution ratio setting (10:50 a.m.) with no odor detected. I used the Nasal Ranger again at the 2:1 dilution ratio setting (10:52 a.m.) with no odor detected. At 10:53 a.m. I noted that the odor was now mostly from Gilster Mary Lee. Next, the odors were faint and intermittent (10:54 a.m. to 10:55 a.m.). I checked the odor again using the Nasal Ranger at the 2:1 dilution ratio (10:56 a.m.) and no odor was detected. I checked the odor again using the Nasal Ranger at the 2:1 dilution ratio (10:57 a.m.) and no odor was detected. I moved on to the third pull off along Highway AC (UTM coordinates 0775677 and 4183271) and parked (10:58 a.m.) I did not detect odors at this third pull off (from 10:58 to 11:04 a.m.). I then went to the Missouri's National Veterans Memorial (11:05 a.m.). While I was at Missouri's National Veterans Memorial, I did not observe any odors (11:05 to 11:07 a.m.). I then travelled back to the first pull off along Highway AC and I parked (from 11:08 a.m. to 11:10 a.m.). While I was at the first pull off, I noted that the odor, which were faint, seemed to be from Gilster Mary Lee rather than from TG Missouri Corporation (11:13 a.m.). I then checked for odors using the Nasal Ranger on the blank setting (11:14 a.m.) and then the 2:1 dilution ratio (11:15 a.m.) with no odor detected. I then turned the setting back to the blank and used the Nasal Ranger again (11:16 a.m.). I next checked the odor with the Nasal Ranger at 2:1 dilution ratio (11:17 a.m.) with no odor detected. I then began using the Nasal Ranger on the blank setting again (11:18 a.m.). Then I tried the Nasal Ranger at the 4:1 dilution ratio (11:19 a.m.) with no odor detected. I reset the Nasal Ranger once again at the blank setting (11:20 a.m.) and switched to the 2:1 dilution ratio setting with no odor detected. I travelled back to the second pull off along Highway AC (11:21 a.m.). I continued using the Nasal Ranger at the 2:1 dilution ratio (11:22 to 11:23 a.m.) with no odor detected. I then observed only faint odors (11:24 a.m. to 11:27 a.m.) at this location. I travelled up Highway AC to Missouri's National Veterans Memorial (11:29 to 11:33 a.m.). I then drove along Highway AC to Highway V (11:35 a.m.) to Perry County Road 900 to Highway 61 (11:36 a.m.) to Walters Lane (11:37 a.m.) to Corporation Lane (11:40 a.m.). I did not note odors at these locations.</p> |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56537                    | 1/30/2024     | 1/31/2024   | TG Missouri                     | Other        | Perryville /Perry | SERO   | TR                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Received by email: There is an odor coming from our TG plant that they been have told about and it's been reported to our city but it is still extremely strong throughout the town.  |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>On January 31, 2024, I arrived in the Perryville Industrial Park area at 12:34 p.m. The weather was sunny and the temperature was 50 degrees Fahrenheit. The wind was from the southwest at one (4) mile per hour with gusts to five (5) miles per hour. The humidity relative to the temperature was 56 percent. I drove along Highway 51 to Highway AC and then pulled off along the road at a spot (UTM Coordinates 0776144 4183246) near TG Missouri Corporation (12:38 p.m.). From 12:38 to 12:58 p.m. I remained at this location and I noted no odors or only very faint intermittent odors. At 1:00 p.m. I used the nasal ranger to help evaluate the odors. I first used the blank setting and then tested using the 2:1 dilution ratio. No odor was detected at the 2:1 dilution ratio. From 1:02 to 1:12 I noted no odors or only very faint odors. At 1:15 p.m. I moved toward the parking lot at the Missouri National Veterans' Memorial. I utilized the Nasal Ranger to test the odors again with the blank setting at 1:16 p.m. and then I tested at the 2:1 dilution ratio at 1:18 p.m. No odor was detected. At 1:19 p.m. to 1:21 p.m. odors were faint. I noted at 1:20 p.m. that the reported wind direction was different from what was observed watching a flag fly in the breeze at the Missouri National Veterans' Memorial. At 1:22 p.m. I used the Nasal Ranger again at the 2:1 dilution ratio. No odor was detected. From 1:26 p.m. to 1:36 p.m. I drove up and down Highway AC to check for odors. I went to the earlier location and returned to the Missouri National Veterans' Memorial parking lot. From 1:37 p.m. to 1:44 p.m. the wind died down for a while and all odors were faint. I returned to the earlier pull off spot along Highway AC (UTM Coordinates 0776144 4183246) at 1:48 p.m. I used the Nasal Ranger again to evaluate the odors using the blank setting at 1:52 p.m. I then tried with the 2:1 ratio at 1:53 p.m. and no odor was detected. From 1:54 to 2:04 p.m. I continued to monitor odors and all odors were faint with the exception of a strong diesel exhaust smell from a passenger truck driving along Highway AC (2:02 P.M.). I returned to the Missouri National Veterans' Memorial parking lot at 2:06 p.m. At 2:07 p.m. I used the Nasal Ranger at the 2:1 odor ratio setting again to evaluate the odors.</p>  |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56538                    | 2/6/2024      | 2/8/2024  | TG Missouri                     | Odor         | Perryville /Perry | SERO   | TR                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Received by email: There is a smell of paint fumes that is carried all over town through the air that I am pretty sure originates from TG.  |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | On February 8, 2024, I travelled to Perryville. I arrived in the area at 10:20 a.m. The weather was cloudy and the temperature was from 47 to 49 degrees Fahrenheit. The wind direction was variable from the south (S) to the south southwest (SSW) from 20 to 22 miles per hour. The wind gusts were reported at up to 35 miles per hour. The humidity relative to the temperature was 72 percent. I travelled along Highway 51 through the Perryville Industrial Park to Highway AC (10:35 a.m.) to the first pull off (UTM coordinates 0776148 and 4183183) at 10:37 a.m. I checked for odors using the Nasal Ranger with blank setting (at 10:39 a.m.) then I used the 2:1 dilution ratio (at 10:40 a.m.) with no odor detected. Remaining at this same location I noted that the odor was faint and intermittent (10:42 to 10:45). I then noted an odor from TG Missouri Corporation along with the odor from Gilster Mary Lee. I evaluated the odor using the Nasal Ranger at the 2:1 dilution ratio setting and no odor was detected (10:47 a.m.). I next noted an odor that seemed to be mostly from Gilster Mary Lee (10:48 a.m.). I then travelled to the next pull off along Highway AC (UTM coordinates 0775938 and 4183248) and I used the Nasal Ranger again at the 2:1 dilution ratio setting (10:50 a.m.) with no odor detected. I used the Nasal Ranger again at the 2:1 dilution ratio setting (10:52 a.m.) with no odor detected. At 10:53 a.m. I noted that the odor was now mostly from Gilster Mary Lee. Next, the odors were faint and intermittent (10:54 a.m. to 10:55 a.m.). I checked the odor again using the Nasal Ranger at the 2:1 dilution ratio (10:56 a.m.) and no odor was detected. I checked the odor again using the Nasal Ranger at the 2:1 dilution ratio (10:57 a.m.) and no odor was detected. I moved on to the third pull off along Highway AC (UTM coordinates 0775677 and 4183271) and parked (10:58 a.m.) I did not detect odors at this third pull off (from 10:58 to 11:04 a.m.). I then went to the Missouri's National Veterans Memorial (11:05 a.m.). While I was at Missouri's National Veterans Memorial, I did not observe any odors (11:05 to 11:07 a.m.). |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56539                    | 1/29/2024     | 2/1/2024  | TG Missouri                     | Other        | Perryville /Perry | SERO   | TR                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | The complainant reported strong odors associated with TG Missouri operations over the weekend (January 27-28), consistent odors over the last several weeks at her residence and throughout the town. Referenced that the smell increases with certain weather conditions. The CP referenced that believes associated with paint operations.  |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | At approximately 10:13 a.m. on February 1, 2024, odor surveillance began in Perryville. The weather was partly cloudy and the temperature was 50 degrees Fahrenheit. The wind was variable from the south southwest (SSW) to from the south (S) with windspeed from 5-10 miles per hour. The humidity relative to the temperature was 71%. Josh Wilkerson and I drove along Highway 51 to Highway AC and pulled off at the first pull off location (UTM coordinates 0776144 and 4183246). At 10:21 a.m. I checked for odors with the Nasal Ranger on the blank setting. On 10:24 a.m., I tested for odors using the 2:1 dilution ratio setting, and no odor was detected. We then drove along Highway AC and checked for odors using the Nasal Ranger at 2:1 dilution ratio with the result being no odor detected (times were 10:28 a.m. and 10:31 a.m.). We next relocated to the Missouri National Veterans Memorial parking lot. While stationary in the Veterans Memorial parking lot, I used the Nasal Ranger to check for odors. First, I used the blank setting (10:33 a.m.) and then 2:1 dilution ratio (10:34 a.m.) with no odor detected. We next drove by the Concerned Party's property (along Highway V) to check for odors near that location. We then returned to the initial location along Highway AC (UTM coordinates 0776144 and 4183246) to check for odors again. I first used the Nasal Ranger on the blank setting (10:50 a.m.) and then I used the Nasal Ranger on the 2:1 dilution ratio setting, and no odor was detected. We drove back down Highway AC and took Highway 51 to Perry County Road 902. Along the way I smelled odors from Gilster Mary Lee. I tried the Nasal Ranger on the 2:1 dilution ratio setting while we were on County Road 902, no odor was detected. We then drove back along Highway 51 to Highway AC (11:01 a.m.) and stopped at the second pull off along Highway AC (UTM coordinates 0775938 and 4183248). I checked for odors at this position using the Nasal Ranger at 2:1 dilution ratio, no odor was detected. We then travelled to meet with the Concerned Party (11:10 a.m.) and ended the odor surveillance.                                       |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.   | Date Received | Investigation Date | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|---|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56540   | 1/29/2024     | 1/11/2024          | TG Missouri                     | Other        | Perryville /Perry | SERO   | TR                 | Site Visit   |
| <p><b>Concern Info:</b> eceived by email: TG has a terrible chemical smell coming from. You can smell it all over town and it instantly gives you a headache. Recently, you now can smell it in Biehle. This issue has happened in the past and they finally corrected it. It is not fair to citizens of this town to not be able to go outside due to this terrible smell not to mention the health concerns. TG should be responsible for this smell and taking care of it. They know how because they have done it before.</p> <p><b>Concern Comments:</b> On January 31, 2024, I arrived in the Perryville Industrial Park area at 12:34 p.m. The weather was sunny and the temperature was 50 degrees Fahrenheit. The wind was from the southwest at one (4) mile per hour with gusts to five (5) miles per hour. The humidity relative to the temperature was 56 percent. I drove along Highway 51 to Highway AC and then pulled off along the road at a spot (UTM Coordinates 0776144 4183246) near TG Missouri Corporation (12:38 p.m.). From 12:38 to 12:58 p.m. I remained at this location and I noted no odors or only very faint intermittent odors. At 1:00 p.m. I used the nasal ranger to help evaluate the odors. I first used the blank setting and then tested using the 2:1 dilution ratio. No odor was detected at the 2:1 dilution ratio. From 1:02 to 1:12 I noted no odors or only very faint odors. At 1:15 p.m. I moved toward the parking lot at the Missouri National Veterans' Memorial. I utilized the Nasal Ranger to test the odors again with the blank setting at 1:16 p.m. and then I tested at the 2:1 dilution ratio at 1:18 p.m. No odor was detected. At 1:19 p.m. to 1:21 p.m. odors were faint. I noted at 1:20 p.m. that the reported wind direction was different from what was observed watching a flag fly in the breeze at the Missouri National Veterans' Memorial. At 1:22 p.m. I used the Nasal Ranger again at the 2:1 dilution ratio. No odor was detected. From 1:26 p.m. to 1:36 p.m. I drove up and down Highway AC to check for odors. I went to the earlier location and returned to the Missouri National Veterans' Memorial parking lot. From 1:37 p.m. to 1:44 p.m. the wind died down for a while and all odors were faint. I returned to the earlier pull off spot along Highway AC (UTM Coordinates 0776144 4183246) at 1:48 p.m. I used the Nasal Ranger again to evaluate the odors using the blank setting at 1:52 p.m. I then tried with the 2:1 ratio at 1:53 p.m. and no odor was detected. From 1:54 to 2:04 p.m. I continued to monitor odors and all odors were faint with the exception of a strong diesel exhaust smell from a passenger truck driving along Highway AC (2:02 P.M.). I returned to the Missouri National Veterans' Memorial parking lot at 2:06 p.m. At 2:07 p.m. I used the Nasal Ranger at the 2:1 odor ratio setting again to evaluate the odors.</p> |               |                    |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.   | Date Received | Investigation Date | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|---|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56541   | 1/29/2024     | 1/11/2024          | TG Missouri Corporation         | Other        | Perryville /Perry | SERO   | TR                 | Site Visit   |
| <p><b>Concern Info:</b> Received by email: I would like to make a report about the very strong and toxic/odor smell that has a lot of people in our community concerned that we believe is coming from a local manufacturing company (TG Missouri) here in Perryville, MO. It's has been a couple months now that it has been very strong and makes it difficult to breathe at times. It is a strong chemical smell which is believed to be coming from the Chrome Plant at TG. This has been going on longer than a couple months but has been extremely strong the past couple months in which many community members are having the same complaints but are unsure of where to turn to and the proper procedures to have this issue addressed, investigated and resolved. I am concerned that codes and regulations are not being met and what is being released into our town is a hazard to the health of our community members. I, myself have walked outside of my home and work and it takes my breath away. Even simply walking the local park trails can be difficult on many days due to the chemical smell. I live within 2 miles, work within 5 miles and have visited family within 10 miles of the plant and the strong chemical odor was still present at multiple times and days depending on which was the wind is blowing. Our community members would like to find a solution to this problem and ensure that we have good air quality. Any updates would be greatly appreciated to this issue. Thank you in advance. Have a blessed day.</p> <p><b>Concern Comments:</b> On January 31, 2024, I arrived in the Perryville Industrial Park area at 12:34 p.m. The weather was sunny and the temperature was 50 degrees Fahrenheit. The wind was from the southwest at one (4) mile per hour with gusts to five (5) miles per hour. The humidity relative to the temperature was 56 percent. I drove along Highway 51 to Highway AC and then pulled off along the road at a spot (UTM Coordinates 0776144 4183246) near TG Missouri Corporation (12:38 p.m.). From 12:38 to 12:58 p.m. I remained at this location and I noted no odors or only very faint intermittent odors. At 1:00 p.m. I used the nasal ranger to help evaluate the odors. I first used the blank setting and then tested using the 2:1 dilution ratio. No odor was detected at the 2:1 dilution ratio. From 1:02 to 1:12 I noted no odors or only very faint odors. At 1:15 p.m. I moved toward the parking lot at the Missouri National Veterans' Memorial. I utilized the Nasal Ranger to test the odors again with the blank setting at 1:16 p.m. and then I tested at the 2:1 dilution ratio at 1:18 p.m. No odor was detected. At 1:19 p.m. to 1:21 p.m. odors were faint. I noted at 1:20 p.m. that the reported wind direction was different from what was observed watching a flag fly in the breeze at the Missouri National Veterans' Memorial. At 1:22 p.m. I used the Nasal Ranger again at the 2:1 dilution ratio. No odor was detected. From 1:26 p.m. to 1:36 p.m. I drove up and down Highway AC to check for odors. I went to the earlier location and returned to the Missouri National Veterans' Memorial parking lot. From 1:37 p.m. to 1:44 p.m. the wind died down for a while and all odors were faint. I returned to the earlier pull off spot along Highway AC (UTM Coordinates 0776144 4183246) at 1:48 p.m. I used the Nasal Ranger again to evaluate the odors using the blank setting at 1:52 p.m. I then tried with the 2:1 ratio at 1:53 p.m. and no odor was detected. From 1:54 to 2:04 p.m. I continued to monitor odors and all odors were faint with the exception of a strong diesel exhaust smell from a passenger truck driving along Highway AC (2:02 P.M.).</p> |               |                    |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |



| Concern No.  | Date Received | Investigation Date | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56542  | 1/29/2024     | 1/31/2024          | TG Missouri                     | Other        | Perryville /Perry | SERO   | TR                 | Site Visit   |
| <p><b>Concern Info:</b> Received by email: This concern has been going on for years. There is a pungent smell coming from TG Missouri that can be smelt throughout Perryville and Perry County. Yesterday it was so very strong it could be smelled inside my house. I am concerned about the environmental hazards that this is causing. This started about 4 years ago when TG Missouri added a chrome building department.</p> <p><b>Concern Comments:</b> n January 31, 2024, I arrived in the Perryville Industrial Park area at 12:34 p.m. The weather was sunny and the temperature was 50 degrees Fahrenheit. The wind was from the southwest at one (4) mile per hour with gusts to five (5) miles per hour. The humidity relative to the temperature was 56 percent. I drove along Highway 51 to Highway AC and then pulled off along the road at a spot (UTM Coordinates 0776144 4183246) near TG Missouri Corporation (12:38 p.m.). From 12:38 to 12:58 p.m. I remained at this location and I noted no odors or only very faint intermittent odors. At 1:00 p.m. I used the nasal ranger to help evaluate the odors. I first used the blank setting and then tested using the 2:1 dilution ratio. No odor was detected at the 2:1 dilution ratio. From 1:02 to 1:12 I noted no odors or only very faint odors. At 1:15 p.m. I moved toward the parking lot at the Missouri National Veterans' Memorial. I utilized the Nasal Ranger to test the odors again with the blank setting at 1:16 p.m. and then I tested at the 2:1 dilution ratio at 1:18 p.m. No odor was detected. At 1:19 p.m. to 1:21 p.m. odors were faint. I noted at 1:20 p.m. that the reported wind direction was different from what was observed watching a flag fly in the breeze at the Missouri National Veterans' Memorial. At 1:22 p.m. I used the Nasal Ranger again at the 2:1 dilution ratio. No odor was detected. From 1:26 p.m. to 1:36 p.m. I drove up and down Highway AC to check for odors. I went to the earlier location and returned to the Missouri National Veterans' Memorial parking lot. From 1:37 p.m. to 1:44 p.m. the wind died down for a while and all odors were faint. I returned to the earlier pull off spot along Highway AC (UTM Coordinates 0776144 4183246) at 1:48 p.m. I used the Nasal Ranger again to evaluate the odors using the blank setting at 1:52 p.m. I then tried with the 2:1 ratio at 1:53 p.m. and no odor was detected. From 1:54 to 2:04 p.m. I continued to monitor odors and all odors were faint with the exception of a strong diesel exhaust smell from a passenger truck driving along Highway AC (2:02 P.M.). I returned to the Missouri National Veterans' Memorial parking lot at 2:06 p.m. At 2:07 p.m. I used the Nasal Ranger at the 2:1 odor ratio setting again to evaluate the odors. No odor was detected. I then travelled along Highway AC to Route V to PCR 900 to Highway 61 (2:09 p.m.) checking for odors along that course. I then drove down Highway 61 to Walters Lane to Corporation Drive (2:12 p.m.). I retraced my course and arrived back at 61 Highway on Walters Lane at 2:16 p.m. At 2:17 p.m., I</p> |               |                    |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.   | Date Received | Investigation Date | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County       | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|---|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56543   | 1/29/2024     | 1/31/2024          | TG Missouri                     | Odor         | Perryville /Perry | SERO   | TR                 |              |
| <p><b>Concern Info:</b> Received by email: This concern has been going on for years. There is a pungent smell coming from TG Missouri that can be smelt throughout Perryville and Perry County. Yesterday it was so very strong it could be smelled inside my house. I am concerned about the environmental hazards that this is causing. This started about 4 years ago when TG Missouri added a chrome building department.</p> <p><b>Concern Comments:</b> On January 31, 2024, I arrived in the Perryville Industrial Park area at 12:34 p.m. The weather was sunny and the temperature was 50 degrees Fahrenheit. The wind was from the southwest at one (4) mile per hour with gusts to five (5) miles per hour. The humidity relative to the temperature was 56 percent. I drove along Highway 51 to Highway AC and then pulled off along the road at a spot (UTM Coordinates 0776144 4183246) near TG Missouri Corporation (12:38 p.m.). From 12:38 to 12:58 p.m. I remained at this location and I noted no odors or only very faint intermittent odors. At 1:00 p.m. I used the nasal ranger to help evaluate the odors. I first used the blank setting and then tested using the 2:1 dilution ratio. No odor was detected at the 2:1 dilution ratio. From 1:02 to 1:12 I noted no odors or only very faint odors. At 1:15 p.m. I moved toward the parking lot at the Missouri National Veterans' Memorial. I utilized the Nasal Ranger to test the odors again with the blank setting at 1:16 p.m. and then I tested at the 2:1 dilution ratio at 1:18 p.m. No odor was detected. At 1:19 p.m. to 1:21 p.m. odors were faint. I noted at 1:20 p.m. that the reported wind direction was different from what was observed watching a flag fly in the breeze at the Missouri National Veterans' Memorial. At 1:22 p.m. I used the Nasal Ranger again at the 2:1 dilution ratio. No odor was detected. From 1:26 p.m. to 1:36 p.m. I drove up and down Highway AC to check for odors. I went to the earlier location and returned to the Missouri National Veterans' Memorial parking lot. From 1:37 p.m. to 1:44 p.m. the wind died down for a while and all odors were faint. I returned to the earlier pull off spot along Highway AC (UTM Coordinates 0776144 4183246) at 1:48 p.m. I used the Nasal Ranger again to evaluate the odors using the blank setting at 1:52 p.m. I then tried with the 2:1 ratio at 1:53 p.m. and no odor was detected. From 1:54 to 2:04 p.m. I continued to monitor odors and all odors were faint with the exception of a strong diesel exhaust smell from a passenger truck driving along Highway AC (2:02 P.M.).</p> |               |                    |                                 |              |                   |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County    | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56546                    | 1/25/2024     | 1/31/2024  | Organic Remedies                | Other        | Chaffee /Scott | SERO   | TR                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | CP states there is a marijuana growing operation about a mile from him and the stench is so bad they can't stand to go outside. He is wondering if we can inspect to see if they need new filters  |                                 |              |                |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | arrived in the Chaffee area at 9:46 a.m. The weather was mostly sunny and the temperature was 41 degrees Fahrenheit. The wind was from the north at three (3) miles per hour with gusts up to nine (9) miles per hour. The humidity relative to temperature was 75 percent. I travelled to the intersection of Linbaugh Court and Cummins Drive to observe odors at the facility (9:53 a.m.). While still at this location, I checked for odors using the Nasal Ranger at the 2:1 dilution ratio. No odors were detected. I travelled toward and to the parking lot of the Dollar General Store, which took me in front of the Organic Remedies facility. All odors were faint. I was in the Dollar General Store parking lot at 10:09 a.m. I checked for odors using the Nasal Ranger at 10:12 a.m. No odor was detected. I travelled on down Highway 77 to State Route EE and all odors were still negligible. I travelled back to Highway 77 and on to the intersection of Linbaugh Court and Cummins Drive arriving at 10:20 a.m. At 10:24 a.m., I checked for odors using the Nasal Ranger at 2:1 dilution ratio. No odor was detected. From 10:24 a.m. to 10:44 a.m. I only detected faint odors. At 10:45 a.m., I checked for odors using the Nasal Ranger at 2:1 dilution ratio. No odor was detected. I remained at this position from 10:46 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. and only faint odors were noted. The area is used for farmland and the odors noted were like damp soil. As I ended my observations, the weather was somewhat sunny with a temperature of 44 degrees Fahrenheit. The wind was from the north-northwest at two (2) miles per hour with gusts up to seven (7) miles per hour. The humidity relative to the temperature was 71 percent. |                                 |              |                |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County           | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56590                    | 2/8/2024      | 2/8/2024  | unknown                         | Odor         | Sweet Springs /Saline | NERO   | JH                 | Other        |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Email states, strong odors were detected for 30 minutes to 1 hour along I-70 Sweet Springs. The odor is described as acrid, manure, sewer, solvent, or chemical   |                                 |              |                       |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | The concerned party did not provide enough information to conduct an odor investigation. Since the concerned party was anonymous, I was unable to contact the concerned party for more information. No specific location was provided or proper description of the odor. The odor described in the concern could be anything. |                                 |              |                       |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action            |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 56629                    | 2/14/2024     | 2/20/2024  | Delta Peanut                    | Fugitive Particulate Matter | Kennett<br>/Dunklin | SERO   | DB                 | Communication In Person |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | CP states dust from the peanut factory is going all over his car and is terrible and the noise is constant.  |                                 |                             |                     |        |                    |                         |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>I arrived in the area of Delta Peanut at approximately 10:20 AM on February 20, 2024. I pulled into a parking lot on the east side of Floyd Street by some nearby soccer fields to observe the Delta Peanut plant and look for dust crossing property lines. I observed the plant for almost 30 minutes before finally making my way to the office. John Gamble, Buying Point Manager of the Kennett Plant, was not around at the time so I asked a woman who worked there if I could drive around the plant and look for dust emissions. She agreed to allow me to go closer to the process buildings to look around.</p> <p>Soon after I went to look around the plant, John Gamble arrived at the office so I went back to speak with him. I explained that we had received a concern on dust crossing property boundaries. John explained that there was a day the previous week where there was an exceptional amount of wind and that maybe that could have been when the concern was submitted. John told me that since my last visit to the plant, he installed some long, plastic flaps on the west side of the truck unloading pull-through of the shelling building. John said that before, the pull-through was a wind tunnel that pushed dust toward the east side property boundary. The flaps help mitigate against the wind getting through the pull-through and pushing dust to the east.</p> <p>I told John that I observed a truck leaving the shelling building with no tarp on the trailer. I mentioned that tarping the trucks could be another step to mitigate dust emissions. John feels that most dust emissions are going to come from the haul roads. I explained to John that some Best Management Practices (BMP's) such as applying water, applying a chemical surfactant, or paving gravel roads could aid in keeping dust down. John informed me that they are working with food-grade products and that peanuts do not take moisture well. He fears that applying water or even a chemical surfactant too close to the process buildings could ruin their product.</p> <p>Several months ago, Delta Peanut and their environmental consultants began working with the Air Pollution Control Program's Permits Section on applying for an air construction permit. Upon speaking with the Permits Section myself, I learned that Tabitha Bandy-Vizcaino is working on this air permit project. I spoke with Tabitha and she told me that an initial Construction Permit Application was received from Delta Peanut and it showed their potential emissions to be above de minimus levels. However, these calculations were made under the pretense that the Delta Peanut facility would operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week, and 365 days per year. John Gamble has said that the plant operates seasonally. Currently, Delta Peanut's consultants are reworking calculations to submit back to the Air Pollution Control Program's Permits Section.</p> <p>During my investigation, I did not observe any dust crossing property boundaries. I observed very minimal dust coming from the haul roads and very minimal dust coming from the shelling building truck unloading area. No violations of Missouri Air Conservation Regulation 10 Code of State Regulations 10-6.170 Restriction of Particulate Matter to the Ambient Air Beyond the Premises of Origin were observed.</p> <p>Conclusions<br/>Click here to open command menu [Ctrl + Down arrow].<br/>Actions have been taken to try and minimize dust emissions. Plastic flaps were placed on the west side of the shelling building truck unloading area. A Construction Permit Application is also in the works. An initial application was received, but recalculations are currently being made by Delta Peanut's consultants. The Air Pollution Control Program's Permits Sections</p> |                                 |                             |                     |        |                    |                         |



| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type      | City/County                    | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action              |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|--------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| 56630                    | 1/19/2024     | 1/25/2024   | Mississippi Lime Company        | Asbestos<br>Other | Ste. Genevieve /Ste. Genevieve | SERO   | DB                 | Phone Conversation<br>RTC |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | <p>The CP reported on December 16, 2023, one of Mississippi Lime Company's six stack towers, which have wet scrubbers to reduce air pollutants, collapsed, likely due to negligence. By some miracle, this happened late on a Saturday when staffing was low, and no one was hurt. Another tower was taken down shortly after due to fears it would also collapse. I'm telling you this because I would think DNR would have to be notified due to a change in air emission rates/adjustments of the remaining towers.</p> <p>CP attempted to attach a video referenced "is a very fuzzy video of the tower collapsing." (Due to cyber security concerns and accessibility, the department was not able to open.)</p>   |                                 |                   |                                |        |                    |                           |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>he Missouri Department of Natural Resources Southeast Regional Office received an environmental concern on January 19, 2024 claiming that one stack had collapsed and another was taken down at Mississippi Lime Company – Ste. Genevieve (MLC). Josh Wilkerson, Env. Program Manager, and Dawson Bennett, Env. Specialist, visited MLC on January 25, 2024 at 10:30 AM. We met with Jonathan Kennedy, CHMM, Env. &amp; Regulatory Affairs Manager, and Kimberly S.L. Bauman, PhD, Director of Environmental Affairs, to discuss the concern we had received.</p> <p>After speaking with Jonathan and Kimberly, it was found that on December 16, 2023, the top 2/3 of the Mississippi Rotary Kiln (MRK) #9 stack collapsed. Upon collapse, the feed conveyor for MRK #10 was damaged. A review of electronic records showed that MRK #9 was shut down one minute after the stack collapsed. MRK #10 was shut down two minutes after the collapse due to indications of damage to MRK #10's feed conveyor. Jonathan was able to show several records indicating a lack of any exceedances following the collapse. Likewise, the differential pressure and flow rate of the wet scrubbers remained within their limits. The wet scrubbers sustained no damage from the collapse.</p> <p>Jonathan informed us that the MRK #10 stack was soon after taken down simply as a precautionary measure following the collapse of the MRK #9 stack. MSHA investigated the occurrence after the fact and issued no citations. We were told that the last 3rd party inspection of the MRK #9 stack was back in 2016. MLC aims to replace the MRK #9 and #10 stacks by March or April with like-for-like replacements. Jonathan and Kimberly took us out to the Mississippi Rotary Plant to show where the stacks were, where the MRK #9 stack collapsed onto the MRK #10 feed conveyor, and where the two stacks were staged, disassembled. There was no asbestos inspection performed on the MRK #10 stack prior to being taken down, nor was there any demolition notification submitted to the Missouri Department of Natural Resources.</p> <p>After discussing this concern with the Air Pollution Control Program and Asbestos Unit, the demolition of the MRK #10 stack was an emergency demolition and should have been notified to the Department withing 24 hours of any stabilizing activities. Once stabilized, the affected facilities needed to be inspected for asbestos by a certified asbestos inspector. And, as with any regulated demolition activity, there should have been a demolition notification submitted prior to the MRK #10 stack being taken down. There were no issues found with the MRK #9 stack since it was a natural collapse and out of anyone's control.</p> <p>The information was relayed to Jonathan Kennedy that he would need to have an asbestos inspection conducted on the disassembled stack parts still staged onsite and that he would need to submit a demolition notification to the Asbestos Unit. On Monday, February 12, 2024, Jonathan Kennedy submitted a demolition notification and completed asbestos inspection to the Asbestos Unit. The asbestos report showed that no asbestos was found.</p> <p>Mississippi Lime Company - Ste. Genevieve will be receiving a letter of Unsatisfactory Findings No Response Required (UFNR) for the violations identified above.</p> <p>On February 26, 2024, Air/Land Section Chief Josh Wilkerson left a voicemail was left with the complainant providing the concern # and the ability to conduct a sunshine request, if necessary.</p> |                                 |                   |                                |        |                    |                           |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type      | City/County                    | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action          |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|--------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 56630                    | 1/19/2024     | 1/25/2024   | Mississippi Lime Company        | Asbestos<br>Other | Ste. Genevieve /Ste. Genevieve | SERO   | DB                 | Phone Conversation UF |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | <p>The CP reported on December 16, 2023, one of Mississippi Lime Company's six stack towers, which have wet scrubbers to reduce air pollutants, collapsed, likely due to negligence. By some miracle, this happened late on a Saturday when staffing was low, and no one was hurt. Another tower was taken down shortly after due to fears it would also collapse. I'm telling you this because I would think DNR would have to be notified due to a change in air emission rates/adjustments of the remaining towers.</p> <p>CP attempted to attach a video referenced "is a very fuzzy video of the tower collapsing." (Due to cyber security concerns and accessibility, the department was not able to open.)</p>   |                                 |                   |                                |        |                    |                       |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>he Missouri Department of Natural Resources Southeast Regional Office received an environmental concern on January 19, 2024 claiming that one stack had collapsed and another was taken down at Mississippi Lime Company – Ste. Genevieve (MLC). Josh Wilkerson, Env. Program Manager, and Dawson Bennett, Env. Specialist, visited MLC on January 25, 2024 at 10:30 AM. We met with Jonathan Kennedy, CHMM, Env. &amp; Regulatory Affairs Manager, and Kimberly S.L. Bauman, PhD, Director of Environmental Affairs, to discuss the concern we had received.</p> <p>After speaking with Jonathan and Kimberly, it was found that on December 16, 2023, the top 2/3 of the Mississippi Rotary Kiln (MRK) #9 stack collapsed. Upon collapse, the feed conveyor for MRK #10 was damaged. A review of electronic records showed that MRK #9 was shut down one minute after the stack collapsed. MRK #10 was shut down two minutes after the collapse due to indications of damage to MRK #10's feed conveyor. Jonathan was able to show several records indicating a lack of any exceedances following the collapse. Likewise, the differential pressure and flow rate of the wet scrubbers remained within their limits. The wet scrubbers sustained no damage from the collapse.</p> <p>Jonathan informed us that the MRK #10 stack was soon after taken down simply as a precautionary measure following the collapse of the MRK #9 stack. MSHA investigated the occurrence after the fact and issued no citations. We were told that the last 3rd party inspection of the MRK #9 stack was back in 2016. MLC aims to replace the MRK #9 and #10 stacks by March or April with like-for-like replacements. Jonathan and Kimberly took us out to the Mississippi Rotary Plant to show where the stacks were, where the MRK #9 stack collapsed onto the MRK #10 feed conveyor, and where the two stacks were staged, disassembled. There was no asbestos inspection performed on the MRK #10 stack prior to being taken down, nor was there any demolition notification submitted to the Missouri Department of Natural Resources.</p> <p>After discussing this concern with the Air Pollution Control Program and Asbestos Unit, the demolition of the MRK #10 stack was an emergency demolition and should have been notified to the Department withing 24 hours of any stabilizing activities. Once stabilized, the affected facilities needed to be inspected for asbestos by a certified asbestos inspector. And, as with any regulated demolition activity, there should have been a demolition notification submitted prior to the MRK #10 stack being taken down. There were no issues found with the MRK #9 stack since it was a natural collapse and out of anyone's control.</p> <p>The information was relayed to Jonathan Kennedy that he would need to have an asbestos inspection conducted on the disassembled stack parts still staged onsite and that he would need to submit a demolition notification to the Asbestos Unit. On Monday, February 12, 2024, Jonathan Kennedy submitted a demolition notification and completed asbestos inspection to the Asbestos Unit. The asbestos report showed that no asbestos was found.</p> <p>Mississippi Lime Company - Ste. Genevieve will be receiving a letter of Unsatisfactory Findings No Response Required (UFNR) for the violations identified above.</p> <p>On February 26, 2024, Air/Land Section Chief Josh Wilkerson left a voicemail was left with the complainant providing the concern # and the ability to conduct a sunshine request, if necessary.</p> |                                 |                   |                                |        |                    |                       |

| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type  | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56642                    | 2/14/2024     | 2/21/2024   | Armstrong Wood Products         | Opacity       | West Plains /Howell | SERO   | HC                 | Site Visit   |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | Possible hazardous emissions violation of Clean Air Act.  |                                 |               |                     |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>arrived at AHF Products around 9:30 a.m. on February 21, 2024. I began my investigation by performing a method 9 observation on the boiler stack of the facility. I observed from 9:40 a.m. until 10:10 a.m. There were visible emissions emitted for most of the observation time. For the thirty-minute observation time the average opacity was 6.45% with a high of 50% in the 23rd minute of observation. Based on the observation made by the inspector there were no violations noted at time of the investigation. According to facility personnel on February 14, 2024, a water valve on the boiler malfunctioned and needed to be replaced. The facility managed the boiler as best they could while the faulty boiler valve was being repaired. The facility shut the boiler down multiple times during this time span.</p> <p>No violation of Missouri Air Conservation Regulation 10 Code of State Regulations 10-6.220 Restriction of Emission of Visible Air Contaminants was noted during this investigation</p> |                                 |               |                     |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type  | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56678                    | 2/13/2024     | 2/23/2024   | MAWC Cedar Hills WWTF           | Odor<br>Minor | /Jefferson          | SLRO   | KH                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | <p>I received a second concern from another party regarding the odor from MAWC Cedar Hills WWTF in Cedar Hills, MO. This was from a different concerned party. She said there is a horrible odor all the time. She is worried that too many people are on the system. I helped her located the permit online to view the requirements. I let her know that I would put in the concern for both water and air. I explained that we can not issue violations for odor alone. There would have to be operational problems or effluent violations. She would like to be notified before someone comes out. I also gave her information on how to use the sunshine law to get information. She stated that she has contacted Missouri American and they came out to tell her nothing is wrong.</p>   |                                 |               |                     |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>02/15/2024 - Initial phone call to concerned party. Left voicemail.</p> <p>Performed a site visit at the Lagoon in question at around 11:00 am on February 23, 2024 and found no odors present.</p>  |                                 |               |                     |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.              | Date Received | Investigation Date  | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type  | City/County         | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56682                    | 2/13/2024     | 2/15/2024   | Unknown                         | Open Burning  | /Franklin           | SLRO   | MC                 |              |
| <b>Concern Info:</b>     |               | <p>CP called that a large tire fire was happening on a field, with lots of heavy equipment. The burn was happening about 2 miles down State Road EE off of US Hwy 50, near Union MO. The CP stated that the property had multiple tires they were burning. The CP stated that many of the nearby farmers, were very upset about this fire. The CP stated this burning has been going on for about a week, and happens mostly in the evenings. I instructed the CP to contact the fire department regarding the fire, so that they can extinguish the fire.</p>  |                                 |               |                     |        |                    |              |
| <b>Concern Comments:</b> |               | <p>MSC 2/15/2024: I called Union Fire Protection at 636-583-2515 and spoke to a member of the staff. I explained the concern and asked if they had responded anything that sounded familiar. There were no responses in the area. Some advice was given to someone in that area about burning cedar piles but that was the extent of potential knowledge. I gave them my contact information and told them to give a call if anything came up in that area.</p> <p>MSC 2/26/24: I went to the area and drove down EE off of HWY 50 for 5 miles with the windows down. I did not see any open burning or evidence of open burning. I turned around and drove back up EE and once again did not see any evidence of open burning.</p>   |                                 |               |                     |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.   | Date Received | Investigation Date | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|---|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56684   | 2/8/2024      | 2/8/2024           | Unknown                         | Odor         | /Jefferson  | SLRO   | HH                 |              |
| <p><b>Concern Info:</b> Recieved an odor concern from the DNR webpage. The CP is noticing a mild rotten egg odor</p> <p><b>Concern Comments:</b> 2/8/24-RLA-I researched the address and the facility is North Dispensary Pevely. They are a cannabis store. We have not had a concern at this location before.</p> <p>On 2/14/2024 I drove to the above location, It is Cannabis dispensary facility and next to Super 8 Motel, I did not smell any odor in or around the facility.</p>  |               |                    |                                 |              |             |        |                    |              |
| Concern No.   | Date Received | Investigation Date | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
| 56686   | 2/13/2024     | 2/13/2024          |                                 | Open Burning | /Jefferson  | SLRO   | SL                 |              |
| <p><b>Concern Info:</b> Hematite Fire Department Chief contacted the office to report an open burning concern they recieved at 3416 Meyer Rd, Festus. County assessor lists Jacklyn Weimer and Joseph Benne as owners.</p> <p>The department stated that the CP stated that the alleged party operates a business that allegedly cleans out attics. The AP has a large plywood hopper in the bed of the AP's truck, and fills the hopper up with trash, construction materials, and durable goods from job sites, then brings the waste to the AP's residence. The AP dumps the waste on a burn pile and burns the waste. The AP mostly burns at night. The cheif stated that the burn pile is visible in the front yard and from the road.</p> <p>The chief stated that the fire department has not been called to respond to the fires in the recent days, but that it appears that waste has been added to the pile and may be burned in the near future. The chief stated that the fire department is going to stop by the residence today to see if any burning is conducted, and that the fire department would send over any history of fire reports for the property.</p> <p><b>Concern Comments:</b> 2/13/24 I spoke with Robert about the burning, I told him if the fire department does go out on the concern, what kind of pictures and fire reports would be the most useful in catching a violation. A few hours later he sent me a video of a fire department car driving past the property, but the video did not clearly show any burning. I called the fire department back and explained what is needed for a clear photo or video. I explained that I would be visiting the property tomorrow and would try and speak with the property owner. I asked if they fire department does go out to the site, and files a fire report, to send it over to our office.</p> <p>2/14/24 , I conducted an investigation of the property. I arrived at the property around 10:00 am. I did not drive past the small bridge leading up to the residential building. Two large dogs were outside on the property, and I did not feel comfortable approaching the residential building. After arriving, an occupant emerged from the house, but did not come down the driveway to meet with me. I explained that I was on site to conduct an investigation of open burning of trash and durable goods. I asked the occupant if they were the property owners, which they stated they were not. I asked for the property owners names, and for the occupant's name, to which he refused.</p> <p>From the driveway, I could see the trailer of trash bags and burn pile that contained remnants of burned trash. In the burn pile, I noticed a long metal bar. The metal bar looked to have been a part of a couch frame, or another piece of furniture. The trailer of trash bags looked to be made of plywood and was about eight feet by ten feet long. The trailer appeared to be completely full of trash bags, with multiple piled next to the trailer</p> |               |                    |                                 |              |             |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.       | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type                    | City/County  | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56689             | 2/1/2024      | 2/1/2024   | Unknown                         | Hazardous Air Pollutant Release | /St. Charles |        | SLRO               | RA           |
| Concern Info:     |               | Caller states: Jet flew over her property and left a chemicals that interfered with the clouds in the sky. She states that this has been occurring since 2021  |                                 |                                 |              |        |                    |              |
| Concern Comments: |               | I called Pamela Coke on 2/1/24 and she said she would send me pictures of the chemicals in the sky.<br><br>I recieved the pictures late on 2/1/24 and I responded with an email on 2/2/24. I explained what contrails are and that they are common with jet engines. I attached a fact sheet and explained to her that EPA is the regulating authority of mobile sources and gave her the EPA concern website and email to report her concern.<br><br>I recieved two additional emails on 2/5 and 2/6 about more chemtrails from Pamela. I responded on 2/7/24 reiteterating that the DNR can not do investigations on mobile sources. |                                 |                                 |              |        |                    |              |

| Concern No.       | Date Received | Investigation Date   | Suspected Source Owner/Operator | Concern Type | City/County     | Region | Inspector Initials | Final Action |
|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| 56692             | 2/13/2024     | 2/13/2024  | Kinder Morgan, JD Street        | Odor         | /St. Louis City |        | SLRO               | RA           |
| Concern Info:     |               | CP called to state an asphalt like odor coming from some facilities on the east side of I-55 between exits 205 and 206. CP stated that one of the facilities appeared to be spewing blue smoke from a stack. The CP stated this is the same odor that the CP smells at night in the Benton Park area.  |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |
| Concern Comments: |               | 2/13/24- Robert Barnacle and I arrived at Kinder Morgan around 12:00pm. We noted a mild asphalt and garbage smell, however that was due to Buckeye Asphalt and a transfer station which are located close by. The transfer station has two smoke stacks at the facility but after a brief phone call I learned the building was repurposed and they are inactive. We headed north and started to smell a mild gasoline odor near the Valvoline facility. We headed west and back south again to complete a loop and we did not smell anything in that direction. |                                 |              |                 |        |                    |              |